

ADDRESS TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SERVICES ADVISORY POLICY BOARD U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL JANET RENO Bayfront Hilton 333 First Street St. Petersburg, Florida June 4, 1997 9:10 a.m. 2.2 MS. RENO: Thank you, Bill, and I want to thank all the members of the board for your service. Many of you are back for a second term, and I know from my own experience what a drain it is to have to go to meetings in some other city while you have so much happening in your own department. Mr. Bonino, you deserve special praise for accepting a second term as chair, and we really look forward to

12 continuing to work with you. 13 This board and its working 14 groups are able to do so much through the board, through the IACP, the major 15 city chiefs, the National Sheriff's 16 Association and state control terminal 17 18 officers and the Royal Canadian Mounted 19 Police. You are able to get the benefit of thinking of law enforcement 20 21 across this country and this continent. I think it is so important, 22 23 and your work is so important. 24 I'd like to recognize, if I 25 may, an individual who will soon be 3 1 ending a distinguished 28-year career 2 with the FBI, Dennis Kurre. He spent 3 the last 12 years working on identification issues and was deeply 4 5 involved in developing and implementing 6 the CJIS move to West Virginia. He was 7 also a wonderful force for support of 8 his employees during an unstable 9 transition period. Dennis, we wish you luck and 10 11 you will be very missed. 12 (Audience clapping.) 13 As Bill Esposito said, I came to Washington with a local 14 15 perspective. I came to Washington having seen the feds come to town too 16 often and tell us what to do and not 17 consult with us, and I wanted to do 18 19 everything I could to build a partnership between the federal, state 20 21 and local law enforcement agencies across this land. 22 When turf jurisdiction and 23 wanting to take credit get in the way, 24 the public loses. We should be so 25 4 proud of our respective agencies and 1

http://www.usdoj.gov/archive/ag/speeches/1997/0604_ag.cjs.html (2 of 16) [5/28/2009 8:44:29 AM]

never forget the sense of camaraderie

that goes along with it, but we can have a sense of camaraderie across jurisdictional lines and amongst federal, state and local law enforcement, and when I see that develop, it is so exciting.

It is so wonderful to be able to go into a jurisdiction and see the federal agencies working together, see them sharing with state and local in a two-way street, see people making decisions based on what is in the right interest of the case and not who gets the credit.

And it's also very refreshing sometimes leaving Washington to find a republican sheriff and a democratic United States attorney working together without any consideration as to party lines, and I wish Washington would learn more about that.

But nowhere is this important partnership more important than in the

area of information sharing. This board perfectly illustrates how a collaborative local state and federal effort combine to make our streets safer.

Just a few days ago, the new Uniform Crime Reporting Statistics show yet another decrease in crime overall. The simple fact is that crime has dropped for 5 years in a row and that violent crime is down 7 percent, 11 percent for murder.

Those are heartening numbers and they indicate that we're on the right track, but if you're a state attorney in Dade County for 15 years, you know that when they go down, they also go up, and we can never, ever relax our vigilance. That's why we've got to work again to secure passage of

21 22 23 24 25	the Interstate Compact. The Compact serves as the final step along the path towards complete decentralization of criminal history records. We submitted the Compact to	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Congress for approval last year, and unfortunately, they failed to act. I can tell you, and as I told Mr. Bonino, it's good to have board meetings every now and then to force the issue, but O&D approved the Compact for submission yesterday, and we are in the process of submitting it and hope that it will be acted on this year.	6
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	As you know, the Compact represents a sensible and an efficient approach to the interstate exchange of criminal history information for non-criminal justice purposes. The Compact will require the certainty which comes from fingerprint-based identification prior to the release of criminal history record information. In recent months, some of you have raised concern over the justice department's agreement with the Department of Housing & Urban Development to process name-based rather than fingerprint-based background checks on prospective public	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	housing authority tenants. Let me assure you that our agreement with HUD in no way signifies a departure from the belief reflected in the Compact that fingerprint-based names are better than name-based ones. I have informed Chairman Bonino that the department will examine the HUD name-based check experiment after several months of operation to see how it's working, and we will work with the	7

