1 DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney JACQUELINE CHOOLJIAN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division LAWRENCE MIDDLETON Assistant United States Attorney (Cal. State Bar # 157866) 1800 United States Courthouse 5 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 6 Telephone: (213) 894-5010 Facsimile: (213) 894-6436 7 JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG Chief, Fraud Section 9 PETER B. CLARK Deputy Chief, Fraud Section 10 PHILIP UROFSKY Special Counsel for International Litigation 11 MICHAEL K. ATKINSON Trial Attorney 12 Criminal Division United States Department of Justice 13 Washington, D.C. 20005 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 17) No. CR 02-1244-SVW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 18 Plaintiff,) <u>PLEA AGREEMENT</u> 19) FOR DEFENDANT SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC. v. 20 SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC., 21 Defendant. 22 23 This constitutes the plea agreement ("Agreement") 24 1. 25 | between SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC. ("SYNCOR TAIWAN" or "defendant") and

the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of

||California ("the USAO") and the United States Department of

28 || Justice, Criminal Division ("the Criminal Division"), in <u>United</u>

26

States v. Syncor Taiwan, Inc., an investigation of illegal payments by defendant Syncor Taiwan to foreign officials of government hospitals in Taiwan to obtain or retain business in violation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1, et seq.

- 2. This Agreement is limited to the USAO and the Criminal Division, and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities. This Agreement does not bind any other Division of the Department of Justice, including the Tax Division. However, the USAO and the Criminal Division will bring this Agreement and Syncor Taiwan's cooperation to the attention of other prosecuting authorities or other agencies, if requested.
- 3. This Agreement contemplates the resolution of the criminal investigation now pending in the USAO and the Criminal Division against Syncor Taiwan and its parent corporations, and any of its parent corporations' present or past subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates for any foreign payments or the accounting thereof disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of the date of this Agreement, but specifically excluding any such conduct not disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of that date or any conduct occurring after that date. This Agreement will not close or preclude the investigation or prosecution of any natural persons, including any officers, directors, employees, stockholders, or agents of Syncor Taiwan or its parent corporations or any such natural persons within any divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of Syncor Taiwan or its

1 parent corporations who may have been involved in any of the 2 matters set forth in the Information or in any other matters.

4. This Agreement is entered pursuant to Rule 11(e)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

<u>PLEA</u>

- 5. Defendant gives up the right to indictment by a grand jury and agrees to plead guilty to a one-count Information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit 1, or a substantially similar form, charging Syncor Taiwan with violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3.
- 6. Defendant agrees that this Agreement will be executed by an authorized corporate representative. Defendant further agrees that a Resolution duly adopted by the Board of Directors of Syncor Taiwan, in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit 3, or in a substantially similar form, represents that the signatures on this Agreement by Syncor Taiwan and its counsel are authorized by Syncor Taiwan's Board of Directors.
- 7. Defendant agrees that the statute of limitations with respect to the criminal charge described in this Agreement and the Information will be tolled during the time period from the execution of this Agreement until the sentencing of defendant.
- 8. If the Court does not accept this Agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(e)(1)(C), then neither party will be bound by this Agreement and it will be deemed null and void. As a result, among other things, the USAO and the Criminal Division will be free to pursue a grand jury investigation and whatever prosecution they deem appropriate against defendant, its parent corporations, any successors, and

any of its parent corporations' present or past subsidiaries, divisions or affiliates for any foreign payments or the accounting thereof. In addition, defendant, its parent corporations, and any subsidiaries, divisions, or affiliates of its parent corporations will be free to assert any and all substantive and procedural defenses to any grand jury investigation or prosecution.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

9. In order for defendant to be guilty of violating Title
15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3, as charged in the
Information, the following must be true:

One: That the defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of a foreign country, or a director, officer, director, employee, or agent thereof, or a stockholder acting on a domestic concern's behalf;

Two: That the defendant acted corruptly;

Three: That the defendant, while in the United States, made use of the mails or any means of instrumentality of interstate commerce or took any other act in furtherance of an unlawful act under this statute;

Four: That the defendant offered, paid, promised to pay, or authorized the payment of any money or of anything of value;

Five: That the payment was to a foreign public official;
Six: That the payment was for one of four purposes:

- to influence any act or decision of the foreign public official;
- to induce the foreign public official to do or omit to do any act in violation of that official's lawful duty;
- to obtain an improper advantage; or

to induce that foreign public official to use his or her influence with a foreign government or instrumentality thereof to affect or influence any act or decision of such government or instrumentality; and

Seven: That the payment was made to assist the defendant in obtaining or retaining business for or with, or directing business to, any person.

