UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

: C.A. NO. 98-1232

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.,

PLAINTIFFS,

V.

: C.A. NO. 98-1223

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF, :

V.

DENNIS C. VACCO, ET AL.,

COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANTS. : JANUARY 13, 1999 ----- WASHINGTON, D.C.

VOLUME 37-B

TRANSCRIBED DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

COURT REPORTER:

DAVID A. KASDAN, RMR

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC.

507 C STREET, N.E.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003

(202) 546-6666

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002



	1	ı
	_	I
	2	
	3	I
	4	
	5	ľ
	6	
	7	I
	8	I
	9	I
1	0	
1	1	ı
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	,
	8	)
1	9	,
2	C	)
	1	
2	2	
	3	
2	4	
2	5	

(DEPOSITION EXCERPTS OF BRAD ALAN SILVERBERG.)

- Q. WITHIN MICROSOFT AFTER WINDOWS 95 WAS RELEASED, DID THE COMPANY TRACK THE SHARE OF USAGE OF IE?
  - A. YES, IT DID.
- Q. AND IT TRACKED THAT SEPARATELY FROM USAGE OF WINDOWS?
  - A. YES, IT DID.
  - Q. WHY? WHY DID YOU TRACK SHARE OF IE?
- A. SEE HOW--COMPARE IE SHARE WITH COMPETITIVE PRODUCTS, COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES.
- Q. AND WHAT COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES WERE YOU COMPARING IE USAGE TO?
  - A. NAVIGATOR, CYBERDOG, OTHERS.
- Q. IN MOST OF THE COMPARISONS THAT YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH, WAS THE COMPARISON GENERALLY IE TO NAVIGATOR AND NOT TO VARIOUS OTHER PRODUCTS?
  - A. THAT WAS THE PRIMARY ONE.
- Q. AND WHY DID MICROSOFT DO THAT? WHY WAS IT IMPORTANT TO KNOW OR TO COMPARE IE USAGE TO NAVIGATOR, FOR EXAMPLE?
- A. BECAUSE NAVIGATOR WAS A COMPETITIVE
  PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY TO WINDOWS AND WE WANTED TO
  TRACK OUR PROGRESS VERSUS THEIR PROGRESS.
  - Q. AND IN WANTING TO DO THAT, WHY DID YOU

1	TRACK USAGE OF IE TO THIS WHAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED
2	AS ALTERNATIVE PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY INSTEAD OF,
3	LET'S SAY, WINDOWS USAGE COMPARED TO NAVIGATOR
4	USAGE?
5	A. WELL, WE WERE COMPARING IT TO THE IE
6	COMPONENT OF WINDOWS.
7	(EXCERPT.)
8	Q. AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE INVOLVED IN
9	IE 4, WAS IT CONTEMPLATED THAT OUTLOOK EXPRESS
٥.	WOULD BE PART OF OR SHIPPED WITH IE 4?
1	A. OUTLOOK EXPRESS HAS BEEN ALWAYS PART OF
.2	IE, WITH IE 3 AND INTERNET AND MAIL AND NEWS AND
L3	THEN IE 4.
L4	Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY OUTLOOK EXPRESS HAS
۱5	ALWAYS BEEN PART OF IE 4, WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY
16	PART OF?
17	A. PART OF.
18	Q. WHAT?
19	A. PART OF. YOU GET IE 4, YOU GET OUTLOOK
20	EXPRESS. PART OF.
21	Q. BY PART OF, DO YOU MEAN ANYTHING MORE
22	THAN THAT? JUST THAT THEY ARE SHIPPED TOGETHER;
23	WHEN YOU GET ONE, YOU GET THE OTHER?
24	A. NO. I MEAN, FOR INSTANCE, THEJUST AN
- 1	

ESSENTIAL PART OF IT. THE HTML AUTHORING,

ŧ	
1	DISPLAY OF OUTLOOK EXPRESS, IS THE UNDERLYING
2	WINDOWS INTERNET COMPONENT, MSHTML. IT'S JUST
3	PART OF IT.
4	Q. I'M SORRY, TO UNDERSTAND THAT LAST ONE,
5	HTML AUTHORING FOR OUTLOOK EXPRESS
6	A. OUTLOOK EXPRESS, YOU CAN AUTHOR YOUR
7	NOTES AND VIEW NOTES AS HTML. THE UNDERLYING
8	HTML ENGINE IS THE UNDERLYING HTML ENGINE OF
9	WINDOWS THAT COMES WITH INTERNET EXPLORER.
10	Q. AND THAT'S, AT LEAST IN PART, THE
11	MSHTML?
12	A. YES. THAT WE CALL "TRIDENT." I DON'T
13	KNOW THE EXACT DLL. MIGHT BE MSHTML, MAY BE SOME
14	OTHERS, BUT WE CALLED IT "TRIDENT."
15	Q. DO YOU KNOW, DOES MICROSOFT
16	OUTLOOKNOT OUTLOOK EXPRESS, BUT OUTLOOK USE
17	TRIDENT WITH THE MSHTML?
18	A. EXPRESS 98 DOES. OUTLOOK 97 DID NOT.
19	Q. IS OUTLOOK 98 PART OF INTERNET
20	EXPLORER?
21	A. NO, IT'S NOT.
22	Q. IS IT PART OF OFFICE, IF YOU KNOW?
23	A. YES, IT IS.
	T .

Q.

24

25

IS IT PART OF WINDOWS 98?

A. I DON'T BELIEVE SO, BUT I CAN'T SPEAK

DEFINITIVELY ON WHAT'S IN WINDOWS 98 AND WHAT ISN'T.

- Q. DURING YOUR TIME EITHER AT AICG OR THE INTERNET PLATFORM AND TOOLS DIVISION, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID MICROSOFT TRACK THE USAGE OR SHARE OF OUTLOOK EXPRESS OR ITS PREDECESSOR THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED?
  - A. I BELIEVE IT DID, YES.
- Q. AND GENERALLY, JUST AS BEST YOU RECALL, WHAT DID IT DO? HOW DID IT TRACK OUTLOOK EXPRESS?
  - A. I DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFIC NUMBERS.
- Q. AND I'M SORRY. I DIDN'T MEAN TO ASK

  FOR PRECISE NUMBERS. I'M JUST CURIOUS WHAT SORTS

  OF THINGS--I MEAN, HOW WAS IT TRACKED GENERALLY?

  WAS IT DONE WITH--THROUGH SURVEYS OR--
  - A. I DON'T KNOW THE METHODOLOGY.
- Q. DO YOU KNOW IF OUTLOOK EXPRESS USAGE WAS TRACKED SEPARATELY OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH USAGE OF IE?
  - A. WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
- Q. IN OTHER WORDS, WOULD MICROSOFT TRACK
  THE USAGE OF OUTLOOK EXPRESS OR ITS PREDECESSOR
  BY ITSELF APART FROM USAGE NUMBERS OR SHARE
  NUMBERS FOR HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE USING IE?

