CHARLES G. LA BELLA 1 Deputy Chief 2 MĀRY ANN McCARTHY Trial Attorney Fraud Section, Criminal Division 3 U.S. Department of Justice 1400 New York Avenue, NW 4 Washington, DC 20530 (202) 598-2240 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 -0Oo-9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 CASE NO. Plaintiff, 11 ADDENDUM TO PLEA 12 v. MEMORANDUM BRIAN JONES, 13 Defendant. 14 15 The United States of America, by and through Charles G. La Bella, Deputy Chief, and 16 Mary Ann McCarthy, Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud 17 Section, the defendant, BRIAN JONES, and the defendant's attorney, Chris Aaron, submit this 18 Addendum to the Plea Memorandum, which was signed by the defendant on November 14, 2011. 19 I. GROUP PLEA/PACKAGE PLEA AGREEMENT 20 This agreement is contingent on at least five (5) of the thirteen (13) co-defendants, 21 ROSALIO ALCANTAR, PATRICK BERGSRUD, ROBERT BOLTEN, GLENN BROWN, 22 PAUL CITELLI, MICHELLE DELUCA, CHARLES HAWKINS, SAMI ROBERT 23 HINDIYEH, LISA KIM, MORRIS MATTINGLY, ARNOLD MYERS, ANTHONY ROY 24 WILSON, and JEANNE WINKLER successfully entering their guilty pleas together with 25 Defendant BRIAN JONES, and that all pleas are accepted by the Court. 26 The United States and the defendant have agreed to the following additions to the Plea

.

 Memorandum, which are not binding on the court:

- 1. The United States will make a recommendation that the defendant receive a 1-level downward adjustment from the defendant's base offense level for Defendant BRIAN JONES and at least four (4) other co-defendants' group plea pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(b), on the condition that the co-defendants' change of pleas are entered and conditionally accepted by the Court on or before the defendant's sentencing hearing.
- 2. The United States will make a recommendation that the defendant receive a 2-level downward adjustment from the defendant's base offense level for Defendant BRIAN JONES and at least eleven (11) other co-defendants' group plea pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(b), on the condition that the co-defendants' change of pleas are entered and conditionally accepted by the Court on or before the defendant's sentencing hearing.
- 3. The United States will make a recommendation that the defendant receive a 3-level downward adjustment from the defendant's base offense level for Defendant BRIAN JONES and at least seventeen (17) other co-defendants' group plea pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(b), on the condition that the co-defendants' change of pleas are entered and conditionally accepted by the Court on or before the defendant's sentencing hearing.
- 4. The defendant acknowledges that no more than a total of 3-levels will be recommended for a group plea reduction. If less than five defendants enter guilty pleas, the Government will not make any motion for a group plea downward departure.

II. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

5. Defendant acknowledges that this agreement is in addition to the original Plea Memorandum, signed by the defendant on November 14, 2011, and the provisions of the original Plea Memorandum still apply.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

- 6. Defendant acknowledges by the Defendant's signature below that Defendant has read this Addendum to the Plea Memorandum, that Defendant understands the terms and conditions set forth herein, and that Defendant has discussed these matters with Defendant's attorney.
- 7. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant is, in all respects, satisfied by the representation provided by Defendant's attorney and that Defendant's attorney has discussed with the defendant the burdens and benefits of this agreement.
- 8. Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the attorney for the United States acknowledge that the Plea Memorandum and this Addendum to the Plea Memorandum contains the entire agreement negotiated and agreed to by and between the parties, and that no other promise has been made or implied by either the Defendant, Defendant's attorney, or the attorney for the United States.

DENIS J. McINERNEY Chief United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section