Defendant admits that it is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in the Information.

PENALTIES

10. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3 is: a fine of \$2,000,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-3(e), 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d); five years probation, 18 U.S.C. § 3561(c)(1); and a mandatory special assessment of \$400, 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B).

FACTUAL BASIS

11. Defendant agrees and stipulates that the factual allegations set forth in the Information are true and correct and accurately reflect defendant's criminal conduct. The parties

further stipulate and agree to the Statement of Facts attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 2.

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 12. By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
 - c) The right to the assistance of counsel at trial.
- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right, if defendant wished, to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to call witnesses and to subpoena those witnesses to testify.
- 13. By pleading guilty, defendant also gives up any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

14. Fine: Subject to the Court's approval of this Agreement, the parties agree, pursuant to Rule 11(e)(1)(C), that defendant shall pay \$2 million within five (5) business days of the time of sentencing. Payment shall be made in the form of a cashier's check made payable to the Clerk, U.S. District Court.

The parties request that defendant's judgment and commitment order reflect the following:

The defendant shall pay a criminal fine of \$2 million to the Clerk, U.S. District Court. Interest on said fine is waived provided the fine is paid within five (5) business days of the time of sentencing.

- 15. The parties agree not to seek any adjustments to, or departures from, the agreed upon payment of \$2 million as set forth herein.
- 16. Organizational Probation: The parties agree that organizational probation need not be ordered in this case.
- 17. Community Service: The parties agree that community service need not be ordered in this case.
- 18. Forfeiture: The parties agree that forfeiture need not be ordered in this case.
- 19. Special Assessment: Defendant agrees to pay the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Central District of California within five (5) business days of the time of sentencing the mandatory special assessment of \$400.
- 20. Waiver of Presentence Report: The parties further agree, with the permission of the Court, to waive the requirement for a presentence report pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(b)(1)(A), based on a finding by the Court that the record contains information sufficient to enable the Court to meaningfully exercise its sentencing power. However, the parties agree that in the event the Court orders the preparation of a presentence report prior to sentencing, such order will not affect the agreements set forth herein.

21. Entry of Guilty Pleas and Sentencing: The parties further agree to ask the Court's permission to combine the entry of the pleas and sentencing into one hearing. However, the parties agree that in the event the Court orders that the entry of the guilty pleas and sentencing hearing occur at separate hearings, such an order will not affect the agreements set forth herein.

CALCULATION OF FINE

- 22. The agreement by the USAO and the Criminal Division to the \$2 million fine is based upon the following factors:
- a. By entering and fulfilling the obligations under this Agreement, defendant Syncor Taiwan demonstrates recognition and affirmative acceptance of responsibility for its criminal conduct. The plea underlying this Agreement is a result of the voluntary disclosure of the relevant conduct made by the parent of Syncor Taiwan, Syncor International Corporation, to the Criminal Division in November 2002. At the time of the disclosure, the conduct was unknown to the Criminal Division.
- b. By implementing an effective compliance program in connection with a Cease and Desist Order to be entered between the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and Syncor International Corporation, the parent company of defendant Syncor Taiwan, the company will implement additional policies and remedy past procedures in order to detect and prevent any further similar illegal conduct.
- c. \$2 million is the statutory maximum fine for a single count violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3.