12 board to try to develop a thoughtful, 13 careful evaluation process that can 14 give you confidence in this. Furthermore, I have received an 15 16 assurance from Secretary Cuomo at HUD 17 that once technology, like IAFIS, 18 eliminates or substantially reduces the 19 time and cost differential between the processing of name-based and 20 21 fingerprint-based background checks, HUD will expect all background checks 22 to be fingerprint-based. 23 Our experiment with HUD is an 2.4 25 effort to find the appropriate balance 8 between the relatively limited time and 1 2 cost associated with the processing of 3 name-based background checks as opposed 4 to the absolute accuracy and 5 reliability associated with 6 fingerprint-based background checks. 7 We must study that experiment's result 8 as we respond to ever increasing demands for rapidly available, 9 inexpensive and completely reliable 10 background check capabilities, but I've 11 already made notes from my conversation 12 with Chairman Bonino about how we 13 follow up to make sure that the 14 evaluation is thoughtful and 15 16 scientific. 17 As we move to the 21st century, information sharing and technology are 18

more than ever the bywords of law enforcement success.

At this time I'd like to take a few minutes to highlight several examples of areas where together we are making a difference in the fight against crime by you using information

9

the right way. 1

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

2. First, there is the

implementation of the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, the NICCS system, mandated by the Brady Act and designed by you. As you know, the Brady Act requires me to replace the current interim system where local police chiefs and sheriffs conduct the background checks.

With the NICCS system, by November the 30th, 1998, we will meet this statutory deadline; however, it is essential that the states, through this board, continue to actively participate in the implementation process. State participation is vital to the NICCS effectiveness, speed and accuracy.

Currently, it is estimated that the Brady Act's interim provisions, in conjunction with various state laws, prevent approximately 6,600 firearms per month from being sold to felons, fugitives and other persons prohibited from possessing firearms. That

translates into lives saved and less crime. With your continued role in the implementation process, I am confident that NICCS will be even more effective and less burdensome than the current system.

As you know well, the backbone of our current criminal history system is the state's criminal history records. With that in mind, this board's Brady Act Task Group designed a NICCS system that allows for the states to serve as the points of contact that firearms dealers will call when contacting the system.

You, the members of the board, recognize that NICCS would operate more efficiently if state law enforcement representatives served as the point of contact for the NICCS.

21 Furthermore, federal and state 22 law enforcement officials working 23 through the board recognize that state law enforcement representatives should 24 25 be able to control background checks 11 for firearm sales taking place within 1 2 their jurisdictions. 3 This system will not only 4 maintain local control where it 5 belongs, but it will also insure that 6 state law enforcement, the agency 7 closest to the proposed transaction and 8 with the best access to criminal 9 history and other disqualifying records, makes the final determination 10 of whether a person may purchase a 11 12 firearm. 13 As you on the board recognized 14 early on, efficient operation of the NICCS depends on the state's taking on 15 the task of serving as points of 16 17 contact. States that serve as points of contact will get the benefit of a 18 useful new law enforcement tool, while 19 at the same time strengthening the 20 21 NICCS. 22 I am hopeful that most states will accept this task as it is clearly 23 in the best interest of state and 24 25 federal law enforcement, not to mention 12 1 public safety. 2 Recently, there have been some 3 indications that some state legislatures are resisting the idea of 4 having their state serve as a point of 5 6 contact for the NICCS system. 7 are experiencing such resistance, let 8 us know. We are here to support you in your efforts to become points of 9 10 contact for the NICCS system.

If your governor or your

legislature is considering ceding this
important area to the federal
government, let us know so that we can
work with them and inform them of the
content and the policy behind it. I
will do everything in my power to
assist you in this effort.

I am also hopeful that we can

I am also hopeful that we can continue to work hand-in-hand in two other areas that make the NICCS as effective as possible. First, every state needs to join the Interstate Identification Index, or triple I.

State participation in triple I

will benefit the NICCS by improving the quality, the accuracy and the timeliness of the criminal history information. I know that 32 states currently participate in the triple I, and I look forward to complete state participation by the year 2000.