2/16/2012 DATED

CHARLES LA BELLA Deputy Chief

2/14/12

2/15/12

MARY'ANN McCARTHY

Trial Attorney

United States Department of Justice Criminal Division, Braud Section

BRIAN JONES
Defendant

CHRIS AARON

Defense Counsel

1	CHARLES G. LA BELLA		
2	Deputy Chief NICOLE SPRINZEN		
3	MARY ANN McCARTHY Trial Attorneys		
	Fraud Section, Criminal Division		
4	U.S. Department of Justice 1400 New York Avenue, NW		
5	Washington, DC 20530 (202) 598-2240		
6	(202) 63 6 22 10		
7	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRI	CT OF NEVADA	
9 - oOo -			
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
12	Plaintiff,) CASE NO.	
13	v.) PLEA MEMORANDUM	
14	BRIAN JONES,		
15	Defendant.		
16	The United States of America, by a	and through Charles G. La Bella, Deputy Chief, and	
17	Nicole Sprinzen and Mary Ann McCarthy, 7	Frial Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal	
18	Division, Fraud Section, the defendant, BRI	AN JONES, and the defendant's attorney, Chris Aaron,	
19	submit this Plea Memorandum.	**	
20		A ACDEEMENT	
21		A AGREEMENT	
22	The United States and the defendar	nt have reached the following plea agreement, which is	
23	not binding on the court:		
24			
25	INTENTION	NALLY LEFT BLANK	
26			
27			

The state of the s

The Plea

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1. Defendant will plead guilty to Count One of the information, charging Defendant with conspiracy to commit wire and mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349. Defendant also agrees to pay restitution and to the forfeiture of the property set forth in this Plea Memorandum.

Additional Charges В.

2. The U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, agrees to bring no additional criminal charges in the District of Nevada against the defendant relating to or arising from the offenses charged in the information, except for any crime of violence and any crime unknown to the Fraud Section before the time the parties sign this Plea Memorandum.

Sentencing Guideline Calculations

- 3. Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") among other factors in determining the defendant's sentence. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines, the Court may be free to exercise its discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.
- The parties agree that the following calculations of the United States Sentencing 4. Guidelines (2010) apply:

20	(U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(a)):	Ź
21	Loss Amount of \$5,000 to \$10,000	
22	(U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(B)):	2
23	Sophisticated Means (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(9)(c)):	2.
24		_
25	Abuse of a Position of Trust (U.S.S.G. §3B1.3)	2
26	TOTAL	13

Daga Offensa I arrel

- 5. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1(a), the United States will recommend that the defendant receive a two (2) level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility unless Defendant (a) fails to make a complete factual basis for the guilty plea at the time it is entered; (b) is untruthful with the Court or probation officers in any respect, including, without limitation, financial information; (c) denies involvement in the offense or provides conflicting statements regarding defendant's involvement; (d) attempts to withdraw the guilty plea; (e) engages in criminal conduct; (f) fails to appear in court; or (g) violates the conditions of Defendant's pretrial release conditions.
 - 6. Defendant's Criminal History Category will be determined by the court.

D. Other Sentencing Matters

- 7. The parties agree that the Sentencing Guideline calculations are based on information now known and could change upon investigation by the United States Probation Office. It is possible that factors unknown or unforeseen by the parties to the Plea Memorandum may be considered in determining the offense level, specific offense characteristics, and other related factors. In that event, the defendant will not withdraw his plea of guilty. Both the defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.
- 8. The stipulations in this agreement do not bind either the United States Probation Office or the Court. Both Defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.

E. Fines and Special Assessment

9. Defendant agrees that the Court may impose a fine due and payable immediately upon sentencing.

10. Defendant will pay the special assessment of \$100 per count of conviction at the time of sentencing.

F. Restitution

11. Defendant agrees to make restitution to the HOA communities for which he engaged as a "special election master" in furtherance of this scheme, described below in Section IV. Defendant understands and agrees that this amount could be as much as \$10,000, which is the amount he received as a "loan" from co-conspirators for his involvement in the conspiracy, but which the co-conspirators did not intend to collect and the defendant did not intend to repay. Defendant understands that any restitution imposed by the Court may not be discharged in whole or in part in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.

G. Forfeiture

- 12. The parties agree that the government will not request that the Court require Defendant to pay forfeiture in addition to restitution. However, should the Court nevertheless order that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, the government agrees that such amount shall be the total amount of the "loan" he received in connection with his involvement in the scheme, and in no event more than \$10,000. In the event of any order by the Court that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees to the following:
 - a. to abandon or to forfeit the property to the United States;
 - b. to relinquish all right, title, and interest in the property;
- c. to waive his right to any abandonment proceedings, any civil administrative forfeiture proceedings, any civil judicial forfeiture proceedings, or any criminal forfeiture proceedings ("proceedings") of the property;
- d. to waive service of process of any and all documents filed in this action or any proceedings concerning the property arising from the facts and circumstances of this case;
- e. to waive any further notice to the defendant, the defendant's agents, or the defendant's attorney regarding the abandonment or the forfeiture and disposition of the property;