CONSIDERATION BY THE OFFICE

23. In exchange for the corporate guilty plea of defendant Syncor Taiwan and the complete fulfillment of all its obligations under this Agreement, the USAO and the Criminal Division agree not to file criminal charges against Syncor International Corporation, any successors, or any of its other present and former subsidiaries, divisions, or affiliates, for any foreign payments or the accounting thereof disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of the date of this Agreement.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 24. Defendant agrees:
 - a) To plead guilty as set forth in this Agreement.
- b) To not knowingly and willfully fail to abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this Agreement.
- c) To not knowingly and willfully fail to: (i) appear as ordered for all court appearances, and (ii) obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
 - d) To not commit any crime.
- e) To not knowingly and willfully fail to be truthful at all times with the Court.
 - f) To pay the applicable fine and special assessment.
- 25. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO and the Criminal Division, and, as directed by the USAO and the Criminal Division, with any other federal, state, or local or foreign law enforcement agency. This cooperation requires defendant to:

- a) Provide full disclosure of all information known to defendant or its outside counsel as of the date of this Agreement of foreign payments and the accounting thereof.
- b) Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to such payments about which the USAO or the Criminal Division, or their designee, inquires.
- c) Recommend orally and in writing that all Syncor Taiwan officers, directors, employees, and agents cooperate fully with any investigation or prosecution conducted by the USAO or the Criminal Division relating to such payments, including appearing for interviews and testimony in the United States.
- , d) Provide access to copies of original documents and records relating to such payments.
- e) Provide access to defendant's outside accounting consultants as well as the records, reports, and documents of those outside accounting consultants relating to such payments disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of the date of this Agreement.
- f) Provide all memoranda of interviews compiled and prepared by Syncor Taiwan's counsel, outside counsel, consultants, accountants or other agents of interviews with individuals relating to such payments disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of the date of this Agreement.
- g) Provide full disclosure to law enforcement agencies in Taiwan and to cooperate fully with those agencies with respect to the conduct in Taiwan disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of the date of this Agreement.

1

- 3
- 5 6
- 7
- 8

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 26. If defendant complies fully with all defendant's obligations under this agreement, the USAO and the Criminal Division agree:
- To abide by all sentencing stipulations contained a) lin this Agreement.
- Not to further prosecute defendant, its parent b) corporations, any successors, and any of its parent corporations' past or present subsidiaries, divisions, or affiliates for violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1, et seq., disclosed to the USAO or the Criminal Division as of the date of this Agreement. Defendant understands that the USAO and the Criminal Division are free to prosecute defendant, its parent corporations, any successors, and any of its parent corporations' present or past subsidiaries, divisions, or affiliates for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after that date.
- In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

27. If defendant, at anytime between the execution of this Agreement and the completion of defendant's cooperation pursuant to this Agreement, knowingly and willfully violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this Agreement ("a breach"), the USAO or the Criminal Division may declare this Agreement breached. If the USAO or the Criminal Division declares the Agreement breached, and the Court finds such a

breach to have occurred, defendant will not be able to withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and the USAO and the Criminal Division will be relieved of all its obligations under this Agreement. Ir particular:

- a) The USAO and the Criminal Division will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty.
- b) The USAO and the Criminal Division will no longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO or the Criminal Division would otherwise have been obligated not to prosecute pursuant to this Agreement.
- c) The USAO and the Criminal Division will be free to prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d) The USAO and the Criminal Division will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of statements, tangible evidence, or information provided by defendant, and will be free to use any of those in any way in any investigation, prosecution, or civil or administrative action. Defendant will not be able to assert either (1) that those statements, tangible evidence, or information were obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination, or (2) any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(e)(6) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that

statements, tangible evidence, or information provided by defendant before or after the signing of this Agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be inadmissible.

- 28. Following a knowing and willful breach of this
 Agreement by defendant, should the USAO or the Criminal Division
 elect to pursue any criminal charge or any civil or
 administrative action that was not filed as a result of this
 Agreement, then:
- a). Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this Agreement and the discovery by the USAO or the Criminal Division of any knowing and willful breach by defendant.
- b) Defendant gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of preindictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such prosecution or action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing of this Agreement.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

29. Defendant Syncor Taiwan understands that the law gives defendants the right to appeal sentences imposed. Defendant Syncor Taiwan, however, gives up the right to appeal any sentence imposed by the Court, and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that defendant Syncor Taiwan is sentenced to not more than a \$2 million fine and a \$400 special assessment. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a post-conviction collateral attack on the sentence, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

30. The USAO and the Criminal Division give up their rights to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that the Court sentences defendant Syncor Taiwan to pay a total of \$2 million in fine and a \$400 special assessment.