Second, every state must continue the process of updating its criminal history information. Since 1994, the Department of Justice has distributed approximately \$250 million in grants for this very purpose. Up-to-date and readily accessible state data is an essential component of the NICCS system, and we are depending on you to continue your efforts to upgrade your state record systems. If there is anything that I can do to assist you in this area, please let me know.

And I know, not only in terms of the NICCS system, but in terms of our ability to detain the dangerous offenders or offenders that may be wanted in another state, that it is so

important that we undertake this
effort, and I'm willing to talk to

governors and to legislators to let them know how critically important this undertaking is.

Let me now turn to NCIC-2,000 and inform you that both Director Freeh and I are personally committed to making it happen. There have been delays and there have been problems. I'm not here to make excuses or to do anything but to tell you that this is an important area to me and to the director.

But Mr. Esposito can tell you that the issue of IAFIS and the issue of NCIC-2,000 are two of the prominent issues on my get-back list, and I've learned an awful lot about technology that I never knew and I understand how vitally important this is to law enforcement across this land, and when it's important to us, I can tell you it will be important to the entire Department of Justice.

We can't cope with the demands of the 21st century unless we automate the core of the system, the prints themselves; therefore, IAFIS must also become a reality, and I am dedicated to working with Bill and with Director Freeh to make sure that happens.

Two of six bills are now complete, and new compatible systems are being designed to make sure that everything we now buy is IAFIS compatible. IAFIS will bring us into the 21st century and will do more to protect officers on the streets. We are already hearing success stories.

Moreover, IAFIS will greatly enhance the FBI's ability to respond quickly to the increasing demand for fingerprint checks. The current volume of requests is incredible, and it is

06-04-97: ADDRESS TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SERVICES ADVISORY POLICY BOARD 21 increasing, primarily because of recent 22 state and federal legislative 23 initiatives that have increased the demand for FBI fingerprint services. 24 25 Handling this volume is particularly 1 challenging in light of the CJIS 2 division's recent move to West 3 Virginia. If the FBI experience continues 4 5 at current levels during the remainder 6 of this fiscal year, the receipt of 7 fingerprint cards is projected to reach as high as 14.4 million in this fiscal 8 9 year alone. This is a 76 percent increase over fiscal year '93 receipts 10 and a 28 percent increase since last 11 12 year.

> I am keenly aware, only too keenly aware, of the current backlog and understand that these waits are a problem for you and for all of law enforcement. Let me assure you that we are doing our very best to address this problem.

> With your recommendations and support, over 800 new employees have been hired in West Virginia this year. Indications from March and April of this year reveal a dramatic increase in the number of fingerprint cards

processed monthly, and, Chuck, I want to thank you and everybody involved for this extraordinary effort. receipts continue to climb and we're going to have to work harder to address

this significant issue for law 6 7 enforcement.

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

> 1 2

3

4

5

8

9 10

11

This fall, the FBI will also implement a stand-alone image, storage and retrieval capability, which will completely eliminate the need for

manual fingerprint file searches. means no more time-consuming pulling, identifying, verifying and refiling functions. We're confident these improvements, coupled with the IAFIS project, will make a real difference in the amount of time it takes us to prosecute -- or process a fingerprint card.

The first summer job I ever had was with the Dade County Sheriff's Office in the summer of 1956 after I graduated from high school. My job was checking fingerprints and sometimes

25 checking fingerprints and sometimes

2.4

taking fingerprints. When I look at what has happened in these ensuing 40 years, it staggers the imagination and converts vanity to prayer.

I would now like to turn to the NCIC's newest file. On May 4th, through all of our joint efforts, the NCIC protection order file is up and running supporting the Violence Against Women provisions of the 1994 Crime Act.

Every one of us understands the scourge of domestic violence, and two states at least, Kentucky and Maine, declare it to be their number one crime problem. We also all understand the importance of protection orders, but those protection orders aren't worth the paper on which they are printed if local law enforcement officers don't know they exist.