2.6

- f. not to file any claim, answer, petition, or other documents in any proceedings concerning the property;
- g. to waive the statute of limitations, the CAFRA requirements, Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(c)(2), 32.2(a), and 32.2(b)(3), and the constitutional due process requirements of any abandonment proceeding or any forfeiture proceeding concerning the property;
 - h. to waive the defendant's right to a jury trial on the forfeiture of the property;
- i. to waive (a) all constitutional, legal, and equitable defenses to, (b) any constitutional or statutory double jeopardy defense or claim concerning, and (c) any claim or defense under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, including, but not limited to, any claim or defense of excessive fine in any proceedings concerning the property; and
 - j. to the entry of an Order of Forfeiture of the property to the United States.
- 13. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees and understands the abandonment, the civil administrative forfeiture, the civil judicial forfeiture, or the criminal forfeiture of the property shall not be treated as satisfaction of any assessment, fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon the defendant in addition to the abandonment or the forfeiture.

H. Waiver of Appeal

14. In exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Plea Memorandum, Defendant knowingly and expressly waives the right to appeal any sentence that is imposed within the applicable Sentencing Guideline range as calculated by the Court, further waives the right to appeal the manner in which that sentence was determined on the grounds set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, and further waives the right to appeal any other aspect of the conviction or sentence, including any order of restitution and forfeiture. Defendant reserves only the right to appeal any portion of the sentence that is an upward departure from the applicable Sentencing Guideline range calculated by the Court.

15. Defendant also waives all collateral challenges, including any claims under Title 18, United States Code, Section 2255, to the defendant's conviction, sentence and the procedure by which the Court adjudicated guilt and imposed sentence, except non-waivable claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

I. Additional Promises, Agreements, and Conditions

- 16. In exchange for the United States entering into this memorandum, Defendant agrees that (a) the facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Memorandum shall be admissible against the defendant under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(A) in the following circumstances: (i) for any purpose at sentencing; and (ii) in any subsequent proceeding, including a trial in the event the defendant does not plead guilty or withdraws the defendant's guilty plea, to impeach or rebut any evidence, argument or representation offered by or on the defendant's behalf; and (b) the defendant expressly waives any and all rights under Fed. R. Criminal P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 410 with regard to the facts set forth in Section IV of the Plea Memorandum to the extent set forth above.
- 17. The parties agree that no promises, agreements, and conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this Plea Memorandum, and will not be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

J. Limitations

Department of Justice and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority. But, this Plea Memorandum does not prohibit the United States through any agency thereof, the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice, or any third party from initiating or prosecuting any civil proceeding directly or indirectly involving the defendant, including but not limited to, proceedings under the False Claims Act relating to potential civil monetary liability or by the Internal Revenue Service relating to potential tax liability.

K. Cooperation

- 19. Defendant agrees, if requested by the United States, to provide complete and truthful information and testimony concerning Defendant's knowledge of all other persons who are committing or have committed offenses against the United States or any state, and agrees to cooperate fully with the United States in the investigation and prosecution of such persons.
- 20. In the event the government decides in its sole discretion that the assistance provided by Defendant amounts to "substantial assistance" pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, the United States will timely file a motion for downward departure from the applicable Guideline calculation. The Court has the sole discretion to grant such a motion.
- 21. Defendant agrees that a motion for downward departure based on substantial assistance shall not be made under any circumstances unless Defendant's cooperation is deemed to be substantial assistance by the government. The United States has made no promise, implied or otherwise, that Defendant will be granted a departure for substantial assistance. Further, no promise has been made that such a motion will be made even if Defendant complies with the terms of this Plea Memorandum in all respects but has been unable to provide substantial assistance as determined in the sole discretion of the government.
- 22. The United States agrees to consider the totality of the circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following factors, in determining whether, in the sole discretion of the government, Defendant has provided substantial assistance which would merit a motion by the United States for a downward departure from the applicable Guideline:
- a. The United States' evaluation of the significance and usefulness of Defendant's assistance;
- b. The truthfulness, completeness, and reliability of any information or testimony provided by Defendant;
 - c. The nature and extent of Defendant's assistance;
 - d. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and bringing to the attention of

.

the Government all crimes which Defendant has committed and all administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings, investigations, and prosecutions in which she has been or is a subject, target, party, or witness;

- e. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and providing to the Government, upon request, any document, record, or other evidence relating to matters about which the Government or any designated law enforcement agency inquiries, including but not limited to, Defendant's personal finances;
- f. Any injury suffered, or any danger or risk of injury to Defendant or Defendant's family resulting from defendant's assistance; and,
 - g. The timeliness of Defendant's assistance.
- 23. Defendant agrees that in the event the United States files a downward departure motion based upon Defendant's substantial assistance, the United States reserves the right to make a specific recommendation to the Court regarding the extent of such a departure. Defendant understands and agrees that the final decision as to how much of a departure, if any, is warranted rests solely with the Court.