31. Defendant Syncor Taiwan agrees that if, at or before the time of sentencing, defendant Syncor Taiwan believes that the USAO or the Criminal Division has acted in violation of this Agreement in any way, defendant Syncor Taiwan will make that claim at or before the time of sentencing. If defendant does not object at or before the time of sentencing, defendant Syncor Taiwan gives up any right to later make that claim in challenging the conviction or sentence on appeal or collateral attack, except in a collateral attack raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

- 32. The Court is not a party to this Agreement and need not accept it. However, this Agreement will be presented to the Court under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(e)(1)(C) and, pursuant to that Rule, the Court may either accept or reject the Agreement or may defer its decision as to the acceptance or rejection until there has been an opportunity to consider the presentence report. The rights of defendant and of the USAO and the Criminal Division should the Court reject this Agreement are set forth in paragraph 8 supra.
- 33. This Agreement applies only to crimes committed by defendant and its parent corporations, and any subsidiaries, divisions, or affiliates of such parent corporations, has no

effect on any proceedings against any defendant not expressly mentioned herein, and shall not preclude any past, present, or future forfeiture actions except as expressly set forth above. NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS Except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings or agreements between the USAO or the Criminal Division and defendant or defendant's counsel with respect to the subject matter hereof. Nor may any additional agreement, understanding or condition be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court. This agreement is effective upon signature by defendant and an, Assistant United States Attorney. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney Lawrence Middleton Assistant United States Attorney Joshua R. Hochberg Chief, Fraud Section Criminal Division Peter B. Clark

Philip Urofsky Special Counsel for

Deputy Chief, Fraud Section

International Litigation

28 Criminal Division

Criminal Division

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7		
2	Michael K. Atkinson	Date
3	Trial Attorney, Fraud Section Criminal Division	Date
4	United States Department of Justice	
5	54-14	
6	SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.	
7		
8	Robert S. Bermett	12/3/02
9	Robert S. Bennett	Date
10	Level S. Ranh	12/3/02
11	Carl S. Rauh Attorneys for Defendant	Date
12	Syncor Taiwan, Inc.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

DIRECTOR'S CERTIFICATE

I have read this agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with counsel for Syncor Taiwan, Inc. I understand the terms of this Agreement and voluntarily agree, on behalf of Syncor Taiwan, Inc., to each of the terms. Before signing this Agreement, I consulted with the attorney for Syncor Taiwan, Inc. The attorney fully advised me of Syncor Taiwan, Inc.'s rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Agreement. No promises or inducements have been made other than those contained in this Agreement. Furthermore, no one has threatened or forced me, or to my knowledge any person authorizing this Agreement on behalf of Syncor Taiwan, Inc., in any way to enter into this Agreement. I am also satisfied with the attorney's representation in this matter. I certify that I am a Director of Syncor Taiwan, Inc., and that I have been duly authorized by Syncor Taiwan, Inc. and its parent company, Syncor International Corporation, to execute this plea agreement on behalf of Syncor Taiwan, Inc.

Syncor Taiwan, Inc.

Date

Dec. 2, 200-

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

I am counsel for Syncor Taiwan, Inc. In connection with such representation, I have examined relevant Syncor Taiwan, Inc. documents, and have discussed this Agreement with the authorized representative of Syncor Taiwan, Inc. Based on my review of the foregoing materials and discussions, I am of the opinion that:

- Edwin A. Burgos is duly authorized to enter into this 1. Agreement on behalf of Syncor Taiwan, Inc.
- This Agreement has been duly and validly authorized, 2. executed and delivered on behalf of Syncor Taiwan, Inc., and is a valid and binding obligation of Syncor Taiwan, Inc.

Further, I have carefully reviewed every part of this Agreement with directors of Syncor Taiwan, Inc. and its ultimate parent company Syncor International Corporation. I have fully advised these directors of Syncor's rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Agreement. To my knowledge, Syncor Taiwan, Inc.'s decision to enter into this Agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

21 22 Robert S. Bennett SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

 $\frac{12/3/02}{\text{Date}}$

23 24

25

26

Carl S. Rauh

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,

MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

Attorneys for Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.

19

21

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT **DEC** - 5 2002 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR 08-2 - 1244 1

Plaintiff,

INFORMATION

v.

[15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3]

SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.,

Defendant.