- A. I MEAN, WE COULDN'T PRESUME THAT

  EVERYBODY WHO WAS USING IE WAS ALSO USING OUTLOOK

  EXPRESS; SO WE WERE TRACKING THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE

  WHO WERE USING THE OUTLOOK EXPRESS COMPONENT OF

  IE AS WELL AS PEOPLE WHO WERE USING IE.
- Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY YOU COULDN'T PRESUME
  THAT PEOPLE WHO WERE USING IE WERE USING THE
  OUTLOOK EXPRESS COMPONENT, WHY NOT? WHY COULDN'T
  YOU PRESUME THAT?
  - A. WHY COULD YOU?
- Q. IS THERE ANY CONNECTION BETWEEN
  OUTLOOK--
- A. IT WASN'T REQUIRED. I MEAN, YOU
  WEREN'T FORCED. YOU COULD USE WHATEVER E-MAIL
  PROGRAM YOU WANTED TO WHEN YOU USED IE. IT
  WASN'T--YOU KNOW, WINDOWS DIDN'T COME UP AND
  MONITOR WHAT E-MAIL PROGRAM YOU WERE USING AND
  PROHIBIT YOU FROM USING IT. WHATEVER A CUSTOMER
  WANTED TO USE HE COULD USE.

#### (EXCERPT.)

- Q. DO YOU RECALL GENERALLY, THOUGH, THAT THERE WAS A SPECIFIC MARKETING BUDGET FOR IE 3, WHATEVER THE EXACT NUMBER WAS?
- A. THERE WAS A MARKETING BUDGET FOR IPTD.

  I DON'T RECALL HOW THAT WAS BROKEN OUT, UNDER

		I
	1	I
	2	
	2 3 4	
	4	I
	5	
	5 6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1 1 1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	,
1	6	,
1	7	,
1	8	}
1	9	)
2	C	)
2	1	-
2	2	2
2	3	3
2	4	Ŀ

WHAT CATEGORIES. I THINK IT'S SAFE TO ASSUME WE HAD SOME--WE HAD INTENDED TO SPEND SOME MARKETING MONEY BEHIND INTERNET EXPLORER 3. I DON'T KNOW IN WHAT FORM THAT TOOK--WHETHER THERE WAS A SPECIFIC BUDGET OR ALL THAT. I DON'T RECALL AT THIS POINT.

- Q. OKAY. AND WHY DO YOU SAY IT'S SAFE TO ASSUME THAT YOU WERE GOING TO DO THAT?
- A. WELL, WE SPENT SOME MONEY MARKETING

  INTERNET EXPLORER 3. WE RAN ADS, WEB ADS, HAD

  SOME PREVIEW PROGRAMS, YOU KNOW. DON'T KNOW WHAT

  ELSE.
- Q. WHY DID MICROSOFT DO THAT? WHY DID YOU SPEND MONEY MARKETING IE 3?
  - A. PLAYING DUMB AGAIN?
- Q. SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS I--I REALIZE

  SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS MAY BE OBVIOUS FOR YOU,

  BUT IN ORDER TO REALLY UNDERSTAND IT AND HAVE THE

  RECORD, WE NEED TO ASK THEM.
- A. MICROSOFT WANTED TO PROMOTE INTERNET

  EXPLORER 3 AND HELP IT BECOME POPULAR, MAKE

  PEOPLE AWARE OF ITS EXISTENCE AND ITS ADVANTAGES.

  (EXCERPT.)
- Q. IN GENERAL, THOUGH, WHY WAS GETTING AOL AND COMPUSERVE TO SHIP IE 3 OR A CUSTOMIZED

ľ

VERSION OF IE 3 A PRINCIPAL ACTION NEEDED FOR WHATEVER GOAL WAS--MICROSOFT HAD IN MIND?

#### A. SURE.

POPULAR. BY OUR ESTIMATES, ABOUT 40 PERCENT OF ALL, MAYBE EVEN MORE, 40 PERCENT OF ALL INTERNET CLIENT SHARE BELONGED TO AOL. IT DIDN'T SHOW UP IN SOME OF THE LESS SOPHISTICATED MORE WIDELY KNOWN SHARE NUMBERS BECAUSE OF THE SOPHISTICATED TECHNIQUES AOL DOES TO CASH (SIC) THINGS SO THEY DON'T SHOW UP ON SOME OF THE COMPUTER HIT BASED THINGS. BUT BY OUR ESTIMATES, THEY WERE ABOUT 40 PERCENT.

SO, THE QUICKER WE COULD GET AOL TO SUPPORT IE, IE IN MICROSOFT INTERNET PLATFORM TECHNOLOGIES WOULD BECOME SUBSTANTIALLY MORE POPULAR QUITE QUICKLY.

- Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY 40 PERCENT OF ALL CLIENT--OF ALL INTERNET CLIENT SHARE WAS AOL, WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
- A. THAT WOULD INCLUDE, SAY, AOL, IE,
  NAVIGATOR, MOSAIC, HOTJAVA, ALL THE OTHER
  FLAVORS. AND THAT WAS--ABOUT 40 PERCENT, WE
  BELIEVED, WAS ABOUT AOL. I THINK IT WAS 40
  PERCENT. IT WAS HIGH.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- Q. AND BY SHARE--I'M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND--DOES THAT MEAN OF ALL PEOPLE--
  - A. END USERS.
  - Q. --WHO ACCESS THE INTERNET?
- A. I THINK IT WAS END USERS. I'M NOT SURE
  IF IT WAS CORPORATE, BUT I DON'T RECALL. FOR
  SOME REASON, END USERS STICKS IN MY MIND.
  CORPORATE ACCOUNTS DON'T TEND TO USE AOL. BUT I
  THINK END USERS WHO ARE DIALING UP TO TALK TO THE
  INTERNET, I THINK 40 PERCENT WERE USING AOL.
- Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WHEN INTERNET

  EXPLORER IS DISTRIBUTED AS PART OF OR ALONG WITH
  WINDOWS, IS THERE ANY SEPARATE CHARGE FOR THE IE

  PORTION THAT IS ATTRIBUTED TO--THAT IS INCLUDED

  IN THE OVERALL PRICE FOR WINDOWS?
  - A. SAY THAT AGAIN.
  - Q. SURE.

WHEN IE--WHEN WINDOWS IS SOLD WITH IE

AS EITHER PART OF IT OR INCLUDED ALONG WITH IT,

DOES PART OF THE CHARGE FOR WINDOWS INCLUDE SOME

CHARGE FOR INTERNET EXPLORER ITSELF?

- A. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT QUESTION.

  MICROSOFT CHARGES FOR WINDOWS INTERNET EXPLORER

  AS AN INTEGRATED COMPONENT OF WINDOWS.
  - Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAS ANYONE WITHIN

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	1 2 3 4 5	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1		
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
		ì

25

MICROSOFT EVER IDENTIFIED OR ALLOCATED A PORTION

OF THE PRICE OF WINDOWS THAT IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO

WHAT YOU DESCRIBE AS THE INTERNET EXPLORER PART

OF WINDOWS?