L. Breach

24. Defendant agrees that if Defendant, at any time after the signature of this Plea Memorandum and execution of all required certifications by Defendant, Defendant's counsel, and an attorney for the government, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of Defendant's obligations under this Memorandum ("a breach"), the government may declare this Memorandum breached. All of Defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this Memorandum is sufficient for the government to declare a breach, and Defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the government in writing. If the government declares this Memorandum breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if Defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this Memorandum, Defendant will not

be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the government will be relieved of all its obligations under this Memorandum.

II. PENALTY

- 25. The maximum penalty for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, is imprisonment for not more than thirty (30) years, a \$1,000,000 fine, or both. Defendant is subject to supervised release for a term of not greater than five (5) years.
- 26. Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which Defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if Defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, Defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 27. Defendant is required to pay for the costs of imprisonment, probation, and supervised release, unless the defendant establishes that the defendant does not have the ability to pay such costs, in which case the court may impose an alternative sanction such as community service.

III. ELEMENTS

- 28. The essential elements of the offense of conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, are as follows:
- a. <u>First</u>, from as early as in or about August 2003 through at least in or about February 2009, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit mail fraud and wire fraud; and
 - b. Second, the defendant was a party to or a member of that agreement; and,
- c. <u>Third</u>, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.

29. Defendant is pleading guilty because Defendant is guilty of the charged offenses.

- 30. Defendant specifically admits and declares under penalty of perjury that all of the facts set forth below are true and correct:
- 31. From as early as in or around November 2006 through at least in or around October 2007, Defendant knowingly participated in a scheme to control various Homeowner Association (HOA) boards of directors through the rigging of HOA elections, so that the HOA boards would award the handling of construction defect lawsuits and remedial construction contracts to a law firm and construction company designated by Defendant's co-conspirators.
- 32. In order to accomplish this scheme, co-conspirators acted as straw purchasers of properties in numerous Nevada HOA communities. The co-conspirators managed and operated the payments associated with maintaining these straw properties by running a so-called "Bill Pay Program," pursuant to which the co-conspirators funded the properties through several limited liability companies and at the direction of a co-conspirator. Many of the payments on these straw properties were wired from California to Nevada. Co-conspirators also transferred an interest in some of the units to other co-conspirators to make it appear as if the co-conspirator was a bonafide homeowner and eligible for election to the HOA board of directors.
- 33. After the co-conspirators obtained an ownership interest in an HOA community, they agreed to run for election to the respective HOA boards of directors. To ensure the co-conspirators would win the elections, co-conspirators employed deceitful tactics, such as creating false phone surveys to gather information about homeowners' voting intentions, using mailing lists to vote on behalf of out-of-town homeowners unlikely to participate in the elections, and submitting fake and forged ballots. Co-conspirators also hired private investigators to find "dirt" on the bonafide candidates in order to create smear campaigns.
- 34. Co-conspirators also attempted to create the appearance that the elections were legitimate by hiring independent attorneys, including Defendant, to run the HOA board elections. The homeowners were led to believe that these "special election masters" were supposed to: (i)

contact the bonafide homeowners to inform them of the election; (ii) mail the bonafide homeowners election ballots and voting instructions; (iii) collect and secure those election ballots returned by mail until the date of the election; and, (iv) preside over the HOA board election, including supervising the counting of ballots. However, in truth and in fact, these attorneys were paid in cash, check, and promised things of value, by or on behalf of co-conspirators for their assistance in rigging the elections.