The United States Attorney charges:

[15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3]

At all times relevant to this Information:

20 INTRODUCTION

Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC. ("SYNCOR TAIWAN") was a 22 Taiwan corporation engaged in providing radiopharmacy services 23 and outpatient medical imaging services and maintained its 24 principal place of business in Taipei, Taiwan. Defendant SYNCOR 25 TAIWAN was an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Syncor 26 International Corporation ("Syncor"), a corporation that has its 27 principal place of business in Woodland Hills, California. As a 28 corporation organized under the law of a foreign nation, the

- 1 defendant, SYNCOR TAIWAN, was a "person" as that term is defined 2 in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 3 U.S.C. § 78dd-3(f)(1).
- The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"), as 5 mended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd, et seq., prohibits payments to foreign 6 government officials to obtain or retain business. The FCPA was 7 amended, effective November 10, 1998, to prohibit, inter alia, 8 foreign persons from taking any act within the territory of the 9 United States in furtherance of such payments.
- Under the Taiwan Relations Act of 1979, as amended, 22 10 3. 11 U.S.C. § 3301, et seq., whenever the laws of the United States 12 refer or relate to foreign countries, nations, states, 13 governments, or similar entities, such terms shall include and 14 such laws shall apply with respect to Taiwan. 22 U.S.C. § 15 (3303(b)(1).
- The Chairman of the Board of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN 4. 17 resided in Woodland Hills, California.
- The revenue of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN was from two 5. 19 major sources: (1) sales of radiopharmaceutical products to 20 hospitals; and (2) income from positron emission tomography ("PET"), a nuclear imaging technique used in the treatment of 22 |cancer, and outpatient medical imaging services.

PAYMENTS TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS

24 Commission Payments:

At least as early as on or about January 1, 1997 6. 26 through on or about November 6, 2002, defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN

27

23

25

16

18

1 made cash payments to physicians employed by hospitals owned by 2 the legal authorities on Taiwan (the "hospitals") to obtain and 3 retain certain business involving the sale of radiopharmaceutical 4 products and services. The hospitals were instrumentalities of 5 the legal authorities on Taiwan, and the physicians were "foreign 6 officials" as that term is defined in the FCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-7 (3(f)(2)(A).

- 7. Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN entered into agreements with certain of these hospitals in Taiwan for the provision of radiopharmaceuticals. The practice of entering into such agreements was authorized by the Chairman of the Board of 12 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN on behalf of the defendant.
- 13 8. Before entering into the agreements with defendant 14 SYNCOR TAIWAN, the hospitals had a choice between purchasing 15 certain radiopharmaceuticals in bulk form or unit dosage form. 16 Although defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN was the sole provider in Taiwan 17 of certain radiopharmaceuticals in unit dosage forms, there were 18 other manufacturers and suppliers in Taiwan of these 19 radiopharmaceuticals in bulk form. Unit dosage sales were 20 preferred by defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN as they provided the company 21 with a greater profit. In order to obtain and retain business 22 with these hospitals for the provision of unit dosage 23 radiopharmaceuticals, defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, acting through its 24 officers and agents, entered into "side agreements" with physicians employed by the hospitals -- usually with the 26 department heads of the hospitals' nuclear medicine departments -

27

11

- whereby these physicians would receive commissions on the defendant's sales of these radiopharmaceuticals (hereinafter referred to as the "commission payments"). The commission payments were generally 10%, but could be as high as 20%, of the gross sales generated by the contracts. The responsible officers of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN understood that the hospitals would not have entered into or maintained their business with the defendant if such commission payments had not been made.
- 9 9. The General Manager of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN
 10 periodically hand delivered the commission payments, in cash, in
 11 sealed envelopes.
- 12 10. From in or about January 1, 1997 through on or about
 13 November 6, 2002, defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN made commission
 14 payments totaling approximately \$344,110 to physicians in four of
 15 these hospitals in Taiwan, as follows:

16	<u>Date</u>	Amount of Commission Payments
17	1997	\$7,887
18	1998	\$61,477
19	1999	\$47,698
20	2000	\$94,560
21	2001	\$74,437
22	01/01/02-11/06,	/02 \$58,051

23 Referral Payments:

11. From in or about January 1998 through on or about
November 6, 2002, defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN made cash payments to
certain physicians employed by certain of these hospitals to

27

1 obtain and retain business for and with, and direct business to, defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN's imaging centers (hereinafter referred 3 to as the "referral payments"). The hospitals were 4 instrumentalities of the legal authorities on Taiwan, and the 5 physicians were "foreign officials" as that term is defined in 6 the FCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3(f)(2)(A).