- A. NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.
- Q. IN FACT, ARE YOU AWARE THAT SOME
  MICROSOFT REPRESENTATIVES, AT LEAST IN THE PAST,
  HAVE SAID THAT INTERNET EXPLORER WILL BE FOREVER
  FREE? HAVE YOU HEARD THAT?
  - A. I HEARD BILL ANNOUNCE IT ON DECEMBER 7.
- Q. AND HE SAID THAT IE WILL BE FOREVER FREE? IS THAT GENERALLY--
- A. HE SAID "FREE NOW, FREE FOREVER," SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
- Q. WHEN YOU WERE WORKING ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF WINDOWS 95, WAS THERE--
  - A. CAN YOU PUT THE CID BACK OUT HERE?
- Q. WHEN YOU WERE WORKING ON THE

  DEVELOPMENT OF WINDOWS 95, WAS--WERE YOU INVOLVED

  IN ANY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HIGHWAY--IF THERE WERE

  ANY, ABOUT HOW MICROSOFT WOULD MAKE BACK WHATEVER

  MONEY WAS SPENT DEVELOPING INTERNET EXPLORER?
- A. I DON'T RECALL ANY. MICROSOFT MAKES

  BACK THE MONEY BY SELLING WINDOWS. IT'S PART OF
  WINDOWS. THEY SELL WINDOWS. THAT'S WHAT WE GET

1	PAID FOR. INTERNET EXPLORER IS AN INTEGRATED
2	COMPONENT OF WINDOWS.
3	Q. ARE YOU AWARE FROM DISCUSSIONS WITHIN
4	MICROSOFT OF ANY OTHER WAYS BEYOND SALES OF
5	WINDOWS THAT MICROSOFT EXPECTS TO MAKE BACK
6	WHATEVER MONEY IT HAS SPENT DEVELOPING OR
7	MARKETING OR PROMOTING INTERNET EXPLORER?
8	A. I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY.
9	Q. DO YOU KNOWHAS THERE BEEN ANY
10	DISCUSSION ALONG THE LINES THAT IF MORE PEOPLE
11	ARE USING INTERNET EXPLORER, MICROSOFT MIGHT SELL
12	MORE SERVER PRODUCTS, FOR EXAMPLE?
13	A. SAY THAT AGAIN?
14	Q. SURE.
15	CAN YOU READ THAT QUESTION?
16	(QUESTION READ.)
17	A. I DON'T RECALL THAT SPECIFICALLY, NO.
18	Q. OKAY.
19	A. IT IS WORTH NOTING SERVER PRODUCTS ARE
20	WINDOWS.
21	BY MR. MALONE:
22	Q. OKAY. I'M SORRY. LET ME BACK UP.
23	WHEN YOU GAVE YOUR EARLIER ANSWER ABOUT
24	MICROSOFT WOULD MAKE BACK ITS MONEY SPENT ON
25	DEVELOPING IE THROUGH SELLING WINDOWS, DID YOU

1	MEAN WINDOWS BOTH A CLIENT
2	A. I MEANT WINDOWS, WINDOWS NT, WINDOWS NT
3	SERVERWINDOWS.
4	(EXCERPT.)
5	Q. ANY OTHER WAY OTHER THAN SALES OF
6	WINDOWS THAT YOU BELIEVE MICROSOFT EXPECTED TO
7	MAKE BACK THE MONEY THAT IT SPENT RELATIVE TO
8	MARKETING AND DEVELOPING THE INTERNET EXPLORER?
9	A. NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.
10	(EXCERPT.)
11	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT AT&T WAS IN THE
12	ONLINE SERVICES FOLDER?
13	A. IT WOULD BE THE SAME ANSWER. I RECALL
14	IT. ONCE WE DID HAVE A DEAL WITH AT&T, WE DID
15	SHIP THEIR CLIENT. I DON'T RECALL THE METHOD BY
16	WHICHWHETHER IT WAS IN THE ONLINE SERVICES
17	FOLDER OR ALSO IN THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD.
18	IT WAS A FAIRLY CONFUSING ARRAY OF MECHANISMS WE
19	HAD.
20	Q. I BELIEVE YOU SAID EARLIER THAT YOU
21	WERE INVOLVED PERSONALLY IN AT LEAST SORT OF THE
22	BEGINNING PARTS OF THE NEGOTIATIONS WITH AT&T IS
23	THAT RIGHT?
24	A. THAT'S CORRECT.

Q. DURING YOUR INVOLVEMENT, WAS IT

CONTEMPLATED THAT IN RETURN FOR AT&T BEING PLACED EITHER IN THE ONLINE SERVICES FOLDER OR THE CONNECTION WIZARD OR REFERRAL SERVER, THAT THEY WOULD DISTRIBUTE IE EITHER EXCLUSIVELY OR WITH SOME MINIMUM PERCENTAGE OF IE SHIPMENTS?

A. MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT WE DISCUSSED INCLUDING THE AT&T CLIENT WITH WINDOWS IN SOME MANNER--I DON'T RECALL WHAT MANNER--IN EXCHANGE FOR SOME PREFERENCE IN AT&T'S TREATMENT OF INTERNET EXPLORER.

AND AGAIN, I DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFICS
OF HOW MUCH PREFERENCE THERE WAS AND WHAT WAS
DISCUSSED, WHERE WE ENDED UP. THERE WAS A
CERTAIN AMOUNT OF NEGOTIATION THAT TOOK PLACE AND
ROLE PLAYING, SHALL WE SAY, AT THE BEGINNING.

- Q. WHY IS IT THAT MICROSOFT WAS INTERESTED
  IN SOME PREFERENCE FOR IE WITH AT&T IN RETURN FOR
  WHATEVER PLACEMENT IT WAS CONSIDERING GIVING AT&T
  IN WINDOWS?
- A. WELL, AT&T WANTED MICROSOFT TO BE A
  DISTRIBUTION FACILITY FOR ITS--AND CUSTOMER
  ACQUISITION FACILITY, WHICH WAS SOMETHING OF
  POTENTIALLY GREAT VALUE. AND WE WANTED SOMETHING
  OF VALUE IN RETURN. THAT TENDS TO BE THE BASIS
  FOR MOST ARRANGEMENTS, EXCHANGE OF VALUE.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT WINDOWS, BEING A DISTRIBUTION VEHICLE AND, I THINK, CUSTOMER ACQUISITION VEHICLE--AGAIN, I DON'T HAVE YOUR EXACT WORDS, SO CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG--WAS SOMETHING THAT COULD HAVE BEEN OF GREAT VALUE TO AT&T, WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?