- 35. In furtherance of the conspiracy, Defendant was hired to act as a "special election master" at Chateau Nouveau and Vistana. Soon after he was selected for the position, Defendant met with a representative of the Ombudsman's Office, Nevada Department of Real Estate, to discuss his role in the election process. Defendant was informed there were allegations of election fraud at the HOA communities and his job was to ensure the elections were honest. Defendant was informed of the proper HOA election procedures. Defendant failed to disclose his relationship with the co-conspirators to the representative of the Ombudsman's Office.
- 36. Following his meeting with the Ombudsman's Office, and in order to create the appearance of legitimacy, on or about March 1, 2007, Defendant wrote and mailed or caused to be mailed a letter to the Chateau Nouveau homeowners in which he described the purpose of his position and his understanding of his statutory fiduciary duties. He did so even though he knew he was hired by Co-Conspirator B and other co-conspirators, and failed to disclose his relationship to the co-conspirators to the bonafide homeowners.
- 37. Defendant instructed the bona fide homeowners at Chateau Nouveau and Vistana to mail their election ballots to his law office under the belief that he would keep them secure until the election. Defendant JONES then allowed a co-conspirator to mail the ballot materials to the homeowners, which provided the co-conspirators with the opportunity to forge additional ballots for the co-conspirators. Defendant also allowed co-conspirators to access the ballots unsupervised before the elections. For instance, he agreed and allowed co-conspirators to use his law office during which time the co-conspirators had the opportunity to open the ballots and pre-count the number of votes entered for each candidate and then replace bonafide ballots with fake ballots.

14

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

- 38. On or about March 21, 2007 and on or about April 23, 2007, Defendant acted as "special election master" at Chateau Nouveau. On or about November 11, 2006 and on or about October 18, 2007, Defendant acted as "special election master" at Vistana. He ran the election meetings, even though he knew he allowed co-conspirators to access the ballots, and he failed to disclose it to the homeowners. In another election, Defendant assisted the co-conspirators by ordering the property manager to provide access to the ballots to the co-conspirators. Defendant received a \$10,000 "loan" from co-conspirators that was forgiven in appreciation for his assistance in the election process and Defendant never repaid.
- 39. Once the co-conspirators were elected to the HOA boards, they would meet with other co-conspirators in order to manipulate board votes, including the selection of property managers, contractors, and general counsel for the HOA and attorneys to represent the HOA. They used their votes to further the objects of the conspiracy, ultimately voting to award the coconspirators the construction repair contracts and the construction defect litigation contracts.
- 40. This process created the appearance of legitimacy since bonafide homeowners believed the attorneys and elected board members were, as fiduciaries, acting in their best interest rather than to advance the financial interests of co-conspirators. In fact, Defendant and others were paid or received things of value by or on behalf of their co-conspirators for their assistance in purchasing the properties, obtaining HOA membership status, rigging elections, using their positions to manipulate the HOA's business and to further the goals of the conspiracy, and to enrich the co-conspirators at the expense of the HOA and the bonafide homeowners.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

- 41. Defendant acknowledges by the defendant's signature below that Defendant has read this Plea Memorandum, that Defendant understands the terms and conditions, and the factual basis set forth herein, that Defendant has discussed these matters with Defendant's attorney, and that the matters set forth in this memorandum, including the facts set forth in Part IV above, are true and correct.
 - Defendant acknowledges that Defendant has been advised, and understands, that 42.

by entering a plea of guilty the defendant is waiving, that is, giving up, certain rights guaranteed to the defendant by law and by the Constitution of the United States. Specifically, Defendant is giving up:

- a. The right to proceed to trial by jury on the original charges, or to a trial by a judge if Defendant and the United States both agree;
- b. The right to confront the witnesses against Defendant at such a trial, and to cross-examine them;
- c. The right to remain silent at such trial, with such silence not to be used against Defendant in any way;
- d. The right, should Defendant so choose, to testify in Defendant's own behalf at such a trial;
- e. The right to compel witnesses to appear at such a trial, and to testify in Defendant's behalf; and,
 - f. The right to have the assistance of an attorney at all stages of such proceedings.
- 43. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant is, in all respects, satisfied by the representation provided by Defendant's attorney and that Defendant's attorney has discussed with Defendant the burdens and benefits of this Memorandum and the rights that Defendant has waived herein.

-INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK-

44. Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the attorney for the United States
acknowledge that this Plea Memorandum contains the entire agreement negotiated and agreed to by
and between the parties, and that no other promise has been made or implied by either the
Defendant, Defendant's attorney, or the attorney for the United States.

Chief
United States Department of Justice,
Criminal Division, Fraud Section

ATED

CHARLES LA BELLA

Deputy Chief
NICOLE SPRINZEN
MARY ANN McCARTHY

DENIS J. MCINERNEY

Trial Attorneys
United States Department of Justice
Criminal Division, Fraud Section

BRIAN JONES Defendant

CHRIS AARON Counsel for Defendant