- 12. Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN made the referral payments to 8 the physicians to induce the physicians to refer their patients 9 to defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN's imaging centers. The amounts of the 10 referral payments were based on a percentage of the servicing 11 costs incurred by the patients at the PET and imaging centers, 12 generally 3-5% of the service fee income from the patient.
- After a patient incurred a servicing cost at one of 14 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN's imaging centers, defendant SYNCOR 15 TAIWAN's officers would transfer or cause to be transferred a 16 referral payment by wire from defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN's bank 17 account to the bank account of one of its imaging centers. The 18 pank accounts of the imaging centers were under the control of 19 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN's bookkeepers at each imaging center. The 20 bookkeepers withdrew cash from the accounts, which was then 21 delivered to the physicians. The responsible officers of 22 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN understood that the physicians would have 23 referred the patients to imaging centers not owned by defendant 24 SYNCOR TAIWAN if such referral payments had not been made.
- From in or about January 1, 1998 through on or about 26 November 6, 2002, defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN made referral payments

27

25

1 totaling approximately \$113,007 to physicians in certain of these 2 hospitals in Taiwan, as follows:

3	<u>Date</u>	Amount of Referral Payments
4	1998	\$3,287
5	1999	\$8,718
6	2000	\$8,417
7	2001	\$17,910
8	01/01/02-11/06	/02 \$74,675

9 Authorization and Recording of the Payments

- 15. Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN recorded the commission 11 payments and the referral payments on its books and records as 12 "promotional and advertising expenses." The General Manager and 13 Deputy General Manager of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN regularly 14 prepared and submitted to its parent company, Syncor, budgets 15 with a line item for promotional and advertising expenses based 16 primarily on the amount of such expenditures in the prior quarter 17 or year. Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN routinely sent these budgets by 18 electronic mail to Syncor's principal place of business in 19 Woodland Hills, California.
- The Chairman of the Board of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, 16. 21 while in the United States, authorized the practice of paying the 22 commission and referral payments to the employees of the 23 hospitals. The Chairman of the Board of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, 24 while in the United States, also approved and caused to be 25 approved the budgets for promotion and advertising expense of 26 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, which included the amounts defendant

27

20

10

1 SYNCOR TAIWAN intended to pay to the officials of the hospitals
2 in the following quarter or year. The Chairman of the Board sent
3 and caused to be sent approval of the proposed budgets by
4 telephone and electronic mail from Woodland Hills, California, to
5 Taipei, Taiwan.

B. FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT VIOLATION

In or about the fourth quarter of 2001, in the Central 8 District of California, the defendant, SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC., a 9 person as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3(f)(1), acted 10 within the territory of the United States, that is, it sent an 11 electronic mail message from Woodland Hills, California to 12 Taipei, Taiwan containing a budget providing for the funding of 13 payments to foreign government officials, that is, physicians 14 employed by hospitals owned by the legal authorities on Taiwan, 15 corruptly in furtherance of an offer, promise to pay, and 16 authorization of the payment of money, for the purposes of 17 influencing the acts and decisions of the foreign officials in 18 their official capacity; inducing the foreign officials to do and 19 omit to do acts in violation of their lawful duty; securing an 20 improper advantage; and inducing them to use their influence so 21 as to affect and influence an instrumentality of a foreign 22 government, that is, hospitals owned by the legal authorities on 23 Taiwan, to affect and influence acts and decisions of such 24 intrumentalities, in order to assist the defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN 25 to obtain and retain business, and to direct business to the 26 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, that is, agreements for the sale of unit

1	dosages of radiopharmaceuticals and the referral of patients to
2	imaging centers.
	All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(a)(1)(A) and (B); and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	DEBRA W. YANG
7	United States Attorney
8	
9	By: Jagueline Chooljian Assistant United States Attorney
10	Chief, Criminal Division
11	Lawrence Middleton Assistant United States Attorney
12	Chief, Public Corruption and Government Fraud Section
13	
14	JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG Chi <u>ef</u> Fraud Section
15	Criminal Division
16	By: >
17	Peter B. Clark / Deputy Chief, Fraud Section
18	Criminal Division
19	
20	Philip Urofsky Special Counsel for
21	International Litigation Criminal Division
22	
23	Michael K./Atkinson
24	Trial Attorney Criminal Division
25	United States Department of Justice
26	- -
27	
28	- 8 -

