FILED RECEIVED ENTERED SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD DENIS J. McINERNEY 1 Chief CHARLES LA BELLA 2 NOV 1 0 2011 Deputy Chief 3 MICHAEL BRESNICK Assistant Chief CLERK US DISTRICT COURT NICOLE SPRINZEN 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA BY: MARY ANN McCARTHY DEPUTY 5 Trial Attorneys Fraud Section, Criminal Division U.S. Department of Justice 6 1400 New York Avenue, NW 7 Washington, DC 20530 (202) 598-2240 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 -oOo-11 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 13 Plaintiff, CASE NC 2:11-cr-00382-GMN -GWF 14 PLEA MEMORANDUM v. 15 DENISE KESER, 16 Defendant. 17 The United States of America, by and through Denis J. McInerney, Chief, U.S. 18 Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, and Charles La Bella, Deputy Chief, 19 Michael J. Bresnick, Assistant Chief, and Nicole Sprinzen and Mary Ann McCarthy, Trial 20 Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, the defendant, DENISE 21 22 KESER, and the defendant's attorney, Kevin Stolworthy, submit this Plea Memorandum. I. PLEA AGREEMENT 23 The United States and the defendant have reached the following agreement, which is not 24 binding on the court: 25 26 27

Case 2:11-cr-00382-GMN -GWF Document 5 Filed 11/10/11 Page 1 of 15

# A. The Plea

б

1. Defendant will plead guilty to Count One of the information, charging Defendant with conspiracy to commit wire and mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349. Defendant also agrees to pay restitution and to the forfeiture of the property set forth in this Plea Memorandum.

### B. Additional Charges

2. The U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section agrees to bring no additional criminal charges in the District of Nevada against the defendant relating to or arising from the offenses charged in the information, except for any crime of violence and any crime unknown to the Fraud Section before the time the parties sign this Plea Memorandum.

## C. Sentencing Guideline Calculations

- 3. Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") among other factors in determining the defendant's sentence. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines, the Court may be free to exercise its discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.
- 4. The parties agree that the following calculations of the United States Sentencing Guidelines (2010) apply:

Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(a)):	7
Loss Amount of \$10,000 to \$30,000 (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(C)):	4
Sophisticated Means (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(9)(C)):	2
Minor Role (U.S.S.G. §3B1.2)	-3
TOTAL	10

- 5. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1(a), the United States will recommend that the defendant receive a two (2) level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility unless Defendant (a) fails to make a complete factual basis for the guilty plea at the time it is entered; (b) is untruthful with the Court or probation officers in any respect, including without limitation, financial information; (c) denies involvement in the offense or provides conflicting statements regarding defendant's involvement; (d) attempts to withdraw the guilty plea; (e) engages in criminal conduct; (f) fails to appear in court; or (g) violates the conditions of defendant's pretrial release conditions.
  - 6. Defendant's Criminal History Category will be determined by the court.

## D. Other Sentencing Matters

- 7. The parties agree that the Sentencing Guideline calculations are based on information now known and could change upon investigation by the United States Probation Office. It is possible that factors unknown or unforeseen by the parties to the Plea may be considered in determining the offense level, specific offense characteristics, and other related factors. In that event, the defendant will not withdraw her plea of guilty. Both the defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.
- 8. The stipulations in this Memorandum do not bind either the United States
  Probation Office or the Court. Both Defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement
  the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court,
  and (b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.

## E. Fines and Special Assessment

- 9. Defendant agrees that the Court may impose a fine due and payable immediately upon sentencing.
- 10. Defendant will pay the special assessment of \$100 per count of conviction at the time of sentencing.

### F. Restitution

11. Defendant agrees to make restitution to the HOA communities with whom she contracted as a property manager in furtherance of this scheme, described below in Section IV. Defendant understands and agrees that this amount could be as much as \$16,000. Defendant understands that any restitution imposed by the Court may not be discharged in whole or in part in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.

### G. Forfeiture

- Defendant to pay forfeiture in addition to restitution. However, should the Court nevertheless order that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, the government agrees that it will take the position that such amount shall be the total payments and other items of value received by the Defendant resulting from her membership in the conspiracy, which is estimated at the time of this Plea Memorandum to total no more than \$16,000. In the event of any order by the Court that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees to the following:
  - a. to abandon or to forfeit the property to the United States;
  - b. to relinquish all right, title, and interest in the property;
- c. to waive his right to any abandonment proceedings, any civil administrative forfeiture proceedings, any civil judicial forfeiture proceedings, or any criminal forfeiture proceedings ("proceedings") of the property;
- d. to waive service of process of any and all documents filed in this action or any proceedings concerning the property arising from the facts and circumstances of this case;
- e. to waive any further notice to the defendant, the defendant's agents, or the defendant's attorney regarding the abandonment or the forfeiture and disposition of the property;
- f. not to file any claim, answer, petition, or other documents in any proceedings concerning the property;
  - g. to waive the statute of limitations, the CAFRA requirements, Fed. R. Crim. P.