Time was when lists could be typed up and kept over the visor and cruisers in each city or town; time was when we didn't think domestic violence

was very important. But in our more mobile society and in so many

professional police departments and sheriff's offices across the country, we now understand how important it is, and all of us in law enforcement understand the mobility of America, both abusers and victims. The only effective way to attract -- to track these orders is on computer, and you've made that a reality.

There is an added benefit, because federal law enforcement prohibits those subject to certain domestic violence protection orders from possessing firearms. The new file allows law enforcement to identify those who shouldn't have a gun. Since so many domestic violence homicides are committed with firearms, we now have a real chance to prevent these terrible crimes from occurring, not just counting them after they occur.

I used to look at cases that could have been prevented and cases

that we simply couldn't have done anything about, and clearly, we can do so much in terms of prevention and domestic violence. All the data is there; the arena is there, and this information can be incredibly helpful.

Finally, we need to continue to work together to make the National Sex Offender Registry a reality. In June of 1996, President Clinton directed me to develop a national sexual predator and child molester registration system, a system that would, for the first time, link together the sex offender registration and notification systems being developed in all 50 states.

On February 23rd of this year, we took an important first step toward making that national registration system a reality when the FBI's interim

National Sex Offender Registry became operational; but, of course, this new registry will only be as good as the quality of data on sex offenders that it contains.

The watchword here, as everywhere else, is collaboration. But we are already working closely with officials in your states to insure that accurate and up-to-date information on the whereabouts of sex offenders is timely loaded into the system and we need to intensify these efforts.

Working together, I know that we can make a difference in preventing sex crimes.

There are so many other issues that we will all face together in these next ten years in law enforcement in America. With the development of technology, we see new tools for law enforcement that we never dreamed of. We also see new technology in the hands of the bad guys that really present incredible new challenges for all of us.

We will not succeed unless we work together in addressing how we insure for law enforcement at every level of government, the expertise

necessary to match wits with the bad guys and the equipment and the information infrastructure necessary to give law enforcement the tools to do the job. These pieces of equipment, this expertise in some instance, will be very expensive and there may be only one or two of its kind.

We need to figure out how to share it at the federal, state and local level in a comprehensive way that

2.4

can make us prepared for this next century to match wits with criminals around the world, for we will also face another dimension; and that is, that crime and its impact and its consequences will be international in nature.

When a man can sit in his kitchen in St. Petersburg, Russia and with his computer steal from a bank in New York City, we know the time has come to really develop a comprehensive partnership based on principles of federalism recognizing the

globalization of so many issues and remembering that state and local law enforcement are at the heart of all that we do.

I thank you all and individually for all that you do every day. I admire you for your work and your willingness to be at the cutting edge of law enforcement.

I've come here to demonstrate that we are colleagues, that we are partners, and I look forward to working with you to insure full state participation and NICCS and triple I, and to insure an ongoing partnership that will serve law enforcement and our citizens across this land and this continent.

If there is anything that I can do, please let me know, and don't wait for meeting-to-meeting. It is very important that I continue to hear from law enforcement across America as to what the Department of Justice can do to more effectively serve as a partner

1 in this effort.

2 Thank you very much for

```
3
        inviting me today.
 4
                 (Audience clapping.)
 5
                 (Speech concluded.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                             25
 1
                     CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 2
      STATE OF FLORIDA
 3
      COUNTY OF SARASOTA
 4
 5
                 I, ELSA ROHOW, Registered Professional
 6
      Reporter for the State of Florida, do hereby
 7
      certify that I reported in stenotype the
 8
      proceedings had of this matter previously
 9
      captioned herein; that I thereafter reduced my
10
      said stenotype notes to typewriting; and that the
11
      foregoing transcript, pages 1 to 24, both
12
      inclusive, constitutes a full, true and accurate
13
      record of all proceedings had upon the said
14
      matter, and of the whole thereof.
15
                Witness my hand as Registered
      Professional Reporter this 7th day of June, 1997.
16
17
18
19
20
                         Elsa Rohow
```

21	Registered Professional Reporter
22	My commission expires: 10-22-98
23	
24	
25	