EXHIBIT 2

2	DEBRA W. YANG		
	United States Attorney		
	JACQUELINE CHOOLJIAN Assistant United States Attorney		
	Chief, Criminal Division LAWRENCE MIDDLETON		
	Assistant United States Attorney (Cal. State Bar # 157866)		
6	1800 United States Courthouse		
7	312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012		
8	Telephone: (213) 894-5010 Facsimile: (213) 894-6436		
	JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG		
10	Chief, Fraud Section PETER B. CLARK		
	Deputy Chief, Fraud Section PHILIP UROFSKY		
	Special Counsel for International Litigation MICHAEL K. ATKINSON		
	Trial Attorney Criminal Division		
į	United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20005		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CRIMINAL NO.		
19) v.) 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3		
20) (Foreign Corrupt) Practices Act)		
21	SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.		
22	Defendant.		
23)		
24	STATEMENT OF FACTS		
25	Should this matter have proceeded to trial, the United		
26	States would have proven, by admissible evidence, the following		
27	facts beyond a reasonable doubt:		
28	EXHIBIT		

- Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC. ("SYNCOR TAIWAN") was an 1. 2 indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Syncor International 3 Corporation ("Syncor"). Syncor was a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Woodland Hills, California. Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN has its principal place of business in Taipei, Taiwan, and has been doing business in Taiwan since 1985. SYNCOR TAIWAN is Syncor's largest overseas operation in terms of revenue.
- Among other things, SYNCOR TAIWAN sold 2. 10 radiopharmaceuticals to both private hospitals and hospitals owned by the legal authorities in Taiwan. SYNCOR TAIWAN also 12 owned and operated medical imaging centers in Taiwan.
- Since at least January 1, 1997 through November 6, 14 2002, SYNCOR TAIWAN paid improper commissions to doctors who 15 controlled the purchasing decisions for the nuclear medicine 16 departments of certain hospitals, including hospitals owned by 17 the legal authorities in Taiwan ("the subject hospitals"), for 18 the purpose of obtaining or retaining business with the subject 19 hospitals. These improper commissions, typically between 10% and 20 20% of sales, totaled at least \$344,110 from January 1, 1997 through November 6, 2002. During this period, the payments of these commissions were made pursuant to the authorization of the Chairman of the Board of SYNCOR TAIWAN, while in Woodland Hills, California. In most cases, the commissions were paid in cash and hand-delivered in sealed envelopes to doctors by the General

21

22

1

8

9

13

- 1 Manager of SYNCOR TAIWAN, who was also the brother of SYNCOR 2 TAIWAN's Chairman of the Board.
- Beginning in 1998, SYNCOR TAIWAN also paid improper 3 fees to certain doctors at the subject hospitals for referrals of patients to medical imaging centers owned and operated by SYNCOR These referral fees typically were based on a percentage 7 (between 3% and 5%) of the service fees payable to each medical imaging center from the patients referred and typically took the form of a cash payment that was hand-delivered to the referring 10 doctor by a bookkeeper at the center after funds had been wire-11 transferred to the center for that purpose. From January 1, 1998 12 through November 6, 2002, these improper referral fees to doctors 13 at the subject hospitals totaled at least \$113,007. During this 14 period, the payments of these referral fees were made pursuant to 15 the authorization of the Chairman of the Board of SYNCOR TAIWAN, 16 While in Woodland Hills, California.
- Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN recorded the commission 5. 18 payments and the referral payments on its books and records as 19 "promotional and advertising expenses." The General Manager and 20 Deputy General Manager of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN regularly 21 prepared and submitted to its parent company, Syncor, budgets 22 with a line item for promotional and advertising expenses based 23 primarily on the amount of such expenditures in the prior quarter 24 or year. Defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN routinely sent these budgets by 25 electronic mail to Syncor's principal place of business in 26 Woodland Hills, California.

