

Bepartment of Zustice

EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE UNTIL 7:00 P.M. EST FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1989

REMARKS BY

DICK THORNBURGH ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

TO .

WEST VIRGINIA BAR ASSOCIATION'S MID-WINTER MEETING

CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA FEBRUARY 3, 1989

I want to speak to you tonight about the global reach of our war against drugs, an effort that has attracted an increasing amount of rightful attention of late, both at home and abroad.

My appointment as Attorney General of the United States, as many of you know, represents a return appearance for me in the Justice Department: I served as head of its Criminal Division a decade ago in the Ford Administration. And if I had to identify the biggest single change in the Department in the years since I last served there, I would have to say it was the growth of our international involvement — a growth probably best illustrated by our effort to cope with the global problem of drug abuse.

Shortly following the election last fall, I visited with a dozen of my law enforcement counterparts from the European community during a ten-day introductory trip to ensure our continued cooperation on a variety of matters. Before and since, I also met with other top law enforcement officials from around the world. All have agreed on the need for greater international cooperation in the war on drugs, a war that deserves all of our support.

The modern plague of drugs preys upon young people, devastates families and communities and threatens the well-being of all nations, just as did the plagues of disease in centuries past. Drug abuse disables millions of people and threatens to enslave millions more. It is the great equalizer, victimizing

rich and poor alike, male and female, making no distinction on the basis of race, language, culture, or even age.

The toll that drugs exact on our societies extends far beyond the individual victim. In a sense, all of us become victims, for our health and safety is at stake when others use drugs. We become victims of the crimes that addicts commit to sustain their habits. Community values crumble. Institutions weaken, and our governments must divert resources and attention to those problems of crime and corruption that invariably accompany drug production, trafficking and abuse. In many countries, narcoterrorism flourishes as terrorists and traffickers enter conspiracies of convenience. Drug production and trafficking also have stunted social and economic development, corrupting even whole societies through tawdry promises of wealth through drugs, and retarding efforts to maximize the productivity and efficiency of people in the workplace.

Drug traffickers have vast international networks, profits and arms at their disposal. They have no need to advertise their products, and they are able to gain access to villages, cities, schoolyards, workplaces, and locker rooms.

As drugs make their way from point of origin to point of sale, they pump billions of dollars into the pockets of the traffickers and the dealers, who live at the heights of the high life as a result of the misery and devastation they visit upon others.

There is no more international a business today than the drug cartels -- a business where the raw materials are grown in one country, processed into illegal drugs in another, and shipped through several countries for sale in yet others.

Profits from these sales are in turn recycled through laundered investments in a multitude of disguised transactions crossing many borders, often using legitimate international financial institutions.

The cost of drug abuse is bad enough in the United States where approximately 23 million Americans, or almost one in every ten of our citizens, used an illicit drug within the past month; where approximately 70 percent of those arrested for other than drug charges tested positive for illegal drugs at the time of their arrest; and where nearly \$100 billion a year is spent to combat illegal drug problems.

But when we deal with drugs on the international level, we see up close the even greater horrors that the drug trade can cause. Consider Colombia, our neighbor to the South, for example. We see the drug traffickers who ambushed the security chief of a major Colombian newspaper last March, killing him in front of his wife, and shooting his ten-month-old daughter as well. We see the late Colombian Attorney General, Carlos Mauro Hoyos, kidnapped and found fatally shot in the head. We see Enrique Parejo, Colombia's ambassador to Hungary, tracked down and shot at in Budapest by Colombian drug traffickers for having opposed them while he was Justice Minister. We see the other honest government officials in Colombia and elsewhere who get miniature coffins in the mail, with pictures of their loved ones inside, courtesy of the drug traffickers.

If there is anything that could be called a bright side to the world-wide drug problem, it is that because the problem cuts across differences that are otherwise very great, it gives nations grounds for cooperation even while their relations in other areas may be difficult.

This was evident in Vienna last December when over 100 nations adopted a United Nations Convention drafted to mount a concerted attack on drugs throughout the world. I was privileged to sign this document on behalf of the United States and to

evidence our leadership in this effort which I hope and expect will receive early ratification by the United States Senate.

This new multi-lateral agreement, entered into by drugproducing as well as drug-using nations, provides a number of new
resources aimed at breaking the cycle of drug trafficking and
money-laundering that sustains the drug cartels. Its adoption
can dramatically increase our internationally cooperative efforts
against drug dealers.

Let me take a moment to outline the terms of this historic agreement.

First, it is important to note that it is a law enforcement convention, providing new tools for police, prosecutors and judges from the signatory nations more effectively to carry out their responsibilities across international borders, while preserving the sovereignty of each nation.

The veil of bank secrecy, for example, as an impediment to gathering evidence against traffickers and as a method of hiding illicit profits, will be lifted. Governments are also given the tools to seize illicit drug profits and use them, as we do in the United States, to enhance our law enforcement efforts.

Second, all the nations signing the Convention have agreed to exchange evidence of criminal conduct and to extradite accused traffickers so that safe havens are no longer so readily available.

The pact, in effect, tells the cartels that they are not welcome within any of our borders.