7(c)(2), 32.2(a), and 32.2(b)(3), and the constitutional due process requirements of any abandonment proceeding or any forfeiture proceeding concerning the property;

- h. to waive the defendant's right to a jury trial on the forfeiture of the property;
- i. to waive (a) all constitutional, legal, and equitable defenses to, (b) any constitutional or statutory double jeopardy defense or claim concerning, and (c) any claim or defense under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, including, but not limited to, any claim or defense of excessive fine in any proceedings concerning the property; and
  - i. to the entry of an Order of Forfeiture of the property to the United States.
- 13. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees and understands the abandonment, the civil administrative forfeiture, the civil judicial forfeiture, or the criminal forfeiture of the property shall not be treated as satisfaction of any assessment, fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon the defendant in addition to the abandonment or the forfeiture.

## H. Waiver of Appeal

- 14. In exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Plea Memorandum, Defendant knowingly and expressly waives the right to appeal any sentence that is imposed within the applicable Sentencing Guideline range as calculated by the Court, further waives the right to appeal the manner in which that sentence was determined on the grounds set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, and further waives the right to appeal any other aspect of the conviction or sentence, including any order of restitution and forfeiture. Defendant reserves only the right to appeal any portion of the sentence that is an upward departure from the applicable Sentencing Guideline range calculated by the Court.
- 15. Defendant also waives all collateral challenges, including any claims under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, to the Defendant's conviction, sentence and the procedure by which the Court adjudicated guilt and imposed sentence, except non-waivable claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

## I. Additional Promises, Agreements, and Conditions

- 16. In exchange for the United States entering into this agreement, Defendant agrees that (a) the facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Memorandum shall be admissible against the Defendant under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(A) in the following circumstances: (i) for any purpose at sentencing; and (ii) in any subsequent proceeding, including a trial in the event the Defendant does not plead guilty or withdraws the Defendant's guilty plea, to impeach or rebut any evidence, argument or representation offered by or on the Defendant's behalf; and (b) the Defendant expressly waives any and all rights under Fed. R. Criminal P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 410 with regard to the facts set forth in Section IV of the Plea Memorandum to the extent set forth above.
- 17. The parties agree that no promises, agreements, and conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this Plea Memorandum, and will not be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

### J. Limitations

18. This Plea is limited to the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority. But, this Plea Memorandum does not prohibit the United States through any agency thereof, the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice, or any third party from initiating or prosecuting any civil proceeding directly or indirectly involving the Defendant, including but not limited to, proceedings under the False Claims Act relating to potential civil monetary liability or by the Internal Revenue Service relating to potential tax liability.

### K. Cooperation

19. Defendant agrees, if requested by the United States, to provide complete and truthful information and testimony concerning Defendant's knowledge of all other persons who are committing or have committed offenses against the United States or any state, and agrees to cooperate fully with the United States in the investigation and prosecution of such persons.

- 20. In the event the government decides in its sole discretion that the assistance provided by Defendant amounts to "substantial assistance" pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, the United States will timely file a motion for downward departure from the applicable Sentencing Guideline calculation. The Court has the sole discretion to grant such a motion.
- 21. Defendant agrees that a motion for downward departure based on substantial assistance shall not be made under any circumstances unless Defendant's cooperation is deemed to be substantial assistance by the government. The United States has made no promise, implied or otherwise, that Defendant will be granted a departure for substantial assistance. Further, no promise has been made that such a motion will be made even if Defendant complies with the terms of this Plea Memorandum in all respects but has been unable to provide substantial assistance as determined in the sole discretion of the government.
- 22. The United States agrees to consider the totality of the circumstances, including but not limited to, the following factors, in determining whether, in the sole discretion of the government, Defendant has provided substantial assistance which would merit a motion by the United States for a downward departure from the applicable Guideline:
- a. The United States' evaluation of the significance and usefulness of Defendant's assistance;
- b. The truthfulness, completeness, and reliability of any information or testimony provided by Defendant;
  - c. The nature and extent of Defendant's assistance;
- d. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and bringing to the attention of the Government all crimes which Defendant has committed and all administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings, investigations, and prosecutions in which he has been or is a subject, target, party, or witness;
  - e. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and providing to the Government,

 upon request, any document, record, or other evidence relating to matters about which the Government or any designated law enforcement agency inquires, including but not limited to, Defendant's personal finances;

- f. Any injury suffered, or any danger or risk of injury to Defendant or Defendant's family resulting from Defendant's assistance; and,
  - g. The timeliness of Defendant's assistance.
- 23. Defendant agrees that in the event the United States files a downward departure motion based upon Defendant's substantial assistance, the United States reserves the right to make a specific recommendation to the Court regarding the extent of such a departure. Defendant understands and agrees that the final decision as to how much of a departure, if any, is warranted rests solely with the Court.

