## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Civil Action No.: 98-CV-1497

Plaintiff,

Filed: 6/15/98

v.

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA, and ALUMAX INC.,

Defendants.

## STIPULATION AND ORDER

It is hereby STIPULATED by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.
- 2. The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedure and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

- 3. Defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, or until expiration of time for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation by the parties, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.
- 4. This Stipulation shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended proposed Final Judgment agreed upon in writing by the parties and submitted to the Court.
- 5. In the event that plaintiff withdraws its consent, as provided in paragraph 2 above, or in the event that the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, the time has expired for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and the Court has not otherwise ordered continued compliance with the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

and will be made, and that the defendants w	rill later raise no claims of hardship or difficulty as
grounds for asking the Court to modify any o	of the divestiture provisions contained therein.
Respectfully submitted,	
FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:	FOR DEFENDANT ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA:
NINA B. HALE Washington Bar # 18776  /s/ ANDREW K. ROSA Hawaii Bar # 6366 Attorneys Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 325 Seventh St., N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 307-6316 (202) 307-0886  Dated:	MARK LEDDY DC Bar # 404833 DAVID I. GELFAND DC Bar # 416596 STEVEN J. KAISER DC Bar # 454251 CLEARY, GOTTLIEB, STEEN & HAMILTON 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 (202) 974-1500 FOR DEFENDANT ALUMAX INC.:
	ROBERT P. WOLF Virginia Bar # 1299 ALUMAX INC. 3424 Peachtree Road, N.E. Suite 2100 Atlanta, GA 30326 (404) 846-4651
<u>(</u>	<u>ORDER</u>
It is SO ORDERED, this day	of, 1998.
United States I	District Court Judge

Defendants represent that the divestiture ordered in the proposed Final Judgment can

6.