A. WELL, WITH EVERY COPY OF WINDOWS,
MICROSOFT WOULD SHIP AND MAKE AVAILABLE AND MAKE
IT EASY FOR CUSTOMERS TO SIGN UP TO THE AT&T
INTERNET SERVICE. THAT'S A TREMENDOUS VALUE TO
THEM.

AND IN EXCHANGE, MICROSOFT WANTED SOMETHING OF VALUE FROM AT&T, MAINLY PREFERENCE.

- Q. AND I THINK YOU ALSO MENTIONED--MAYBE

  IT'S JUST PART OF THE SAME THING--THAT AT&T

  WANTED WINDOWS TO BE A CUSTOMER ACQUISITION

  VEHICLE?
- A. YEAH. THAT'S BY MAKING IT VERY EASY
  FOR CUSTOMERS TO SIGN UP FOR AT&T SERVICE. THEY
  WOULD BE ABLE TO ACQUIRE, TO SIGN UP CUSTOMERS
  VERY EASILY. AND THEY WANTED MICROSOFT TO BE AN
  ACTIVE PARTNER IN HELPING THEM DO THAT. AND AS A
  RESULT, WE WANTED THEM TO BE--IN EXCHANGE, WE
  WANTED THEM TO BE AN ACTIVE PARTNER IN HELPING TO
  SUPPORT AND PROVIDE INTERNET EXPLORER--SUPPORT

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

INTERNET EXPLORER.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. AND SPECIFICALLY BY SUPPORTING INTERNET EXPLORER, WHAT MICROSOFT WAS LOOKING FOR WAS SOME PREFERENCE IN THE NUMBER AMOUNT OF INTERNET EXPLORER THAT AT&T SHIPPED?
- A. AT&T WANTED MICROSOFT TO BE AN ACTIVE
  PARTNER IN RECRUITING CUSTOMERS FOR THEM. WE
  WANTED THEM TO BE AN ACTIVE PARTNER IN RECRUITING
  CUSTOMERS FOR US.

Q. OKAY. LET ME GO BACK UP TO THE PART OF THE E-MAIL THAT YOU WROTE. THE SECOND PART AFTER "TOM EVSLIN HAS TOLD ME" IS, "B, HE VERY BADLY WANTS IN THE WINDOWS BOX."

#### WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT?

- A. I MEANT THAT HE WANTED TO HAVE
  MICROSOFT DISTRIBUTE THE AT&T CLIENT AND, YOU
  KNOW, SIGN UP SERVICE AND SO ON IN THE WINDOWS
  BOX.
- Q. AND BY BEING IN THE WINDOWS BOX, IS
  THAT SOMETHING LIKE BEING IN AN ONLINE SERVICES
  FOLDER, FOR EXAMPLE?
- A. YES. BEING IN THE ONLINE SERVICES

  FOLDER WOULD BE, QUOTE, IN THE WINDOWS BOX. BY
  WINDOWS BOX, THAT'S NOT A PHYSICAL--I MEAN,

DISTRIBUTING WITH OEM'S IS PART OF THE WINDOWS

BOX. I DON'T MEAN THE RETAIL THING. I MEAN PART

OF WINDOWS. HE WANTS TO BE PART OF WINDOWS.

Q. OKAY. AFTER THAT, THE NEXT SENTENCE

SAYS--THIS IS YOU CONTINUING--"I HAVE TOLD HIM

THAT THE ONLY WAY WE CAN EVEN CONSIDER AT&T BEING
IN THE WINDOWS BOX IS IF AT&T GIVES IE EXCLUSIVE

OR VERY, VERY PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT (A LA WHAT

WE HAVE WITH AOL)."

DO YOU SEE THAT?

- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THE ONLY WAY YOU

  CAN CONSIDER AT&T BEING IN THE BOX IS IF IT GIVES

  EXCLUSIVE OR VERY, VERY PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT?

#### A. SURE.

WELL, THIS WAS PART OF THE NEGOTIATIONS POSTURING THAT WAS GOING ON. WHEN I TALKED TO MR. EVSLIN, HE WAS--WHAT'S THE POLITE WAY TO PUT IT?--VERY OBNOXIOUS, SHALL WE SAY.

AT&T WORLDNET HAD JUST BEEN ANNOUNCED

OR WAS ABOUT TO BE ANNOUNCED. I'D HAVE TO LOOK

AT THE DATES, BUT I THINK IT WAS JUST ANNOUNCED.

AND IF YOU'LL RECALL, THEY CAME WITH A BIG

SPLASH. THEY CAME OUT IN 1995 WITH UNLIMITED

USAGE, A LOT OF STORIES IN THE WALL STREET

JOURNAL, AND HE WAS JUST WRITING. HE THOUGHT

AT&T WAS JUST TAKING OVER THE WORLD. HE JUST

POUNDED HIS FIST, "I DEMAND TO BE IN THE WINDOWS

BOX. I DEMAND TO BE IN THE WINDOWS BOX."

I SAID, "OKAY. WE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT. BUT WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO FOR ME?"

"I'M NOT GOING TO DO SHIT FOR YOU. I

AM THE KING OF THE WORLD, YOU KNOW. YOU'RE GOING
TO DO THIS FOR ME BECAUSE I'M AT&T."

AND SO I LET HIM KNOW THAT PROBABLY
WASN'T GOING TO FLY. SO I TOOK AN EXTREME
POSITION IN THE OTHER DIRECTION WHICH WAS, "YOU
WANT TO BE PART OF THE WINDOWS BOX, YOU'RE GOING
TO HAVE TO DO SOMETHING SPECIAL FOR US. THERE
ARE VERY, VERY FEW PEOPLE WE ALLOW TO BE IN THE
WINDOWS BOX. IF YOU WANT THAT PREFERENTIAL
TREATMENT FROM US, WHICH IS EXTRAORDINARY
TREATMENT, WE'RE GOING TO WANT SOMETHING VERY
EXTRAORDINARY FROM YOU.

AND HE WAS BEING VERY SQUISHY AND OBNOXIOUS AND VERY NONCOMMITTAL AND SAID, "I'M NEVER GOING TO AGREE TO ANYTHING LIKE THAT."

I SAID, "FINE. WHEN YOU'RE READY TO

TALK ABOUT SOMETHING A LITTLE MORE REASONABLE, WE

CAN TALK."

Q. THE STATEMENT HERE, THE ONE WE JUST
READ ABOUT, "I HAVE TOLD HIM THAT THE ONLY WAY WE
CAN EVEN CONSIDER AT&T BEING IN THE WINDOWS BOX
IS IF AT&T GIVES IE EXCLUSIVE OR VERY, VERY
PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT," IS THAT SOMETHING YOU
ACTUALLY TOLD MR. EVSLIN?

A. I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT WORDS.

CERTAINLY THE MESSAGE WAS, YOU WANT TO BE IN THE WINDOW BOX, WE'RE GOING TO EXPECT--YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CHANGE YOUR ATTITUDE ABOUT YOUR NOT GOING TO DO ANYTHING FOR US IF YOU WANT TO BE PART OF IT. YOU WANT EXTREME VALUE? WE WANT SOME VALUE.