### L. Breach

24. Defendant agrees that if Defendant, at any time after the signature of this Memorandum and execution of all required certifications by Defendant, Defendant's counsel, and for the government, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of Defendant's obligations under this Memorandum ("a breach"), the government may declare this Memorandum breached. All of Defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this is sufficient for the government to declare a breach, and Defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the government in writing. If the government declares this breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if Defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this Memorandum, Defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the government will be relieved of all its obligations under this Memorandum.

### II. PENALTY

25. The maximum penalty for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, is imprisonment for not more than thirty (30) years, a \$1,000,000 fine, or both. Defendant is also subject to supervised release for a term of not greater than five (5) years.

- 26. Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which Defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if Defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, Defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 27. Defendant is required to pay for the costs of imprisonment, probation, and supervised release, unless the Defendant establishes that the Defendant does not have the ability to pay such costs, in which case the court may impose an alternative sanction such as community service.

### III. ELEMENTS

- 28. The essential elements of the offense of conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, are as follows:
- a. <u>First</u>, from at least as early as in or about April 2006 through at least in or about February 2007, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit wire and mail fraud;
  - b. Second, the Defendant was a party to or member of that agreement; and,
- c. <u>Third</u>, Defendant joined the agreement or conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.

### IV. FACTS

- 29. Defendant is pleading guilty because Defendant is guilty of the charged offenses.
- 30. Defendant specifically admits and declares under penalty of perjury that all of the facts set forth below are true and correct:
- 31. From as early as in or about April 2006 through at least in or about February 2007, Defendant knowingly participated in a scheme to control various Homeowner Association (HOA) boards of directors so that the HOA boards would award the handling of construction defect lawsuits and remedial construction contracts to a law firm and construction company designated by Defendant's co-conspirators.

- 32. In order to accomplish this scheme, co-conspirators acted as straw purchasers of properties in numerous Nevada HOA communities. Defendant was aware that co-conspirators managed and operated the payments associated with maintaining these straw properties by running a so-called "Bill Pay Program," pursuant to which co-conspirators funded the properties at the direction of a co-conspirator. Many of the payments on these straw properties were wired from California to Nevada. Defendant was aware that co-conspirators also transferred an interest in some of the units to other co-conspirators to make it appear as if the co-conspirator was a bonafide homeowner.
- 33. The straw purchasers and those who acquired a transferred interest in a unit agreed to run for election to the respective HOA boards. These co-conspirators were paid in cash, check, or promised things of value for their participation, all of which resulted in a personal financial benefit to the co-conspirators.
- 34. To ensure these co-conspirators would win the elections, Defendant was aware that her co-conspirators employed deceitful tactics, such as taking control of preparing and sending out ballots, putting watermarks on ballots so that they could trace the ballots, using mailing lists to send ballots to homeowners and trace the returned voted ballots, and having the voted ballots sent to the property management companies that they controlled so that they would have access to the ballots. Defendant observed her co-conspirators using mailing lists to mail voting ballots to Vistana homeowners, who would vote for co-conspirators who had been promoted for HOA board positions. Defendant and her co-conspirators were instructed by a co-conspirator to use her position as property manager at Chateau Nouveau to send emails to the homeowners that were intended to smear the reputation of bonafide board members, which she and other co-conspirators did.
- 35. Another tactic the co-conspirators used to rig certain HOA board elections was to prepare forged ballots for out-of-town homeowners and either cause them to be transported or mailed to California and thereafter to have the ballots mailed back to Las Vegas from various locations around California so as to make it appear that the ballots were completed and mailed by bonafide homeowners residing in other states.

- 36. Co-conspirators also attempted to create the appearance that the elections were legitimate by hiring independent attorneys to run the HOA board elections. The homeowners were led to believe that these "special election masters" were supposed to: (i) contact the bonafide homeowners to inform them of the election; (ii) mail the bonafide homeowners election ballots and voting instructions; (iii) collect and secure those election ballots returned by mail until the date of the election; and, (iv) preside over the HOA board election, including supervising the counting of ballots. However, they, too, were paid in cash, check, and promised things of value, by or on behalf of Defendant's co-conspirators for their assistance in rigging the elections.
- 37. Once elected, the co-conspirator board members would meet with other coconspirators in order to manipulate board votes, including the selection of property managers, contractors, and general counsel for the HOA and attorneys to represent the HOA.
- 38. Once hired, the co-conspirator property managers, including Defendant, were paid in cash, check, or things of value for using their positions to gain or attempt to gain inside information and provide it to co-conspirators in furtherance of their efforts to obtain remediation and construction defect repair work and construction defect litigation work on behalf of the HOAs.
- 39. In or around September 2006, Defendant agreed with co-conspirators to open a new property management company, which would be owned and controlled by these coconspirators, for the purpose of managing the HOA board at Chateau Nouveau and others. As compensation for her participation in the conspiracy, Defendant was given a weekly salary, among other things, from her co-conspirators. Defendant ran all of a co-conspirator's personal expenses on a company credit card for which Defendant was a guarantor, which was reimbursed to her by a coconspirator. At a co-conspirator's instruction, Defendant observed a co-conspirator cause on-site 23 maintenance personnel at Chateau Nouveau to quit and Defendant and her co-conspirator paid through the property management company several of the co-conspirator's employees to work at Chateau Nouveau in order to conceal the employees' relationship with the co-conspirator and so that the co-conspirator would have loyal employees working at the community.