- The Chairman of the Board of defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, 6. 2 while in Woodland Hills, California, also approved and caused to 3 be approved the budgets for promotion and advertising expense of 4 defendant SYNCOR TAIWAN, which included the amounts defendant 5 SYNCOR TAIWAN intended to pay to the officials of the subject 6 hospitals in the following quarter or year. The Chairman of the 7 Board sent and caused to be sent approval of the proposed budgets by telephone and electronic mail from Woodland Hills, California, to Taipei, Taiwan.
- In or about the fourth quarter of 2001, SYNCOR TAIWAN 11 sent an electronic mail message from Woodland Hills, California 12 to Taipei, Taiwan containing a budget providing for the funding 13 of payments to physicians employed by hospitals owned by the 14 | legal authorities on Taiwan to assist SYNCOR TAIWAN in obtaining 15 or retaining agreements for the sale of unit dosages of 16 radiopharmaceuticals and the referral of patients to imaging centers.

day of December, Dated this the

For SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.

1

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Director and Secretary

Edwin A. Burgos

s. Robert Bennett Skadden, Arps, Slate,

Meagher & Flom LLP

S. Rauh Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

1	For the United States		Lawrence Middleton
2			Assistant United States Attorney
3			Joshua R. Hochberg
4			Chief, Fraud Section Criminal Division
5			[2] PO
6		By:	Peter B. Clark
7			Deputy Chief, Fraud Section Criminal Division
8			18/2: (2/1/1/1/
9			Philip Urofsky
10			Special Counsel for / International Litigation
11			Criminal Division
12	,		MKA/Q
13			Michael K. Atkinson Trial Attorney
14			Criminal Division
15			United States Department of Justice
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			5

EXHIBIT 3

CERTIFIED COPY OF RESOLUTION OF SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.

Upon motion duly made by Director Robert Funari, seconded by Director Edwin A. Burgos, and unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of all the Directors present, the following resolutions were adopted:

RESOLVED, that Edwin A. Burgos is elected as the Secretary of the Board of Directors of Syncor Taiwan, Inc. (the "Company"); and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the engagement of Skadden, Arps as special legal counsel to the Company is hereby approved and ratified; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that Syncor Taiwan, Inc., which has been the subject of an investigation by the United States Department of Justice in connection with commissions and referral payments made to physicians employed by government-owned hospitals in Taiwan, consents to a settlement of the investigation and will, in the Central District of California, enter a plea of guilty to a one count Information charging Syncor Taiwan, Inc. with violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that Edwin A. Burgos, a Director of the Company be, and hereby is, authorized to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to the Information substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors at this meeting; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that Edwin A. Burgos, a Director of the Company, be, and hereby is, authorized to execute the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Company substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors at this meeting.



CERTIFICATION

I, Edwin A. Burgos, hereby certify that I am the duly elected Secretary of the Board of Directors of Syncor Taiwan, Inc., that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of resolutions duly adopted by the Board of Directors of said Corporation, at a meeting thereof duly held at the office of the Corporation, in California, in the United States of America, and have not been rescinded or revoked; and that the foregoing resolutions are not contrary to any provisions in the Articles of Incorporation or By-Laws of Syncor Taiwan, Inc.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto signed my name as Secretary of said Corporation this 4th day of December, 2002.

Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, **OSCAR P. AGUILA**, declare:

That I am a citizen of the United States and resident or employed in Los Angeles County, California; that my business address is Office of United States Attorney, United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; that I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the above-entitled action;

That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the Central District of California who is a member of the Bar of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, at whose direction the service by mail described in this Certificate was made; that on **December 9, 2002**, I deposited in the United States mails in the United States Courthouse at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, in the above-entitled action, in an envelope bearing the requisite postage, a copy of:

PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT SYNCOR TAIWAN, INC.

addressed to: "SEE ATTACHMENT"

at **his/her/their** last known address, at which place there is a delivery service by United States mail.

This Certificate is executed on **December 9, 2002**, at Los Angeles, California.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DSCAR P. AGUILA

CACHMENT

1	ATTACHMENT
2	DODEDT C DENNETT ESO
3	ROBERT S. BENNETT, ESQ. CARL S. RAUH, ESQ. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
4	1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-2111
5	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 2111
6	HARRIET SPAULDING POSNER, ESQ. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
7	300 S. GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-3144
8	LOS AIGELES, CIT 900/1 3141
9	
10	
11	ı
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	