I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT WORDS I USED.

- Q. THE REFERENCE AT THE END OF THAT SENTENCE IN PARENTHESIS TO "WHAT WE HAVE WITH AOL," WHAT DID THAT REFER TO?
- A. IT REFERRED TO THE FACT THAT WE DO HAVE
  A GOOD ARRANGEMENT WITH AOL WHERE THEY GIVE SOME
  LEVEL OF PREFERENCE, FAVORABLE PREFERENCE, TO THE
  INTERNET EXPLORER. I DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFICS
  OF WHAT THEY ARE. AND IF THEY WANTED TO HAVE A
  SIMILAR POSITION TO AOL IN THE BOX, THEN WE WOULD
  WANT THE SIMILAR POSITION TO AOL IN TERMS OF
  LEVEL OF PREFERENCE WE GET.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	

O. AND BASED ON WHAT YOU SAY H	0.	AND	BASED	ON	TAHW	YOU	SAY	HERE	` - ·
--------------------------------	----	-----	-------	----	------	-----	-----	------	-------

- A. AND I DIDN'T GIVE SPECIFICS BECAUSE I
  WASN'T FAMILIAR--I DIDN'T KNOW SPECIFICS, WHAT
  THEY WERE VERY WELL, BUT MADE IT CLEAR THAT--YOU
  KNOW, WHEN HE TOOK AN EXTREME POSITION, I'M GOING
  TO START OUT WITH A MORE EXTREME POSITION ON THE
  OTHER SIDE, AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL COME TO A PLACE
  IN THE MIDDLE. AND I THINK WE DID.
- Q. GIVEN WHAT YOU SAY HERE, "IF AT&T GIVES IE EXCLUSIVE OR VERY, VERY PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT (A LA WHAT WE HAVE WITH AOL)," IS IT THE CASE THAT THE PREFERENCE AOL GAVE MICROSOFT WAS EITHER EXCLUSIVE OR VERY PREFERENTIAL?
- A. IT WAS PREFERENTIAL. IT WASN'T EXCLUSIVE.
- Q. IS IT BOUND TO VERY, VERY PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT, AS YOU SAID IT IN HERE?
- A. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO QUANTIFY "VERY, VERY."
- Q. BUT IT'S COMPARABLE TO WHATEVER THE ACTUAL AOL ARRANGEMENT IS?
- A. SIMILAR TO WHAT THE ARRANGEMENT WITH

  AOL WAS. IF WHAT THEY WERE LOOKING FOR FROM

  MICROSOFT WAS SIMILAR TO WHAT AOL GOT FROM

  MICROSOFT, THEN WE WOULD WANT SOMETHING SIMILAR

		l
:	1	١
•	2	
	3	
	4	
!	5	
1	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	•
1	2	
1	3	,
1	4	:
1	5	,
1	6	,
1	7	7
1	. 8	3
1	. 9	)
2	(	)
2	1	L
2	2	2
2	1	3

25

IN RETURN.

Q. YOU THEN GO ON. THE NEXT SENTENCE SAYS, "PARITY IS COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE FOR THEM TO BE IN THE BOX.

IS THAT AN ACCURATE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT YOU TOLD MR. EVSLIN?

A. YEAH. MR. EVSLIN SAID THE BEST HE
COULD DO WAS MAYBE PARITY. HE SAID HE WOULD HAVE
A HARD TIME SELLING PARITY, WHICH HE--YOU KNOW,
BUT HE DEMANDED TO BE IN THE WINDOWS BOX.

AND I SAID NO.

- Q. WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT HE MEANT BY PARITY AS SOMETHING HE COULD SELL?
- A. I DON'T RECALL AT THE TIME. I JUST DON'T--I DON'T RECALL.
- Q. DO YOU HAVE A GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT PARITY MEANS IN THIS CONTEXT?
- A. YEAH. IT COULD TAKE A NUMBER OF FORMS,
  AND I DON'T--I DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT IT REALLY
  MEANT.
  - O. WOULD IT BE FAIR--I'M SORRY. GO AHEAD.
- A. BUT I'D HAVE TO SAY IT WAS--BASED ON INTERACTIONS WITH MR. EVSLIN AT THAT TIME, THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH HE LEFT MICROSOFT, I'D SAY PEOPLE WERE SOMEWHAT WEARY OF ANY COMMITMENTS

OF PARITY FROM MR. EVSLIN, THAT THEY DIDN'T REALLY TRUST HIS--DIDN'T TRUST HIM THERE.

Q. FINALLY, YOU GO ON AFTER THE SENTENCE
WE JUST READ TO SAY, "HE GROANED. I TOLD HIM IT
WAS COMPLETELY NONNEGOTIABLE, AND HE HAD TO
DECIDE."

IS THAT, AGAIN, A FAIR STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT YOU TOLD MR. EVSLIN?

- A. IT'S A FAIR STATEMENT THAT HE IS--HE WASN'T GOING TO GET IN THE BOX WITH PARITY. IF YOU WANT PARITY, WE COULD DO A PARITY DEAL. I SAID, FINE. IF YOU WANT TO BE IN THAT BOX, IT NEEDS TO BE MORE THAN PARITY.
- Q. AND WOULD A PARITY DEAL BE WHAT YOU
  REFER TO IN THE FINAL SENTENCE OF THIS PARAGRAPH,
  "I DID SAY WE'D LICENSE THEM IE FOR
  REDISTRIBUTION (AS THEY HAVE WITH NSCP TODAY) BUT
  THAT'S SEPARATE FROM HIS DESIRE TO BE WITH
  WINDOWS; IN OTHER WORDS, THE PARITY OPTION MEANS
  NO WINDOWS BOX."
  - A. WHAT'S YOUR QUESTION?
- Q. IS THAT WHAT YOU MEANT A SECOND AGO
  WHEN YOU SAID IF THEY WANTED A PARITY DEAL, YOU'D
  GIVE THEM A PARITY DEAL?
  - A. YES. IF THEY WANTED A PARITY DEAL,

	_	ı
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	:5	,

THEY COULD GET IE FOR REDISTRIBUTION. BUT HE WANTED TO BE PART OF THE BOX. HE WANTED MORE VALUE FROM US; WE EXPECTED MORE VALUE FROM HIM.

- Q. DOWN BELOW, NOW, ABOUT THREE-FOURTHS OF
  THE WAY DOWN THE PAGE, THIS IS IN KEVIN CHERRY'S
  MESSAGE UNDER THE OVERVIEW "HEADING," DO YOU SEE
  THE NEXT TO THE LAST PARAGRAPH, THE ONE THAT
  STARTS "AT&T HAS SOME HARD DECISIONS"?
  - A. YES, I SEE IT, YES.
- Q. OKAY. CAN YOU TAKE A MINUTE AND READ THAT PARAGRAPH.

(WITNESS COMPLIES.)