- 23
- 25 26
- 27

- 40. Defendant further concealed from the bonafide homeowners the relationship that she and the property management company that she headed had with their co-conspirators in order to ensure that the HOA hire the property management company.
- 41. This process created the appearance of legitimacy since bonafide homeowners believed the elected board members and property managers were, as fiduciaries, acting in their best interest rather than to advance the financial interests of co-conspirators. In fact, Defendant and others were paid and received things of value by or on behalf of her co-conspirators for her assistance in using her position to manipulate the HOA's business and to further the goals of the conspiracy, and to enrich the co-conspirators at the expense of the HOA and the bonafide homeowners. In addition, Defendant was aware that others were paid or received things of value by or on behalf of their co-conspirators for their assistance in purchasing the properties, obtaining 12 HOA membership status, and rigging elections.

### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

- 42. Defendant acknowledges by the Defendant's signature below that Defendant has read this Plea Memorandum, that Defendant understands the terms and conditions, and the factual basis set forth herein, that Defendant has discussed these matters with Defendant's attorney, and that the matters set forth in this Plea Memorandum, including the facts set forth in Part IV above. are true and correct.
- 43. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant has been advised, and understands, that by entering a plea of guilty the Defendant is waiving, that is, giving up, certain rights guaranteed to the Defendant by law and by the Constitution of the United States. Specifically, Defendant is giving up:
- The right to proceed to trial by jury on the original charges, or to a trial by a judge a. if Defendant and the United States both agree;
- The right to confront the witnesses against the Defendant at such a trial, and to b. cross-examine them:
  - The right to remain silent at such trial, with such silence not to be used against c.

1 Defendant in any way; d. 2 The right, should Defendant so choose, to testify in Defendant's own behalf at such a trial; 3 The right to compel witnesses to appear at such a trial, and to testify in 4 e. Defendant's behalf; and, 6 f. The right to have the assistance of an attorney at all stages of such proceedings. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant is, in all respects, satisfied by the 44. 7 representation provided by Defendant's attorney and that Defendant's attorney has discussed with 9 Defendant the burdens and benefits of this agreement and the rights that Defendant has waived 10 herein. 11 -INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK-12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

	Case 2:11-cr-00382-GMN -GWF Doc	ument 5 Filed 11/10/11 Page 14 of 15	
1	45. Defendant, Defendant's a	attorney, and the attorney for the United States	
2	acknowledge that this Plea Memorandum of	ontains the entire agreement negotiated and agreed to by	
3	and between the parties, and that no other promise has been made or implied by either the		
4	Defendant, Defendant's attorney, or the attorney for the United States.		
5		DENIS J. McINERNEY	
6		Chief United States Department of Justice,	
7		Criminal Division, Fraud Section	
8	OCT. 4. 2011	Morelet dos- e-	
9		CHARLES LA BELLA Deputy Chief	
10		MICHAEL BRESNICK Assistant Chief	
11		NICOLE SPRINZEN MARY ANN McCARTHY	
12		Trial Attorneys United States Department of Justice	
13		Criminal Division, Fraud Section	
14			
15	DATED	DENISE KESER Defendant	
16		Detendant	
17	DATED	KEVIN STOLWORTHY	
18		Counsel for Defendant	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

ı	45. Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the attorney for the United States
2	acknowledge that this Plea Memorandum contains the entire agreement negotiated and agreed to by
3	and between the parties, and that no other promise has been made or implied by either the
4	Defendant, Defendant's attorney, or the attorney for the United States.
5	
6	DENIS J. McINERNEY Chief
7	United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section
8	M-11/1
9	OCT. 9, 2011 DATED CHARLES LA BEZLA
10	Deputy Chief / / MICHAEL BRESNICK
11	Assistant Chief NICOLE SPRINZEN
12	MARY ANN McCARTHY Trial Attorneys
13	United States Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section
14	While the same
15	DATED DENISE KESER
16	Defendant
17	10/10/11 Kint Motorsthy
18	DATED (KEVIN STOLLWORTHY Counsel for Defendant
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	