Third, the Convention provides for the supervision of the manufacturing and sale of essential and precursor chemicals for the production of illegal drugs, in terms similar to recently-enacted U.S. legislation.

Fourth, commercial carriers are brought into the drug war though requirements that they make certain that commercial consignments are free from drugs. Law enforcement officials are given the authority to board, search and, if necessary, seize vessels used in the drug business.

Finally, the Convention reaffirms the need for aggressive efforts in crop eradication and demand reduction to complement its law enforcement initiatives.

While the Convention itself does not alter the laws of any nation, it commits the signers to the enactment of new

legislation where necessary and to increased cooperation among law enforcement officials.

The Convention represents the work over the past four years of hundreds of officials meeting under the auspices of the United Nations, an effort in which our Justice and State Departments played a vital role. Most of the signatory nations are expected to implement the work of the Vienna conference in the coming months.

The U.N. will continue to play an important role in monitoring the implementation through the already established International Narcotic Control Board. Policy oversight will be provided by the U.N.'s Commission on Narcotic Drugs.

Full implementation of this Convention would give our children, and their children, the gift of a world cleansed of what President Bush properly identifies as "the scourge of drug abuse," a world where governments carry out their responsibilities free of the corrupt influence of drug profiteers, a world where the vicious criminals now in control of transnational drug cartels are behind bars, their networks in ruins, and their seized illicit profits plowed back into more effective law enforcement.

This will not happen overnight and will not happen without a lot of hard work. This Convention, however, makes it far more likely that the nations of the world will be working together toward this end.

With these tools, multi-national enforcement efforts such as those which we at the Department of Justice have increasingly emphasized can be carried out with greater frequency. A prime example is the International Drug Enforcement Conference, or IDEC, a consortium of North, Central, and South American nations, now headed by our own DEA administrator Jack Lawn, with all the nations of Western Europe participating as observers, which was established in 1983 to plan and conduct joint anti-drug activities in the Western Hemisphere.

In August of last year, the IDEC member nations carried out their first mutually coordinated anti-drug enforcement effort. The results of that month of activity were encouraging: 1,200 arrests, the destruction of 13 cocaine laboratories and seven clandestine airstrips, and the seizure of \$3.8 million in cash and massive amounts of illegal drugs -- but more important than the statistics was the fact that this cooperative effort was even mounted, overcoming barriers of language, culture, geography and topography, not to mention political boundaries and ideological differences. And there will be more to come.

In Operation C-Chase, a magnificent law-enforcement "sting," the United States disrupted major money-laundering channels between the Medellin drug cartel and the world's seventh-largest private bank, the Bank of Credit and Commerce International, based in Luxembourg. Thanks to the U.S. Customs Service and other cooperating federal agencies, with indispensable help from their counterparts in Britain and France, we nailed down indictments of the bank itself and several of its leading officers, in connection with the alleged laundering of some \$37 million in drug profits.

Shortly thereafter, more than 300 persons were arrested in a nationwide crackdown on crack cocaine gangs known as the Jamaican Posses. These criminal outfits have staked out a large piece of the nation's drug and firearms trafficking. They have committed kidnappings, robberies, assaults, domestic and international gun running, money laundering, and fraud. And they are among the largest traffickers in crack cocaine, aiming especially at the young. These arrests showed sterling cooperation between Jamaican authorities and federal, state, and local police, and they signal an increasingly vigorous future for federal enforcement against the type of urban terrorism characteristic of these groups.

Finally, in December, the FBI and Italian authorities announced the result of a joint-undercover operation called "Iron Tower" which resulted in simultaneous arrests of over 200 heroin and drug traffickers in eight U.S. and nine Italian cities.

Through the U.N. Drug Convention, joint operations such as those I outlined, Mutual Legal Assistance Treaties, and other means, the U.S. Department of Justice will be reaching more and more overseas defendants in drug cases. The IDEC crackdown, Operation C-Chase, the Jamaican Posses arrests and "Operation Iron Tower" will not be isolated triumphs. They will be merely what the drug lords and their lackeys can expect from an outraged United States and our working partners abroad.

One final matter deserves note. I have spoken tonight of the need for international law enforcement efforts to attack the drug problem and that is important. But, as I told a somewhat surprised audience last week, "If we want to <u>lose</u> the war on drugs, we can just leave it to law enforcement."

By that I did not mean to play down the efforts of those who put their lives on the line each day to deal with the traffickers and terrorists involved in the supply side of the drug trade.

What I did mean was that we must pay equal attention to the demand side -- to reducing the consumption of drugs through programs of prevention, education, rehabilitation and treatment and holding the drug user accountable for a share of the economic and social costs of drug dependency.

And this is a job for all of us. It involves, in the final analysis, a re-affirmation of the value of a drug-free lifestyle and a recognition of the threat to our nation of continued tolerance of the plague of drug abuse

Our goal is straightforward. It is an America -- and a world -- where "pot" once again means a useful cooking utensil, where "crack" is the sound of a baseball hitting a bat, where "grass" is something to mow, not to smoke, and where "heroin(e)" means a Helen Keller, a Christa McAuliffe, or a Sandra Day O'Connor.

This is a goal toward which we all can, and should, persevere.