- A. OKAY.
- Q. THE FIRST SENTENCE THERE READS, "AT&T HAS SOME HARD DECISIONS TO MAKE REGARDING HOW IMPORTANT THEIR COMMITMENT TO NETSCAPE IS COMPARED TO GETTING IN WIN 95/IE SIGNUP AND A STRONGER MICROSOFT RELATIONSHIP."

WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT

AT&T'S COMMITMENT TO NETSCAPE AS REFERRED TO HERE

WAS?

A. MY UNDERSTANDING--I DON'T KNOW WHAT

MR. CHERRY WAS REFERRING TO, BUT MY UNDERSTANDING

WAS AT THE TIME AT&T WAS A VERY HEAVY PROMOTER OF

NETSCAPE'S BROWSER, AND THEY DID HAVE A--BEYOND

WORLDNET, THEY ALSO HAD OTHER RELATIONSHIPS WITH 1 NETSCAPE. 2 (EXCERPT.) 3 THE SENTENCE CONTINUES, "THEY'D REALLY 4 Q. LIKE TO BE BROWSER-NEUTRAL AND ARE STRONGLY 5 MOTIVATED TO PRESERVE THEIR PARTNERSHIP WITH 6 7 NETSCAPE." DO YOU SEE THAT? 8 9 YES, I DO. Α. 10 WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID MR. EVSLIN TELL Q. YOU ABOUT AT&T WANTING TO REMAIN BROWSER-NEUTRAL? 11 12 Α. BASICALLY THAT. DID HE SAY WHY AT&T WANTED THAT? 13 0. NOT THAT I RECALL. Α. 14 WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID HE SAY ABOUT 15 0. T&TA 16 I MEAN, HE DID SAY THEY WERE STRONGLY 17 Α. 18 MOTIVATED TO PRESERVE THEIR PARTNERSHIP. BEYOND THAT, WHY, I DON'T KNOW. YOU'D HAVE TO 19 20 ASK MR. EVSLIN. THE SENTENCE CONTINUES, "BUT BRADSI HAS 21 Q. TOLD THEM THAT TO GET IN THE BOX THEY NEED TO 22 23 GIVE US PREFERRED STATUS; BRADSI ALSO TOLD THEM HE'D LET THEM USE OUR BOUNTIES TO PAY DOWN THE 24 25 NETSCAPE MIN COMMITS IF WE GOT PREFERRED STATUS."

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

#### DO YOU SEE THAT?

- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. WHAT IS THAT REFERRING TO?
- A. I DON'T RECALL. I MEAN, OUR BOUNTIES
  WOULD REFER TO AS WE SIGNED UP--AS A CUSTOMER
  WOULD SIGN UP THROUGH THE ONLINE SERVICES FOLDER,
  WE WOULD GET A SHARE OF THAT--OF THE SIGNUP FEE.
  - O. FROM AT&T?
- A. FROM WHICHEVER ISP OR CUSTOMER THEY
  SIGNED UP FOR, WE WOULD GET A SHARE OF THAT, AND
  THAT'S WHAT THAT REFERS TO.
- SO, APPARENTLY, WE WERE DISCUSSING MAKING THAT AMOUNT NEGOTIABLE OR SOMETHING. I DON'T RECALL AT THE TIME. I'M JUST READING WHAT IT SAYS HERE.
- Q. THE REFERENCE TO LET THEM, AT&T, USE
  OUR BOUNTIES TO PAY DOWN THE NETSCAPE MIN COMMITS
  IF WE GOT PREFERRED STATUS, DOES THAT MEAN THAT
  AT&T COULD USE THE BOUNTIES THAT THEY OR THEIR
  USERS WOULD OTHERWISE PAY TO YOU FOR SIGNUPS FROM
  BEING IN THE WINDOWS BOX TO ESSENTIALLY PAY DOWN
  THE NETSCAPE MINIMUM COMMITMENTS THAT THEY WOULD
  BE LOSING IF IE GOT PREFERRED STATUS?
- A. THAT'S MY INTERPRETATION. BUT I

  DON'T--THAT'S MY INTERPRETATION RIGHT NOW.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	
1	
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	
2	
2	4

DON'T RECALL WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AT THE TIME.

- Q. WHAT, IF ANY, IMPACT WOULD THE PREFERRED STATUS THAT YOU WERE SEEKING FOR IE WITH AT&T HAVE ON THEIR DESIRE EXPRESSED HERE TO BE BROWSER-NEUTRAL?
  - A. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.
- Q. IF AT&T DID A DEAL WITH MICROSOFT IN WHICH IE WAS PREFERRED IN SOME WAY, WHAT EFFECT WOULD THAT HAVE ON AT&T BEING BROWSER-NEUTRAL?
- A. THEY WOULDN'T BE. IF THEY WERE--GAVE

  IE PREFERRED, THEY WOULDN'T BE BROWSER-NEUTRAL.
- Q. FINALLY, THE NEXT TO THE LAST PARAGRAPH
  THAT BEGINS, "IT'S VERY CLEAR," DO YOU SEE THAT?
  - A. UH-HUH.
- Q. THE FIRST SENTENCE THERE SAYS, "IT'S VERY CLEAR THAT THEY REALLY, REALLY WANT TO BE IN THE WINDOWS BOX AND ARE ESPECIALLY HELL-BENT ON OBTAINING THE MOST FAVORABLE POSITION IN THE PHONE BOOK."

BASED ON YOUR DESCRIPTIONS WITH

MR. EVSLIN, WHAT, IF ANY, UNDERSTANDING DID HE

GIVE YOU ABOUT WHY AT&T REALLY, REALLY WANTED TO

BE IN THE WINDOWS BOX?

MR. HOLLEY: JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOU JUST SAID "DESCRIPTIONS."

MR. MALONE: I MEANT DISCUSSIONS. I'M SORRY IF I SAID "DESCRIPTIONS."

THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL. WHAT I

DO RECALL WAS MR. EVSLIN'S DEMEANOR AS IF AT&T

HAD A BIRTHRIGHT TO BEING IN THE WINDOWS BOX

BECAUSE THEY WERE AT&T. BUT HE DIDN'T

REALLY--AND I DIDN'T CARE WHAT HIS REASONS WERE.

HE HAD SOMETHING I HAD--HE WANTED SOMETHING I

HAD, I WANTED SOMETHING HE HAD.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. WAS PART OF THE VALUE TO AT&T THAT
  YOU'VE DESCRIBED OF BEING IN THE BOX, BEING IN
  WINDOWS BASED ON YOUR KNOWLEDGE THAT INTERNET
  EXPLORER WOULD BE ESSENTIALLY, IN YOUR TERMS,
  PART OF OR SHIPPED WITH EVERY PC THAT WENT OUT
  WITH WINDOWS 95 ON IT?
- A. THE VALUE TO AT&T WAS THAT THEIR

  CUSTOMIZED CLIENT WOULD BE PART OF WINDOWS, NOT

  JUST INTERNET EXPLORER, BUT THE AT&T CUSTOMIZED

  CLIENT AS WELL AS EASY ACCESS TO SIGN UP AND HAVE

  YOUR PC CONFIGURED FOR ACCESS TO THE AT&T

  WORLDNET SERVICE WAS PART OF WINDOWS. THAT'S A

  DIFFERENT STATEMENT THAN WHAT YOUR QUESTION WAS.
- Q. THAT EASY ACCESS TO SIGN UP AND CONFIGURATION, THAT'S AT&T BEING IN THE ONLINE

4	
	L
_	_

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### SERVICES FOLDER?

A. WHATEVER--I'M NOT SURE WHETHER IT WAS PART OF--WE DISCUSSED THIS ALREADY. I

DON'T--THERE WAS SOME MECHANISM TO MAKE IT EASY, WHETHER IT WAS THE ONLINE SERVICES FOLDER, THE INTERNET CONNECTION WIZARD, OR AT&T'S OWN SIGNUP WIZARD OR WHATEVER, I DON'T KNOW. WE MADE IT VERY EASY FOR AT&T TO ACQUIRE CUSTOMERS AND SIGN UP AND HAVE THEM CONFIGURED. AND YOU WOULDN'T HAVE TO DO A CD MAILED TO YOU. IT WAS ALL RIGHT THERE, AND IT WOULD BE CONFIGURED.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. SO, YOU CAN'T RECALL IF THIS EPISODE,
  IF YOU WILL, WAS PART OF WHAT STARTED IT?
  - A. NO IDEA. NO IDEA.

I THINK--THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION

WAS THAT THEY WERE--I DON'T--IS THAT THERE WAS A

DEBATE WITHIN MICROSOFT OVER WHETHER WE SHOULD DO

A DEAL WITH AOL AND SHIP THE AOL CLIENT WITH

WINDOWS. MR. GATES WAS ORIGINALLY STRONGLY

OPPOSED, AS WAS THE MSN TEAM.

MR. CHASE, MYSELF, AND MR. MARITZ WERE IN FAVOR. AND THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT THIS WAS PART OF MR. MARITZ'S INTERNAL MANEUVERING TO BUILD A CASE TO MR. GATES ON WHY

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	ار
10	
11	
12	?
13	3
14	Ł
15	5
16	5
17	7
18	3
19	9
20	
2:	L
2:	
2:	
2	4

WE SHOULD DO A DEAL WITH AOL.

- Q. AND BY "THIS," YOU MEAN THE SHOPPING TRIP RESULTS REFERRED TO IN THE MESSAGE?
  - A. I GUESS, YEAH.
- SO, I THINK MR. MARITZ WAS UNDERPLAYING
  THE IMPORTANCE TO MAKE MR. GATES FEEL MORE
  COMFORTABLE. I KNOW MR. GATES WAS VERY, VERY
  UNCOMFORTABLE ABOUT SHIPPING AOL.
- Q. FROM WHAT YOU HEARD OR WERE TOLD, WHY
  WAS BILL GATES VERY UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE IDEA
  OF SHIPPING AOL ON WINDOWS?
- A. HIS--HIS BIGGEST--WELL, WHAT I REMEMBER AS THE BIGGEST FLASHPOINT WAS HE FELT IT WAS PUTTING A BULLET THROUGH MSN'S HEAD. I THINK THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN EVEN HIS WORDS, SOME OF THE ONES THAT I REMEMBER, WHETHER THEY WERE ONES HE ACTUALLY USED OR NOT. AND MR. SIEGELMAN WAS VERY, VERY UNHAPPY ABOUT THE PROSPECT, AND SO IT WAS A RATHER HEATED DISCUSSION INTERNALLY.
- Q. AND MR. SIEGELMAN WAS THE HEAD OF MSN AT THAT POINT?
  - A. MR. SIEGELMAN WAS IN CHARGE OF MSN.
- Q. WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHY

  MR. GATES FELT THAT SHIPPING AOL WITH WINDOWS WAS

  THE EOUIVALENT OF PUTTING A BULLET THROUGH MSN'S

HEAD? HOW WOULD THAT WORK?

- A. I DIDN'T THINK IT WAS, SO I DIDN'T--I
  DISAGREED WITH THAT POINT OF VIEW. I THINK IT
  WAS JUST MR. SIEGELMAN GOING NONLINEAR, WHICH HE
  WAS WANTING TO DO.
- Q. WAS HIS BASIC CONCERN, AS YOU
  UNDERSTOOD IT, THAT ESSENTIALLY AOL WAS A
  COMPETITOR TO MSN, SO PUTTING ACCESS AND SIGNUP
  SOFTWARE FOR AOL ON WINDOWS WOULD TAKE AWAY FROM
  THE SUCCESS OF MSN?
- A. I BELIEVE THAT WAS ONE OF HIS CONCERNS.

  I DON'T THINK I COULD DO JUSTICE TO DESCRIBE WHAT

  HIS CONCERNS REALLY WERE. THAT WOULD CERTAINLY

  BE ONE OF THEM, BUT IT WAS NOT A PARTICULARLY

  RATIONAL DEBATE UNTIL--IT TOOK A WHILE FOR IT TO

  BECOME RATIONAL.

AND THIS WAS PART OF MR. MARITZ AND MY--MR. MARITZ ARRANGED THIS, I BELIEVE, BUT IN WORKING WITH MR. MARITZ AND MR. CHASE TO TRY TO GET MR. GATES TO SEE THE BENEFITS TO MICROSOFT WORKING WITH AOL.

- Q. WHAT DID YOU AND MR. MARITZ AND MR. CHASE DESCRIBE TO BILL GATES THAT THE BENEFITS OF WORKING WITH AOL WOULD BE?
  - A. WELL, FIRST AND FOREMOST, TO HAVE--AOL

WAS GOING TO CHOOSE A PARTNER, WHETHER IT WAS US OR NETSCAPE, AND WE HAD TO DECIDE WHETHER WE WANTED IT TO BE US OR THEM. AND WE LOOKED AT THEIR--I GUESS IT SAYS 30 PERCENT HERE. I THINK I SAID 40 PERCENT EARLIER. IT WAS SIGNIFICANT. TO HAVE AOL AS A PARTNER FOR 30 PERCENT, 40 PERCENT OF INTERNET WAS A SIGNIFICANT ISSUE.

AND WE FELT THAT COULD BE FAVORABLE TO
OUR BUSINESS IF WE PARTNERED WITH THEM AS OPPOSED
TO NETSCAPE. AND WE CAN THANK NETSCAPE FOR BEING
AS ARROGANT AND DISAGREEABLE A PARTNER AS
POSSIBLE FOR GETTING THE DEAL.

I BASICALLY TOLD MR. CASE--WELL, WE HAD COMPONENTIZED ARCHITECTURE, AS YOU'RE AWARE, THAT WILL MAKE IT VERY EASY FOR AOL TO BUILD THEIR OWN SPECIALIZED CLIENT. NETSCAPE DID NOT HAVE SUCH AN ARCHITECTURE, AND ANY REQUESTS AOL WANTED IN TERMS OF SPECIAL FEATURES REQUIRED DEVELOPMENT--SPECIAL DEDICATED DEVELOPMENT EFFORT FROM THE NETSCAPE DEVELOPMENT CREW.

MR. CASE WOULD ASK MR. SCHELL--THIS IS

MR. CASE TELLING US THE STORY. I WASN'T THERE,

OBVIOUSLY. MR. CASE TOLD US HE WOULD ASK

MR. SCHELL FOR PARTICULAR FEATURES, AND

MR. SCHELL WOULD BASICALLY TELL HIM TO SCREW OFF,

THAT YOU'LL GET WHAT WE GIVE YOU WHEN WE GIVE YOU, IF WE DECIDE TO GIVE IT TO YOU.

AND WE CAN THANK MR. SCHELL FOR HELPING
US HELP--FOR MAKING NETSCAPE SUCH A DISAGREEABLE
AND SO ARROGANT PARTNER WHILE MICROSOFT WORKED
WITH AOL AND WAS VERY EXTRAORDINARILY
ACCOMMODATING ONCE WE DECIDED--ONCE WE CONVINCED
MR. GATES THAT WE WANTED THE DEAL.

- Q. WAS THE PART OF WORKING WITH AOL THAT

  MR. GATES AND MR. SIEGELMAN WERE SO WORRIED ABOUT

  IN TERMS OF MSN AOL SHIPPING IE, OR WAS IT AOL

  BEING GIVEN SOME PLACEMENT IN THE WINDOWS BOX, AS

  YOU DESCRIBED IT EARLIER, ON THE WINDOWS DESKTOP?
- A. I DON'T RECALL. I DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFICS. IT WAS PROBABLY THE WAY STEVE CASE SPELLS HIS NAME, I DON'T KNOW.

  JUST--MR. SIEGELMAN WAS VERY, VERY EDGY REGARDING AOL AND USED WHATEVER ARGUMENT HE COULD TO CONVINCE MR. GATES.

ULTIMATELY, HE LOST THE ARGUMENT, AND
HE WAS VERY UPSET ABOUT THAT, AND I THINK THAT
WAS A SIGNIFICANT FACTOR ON HIS LEAVING THE
COMPANY, BECAUSE HE DID LEAVE SHORTLY THEREAFTER.
(EXCERPT.)

O. AND ARE THERE SPECIFIC PARTS OR

1	IMPLEMENTATIONS OF THE ACTIVE DESKTOP THAT YOU
2	BELIEVE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOT BEING WELL
3	ADOPTED OR NOT BEING SUCCESSFUL?
4	A. I DON'TI'M JUST NOT HAPPY WITH THE
5	WAY IT TURNED OUT. AND I WASN'T HAPPY DURING THE
6	DEVELOPMENT OF IE 4. MY TEAM KNOWS IT.
7	Q. WHY DON'T YOU USE IT? WHAT DON'T YOU
8	LIKE ABOUT IT?
9	A. I DON'T SEE HOW THAT'S RELEVANT TO THE
10	TOPIC.
11	Q. I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. YOU, AS A
12	MICROSOFT EXECUTIVE, THOUGHT THAT THE QUALITY AND
13	THE IMPLEMENTATION OF IE 4 WASN'T WHERE IT SHOULI
14	BE, WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH. I JUST WANT TO TRY TO
15	UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU THOUGHT WAS WRONG WITH IT.
16	A. I JUST DIDN'T LIKE IT. I DON'T SEE HOW
17	IT'S RELEVANT TO THE DISCUSSION.
18	Q. I JUST NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHAT DID YOU
19	THINK WAS WRONG WITH IT, WHAT YOU DIDN'T LIKE.
20	MR. HOLLEY: WE'RE HAVING A LAWSUIT NOW
21	ABOUT QUALITY OF THE PRODUCT?
22	THE WITNESS: DIDN'T LIKE IT. DIDN'T
23	SUIT MY NEEDS.
24	BY MR. MALONE:

Q. BEYOND YOUR SPECIFIC NEEDS, WHAT DID

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>
22
23

25

YOU THINK ABOUT THE ACTIVE DESKTOP WAS
RESPONSIBLE FOR ITS LACK OF ADOPTION WHICH YOU
DESCRIBED EARLIER?

- A. I DON'T KNOW. IT CERTAINLY REQUIRES MORE MEMORY, SO THAT'S A FACTOR.
  - Q. WHAT ELSE?
- A. I DON'T KNOW. CUSTOMERS DON'T SEEM TO
  LIKE IT. IT SEEMS LIKE THE MARKET'S WORKING
  PRETTY WELL. IF CUSTOMERS DON'T LIKE IT, THEY'RE
  NOT ADOPTING IT.
- Q. WHEN YOU SAY THE ACTIVE DESKTOP
  REQUIRES MORE MEMORY, WHAT SIGNIFICANCE DOES THAT
  HAVE TO THE USER?
- A. IF A USER DOESN'T HAVE THAT MEMORY, IT COULD SLOW DOWN HIS OVERALL OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM, WHICH MOST USERS DON'T CARE TO DO.
- Q. SO, WHATEVER APPLICATIONS THE USER HAD RUNNING MIGHT BE LESS--MIGHT BE SLOWER TO PERFORM?
- A. WELL, THE SYSTEM MIGHT BE SLOWER, NOT JUST THE APPLICATIONS, BUT WINDOWS ITSELF WOULD BE SLOWER--COULD BE SLOWER IF YOU DIDN'T HAVE SUFFICIENT MEMORY.
  - Q. IS THAT SOMETHING--
  - A. BUT I'M NOT--I'M NOT HERE, YOU KNOW, TO

JUDGE IT. JUST THE FACT IS IT'S NOT--I DIDN'T
LIKE IT. I EVENTUALLY WILL. BUT THE INITIAL
IMPLEMENTATIONS WASN'T--DIDN'T SUIT MY NEEDS. I
THINK THE DATA SUPPORTS THAT IN TERMS OF THE
AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC, THIS LEVEL OF SUCCESS THAT
ACTIVE CHANNELS HAS.

- Q. THE ISSUE YOU JUST DESCRIBED OF THE ACTIVE DESKTOP REQUIRING MORE MEMORY AND THAT MIGHT SLOW DOWN THE OVERALL SYSTEM FOR USERS, IS THAT SOMETHING THAT GETS BETTER OR GOES AWAY IF THE USER TURNS THE ACTIVE DESKTOP OFF, TO USE THE COLLOQUIAL TERM?
- A. YES, IT DOES. YOU USE LESS MEMORY WITHOUT THE ACTIVE DESKTOP. IT'S CALLED THE WORKING SIDE OF THE SYSTEM DECREASES.