1	FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney			
2	JOSEPH F. WILSON	Etladi January 21, 2001		
3	Assistant U.S. Attorney RICHARD B. COHEN	Filed: January 31, 2001		
4	MATTHEW D. SEGAL Trial Attorneys Suite 500, Sirena Plaza 108 Hernan Cortez Ave.			
5				
6	Hagatña, Guam 96910 TEL: (671) 472-7332			
7	FAX: (671) 472-7334			
8	Attorneys for the United States			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR-01-0007		
12	Plaintiff,	INDICTMENT		
13		WIRE FRAUD		
14		[18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1346, & 2] BRIBERY		
15	v .	[18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B)] CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE		
16		[15 U.S.C. § 3, 18 U.S.C. § 2] MONEY LAUNDERING CONSPIRACY		
17	AUSTIN J. "SONNY" SHELTON,	[18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)] MONEY LAUNDERING		
18	Defendant.	[18 U.S.C. §§ 1957 & 2] FORFEITURE ALLEGATION		
19	THE CRAND WINN CHARGES	[18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1)]		
20	THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:			
21	<u>COUNT ONE</u>			
22	WIRE FRAUD [18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1346 & 2]			
23	INTRODUCTION			
24	1. AUSTIN J. SHELTON , also known as A.J. SONNY SHELTON , also			
25	known as SONNY SHELTON (SHELTON) , is indicted and made a Defendant herein.			
26	2. Typhoon Paka struck Guam on the night of December 16-17, 1997,			
	INDICTMENT - Page 1 -			

causing extensive damage throughout the island. The federal government, through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), made in excess of \$70 million in federal funds available for disaster relief in Guam.

- 3. Expecting that the Government of Guam would apply for and receive federal reimbursement, the Legislature of Guam on December 18, 1997 made monies from the Guam General Fund available so that the Executive Branch could immediately pay for the clean-up and repair of damage caused by Typhoon Paka ("Typhoon Paka Projects") (Bill No. 467.)
- 4. At all times relevant to this Indictment, the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) was an agency of the Government of Guam, and Defendant **SHELTON** was the Director of the DPR and an agent of the DPR. It was his duty, among other things, to establish fees, rules, and regulations to accomplish the purposes of the DPR; administer the DPR; prescribe the duties of assistants, deputies, agents, and other persons employed by the DPR; and manage the Parks fund.
- 5. When the Governor of Guam declared a State of Emergency with regard to DPR, he authorized DPR to spend from the General Fund. He also gave the Defendant **SHELTON** authority to procure goods and services for Typhoon Paka Projects outside of the normal procedures. (Executive Order 97-41.) Defendant **SHELTON** was required to follow certain statutes and regulations in his administration of DPR procurements for Typhoon Paka Projects. Those statutes and regulations included a requirement that **SHELTON** solicit at least three price quotations before awarding a project.
- 6. At a time when the people of Guam were suffering through an emergency, and despite his duty to carry out his responsibilities with loyalty, honesty, integrity, and faithfulness, Defendant **SHELTON** devised and carried out a corrupt scheme and plan, as specified below in Paragraph Nine of this Count. Defendant **SHELTON** used INDICTMENT Page 2 -

his position dishonestly and corruptly: he rigged the price quotation process for certain Typhoon Paka Projects, and corruptly solicited, demanded, accepted and agreed to accept cash payments totaling at least \$105,000.

7. After the Typhoon Paka Emergency, DPR, under Defendant **SHELTON**, failed to provide the Government of Guam's Recovery Coordination Office (RCO) with the documentation necessary for the RCO to request FEMA reimbursement for the Paseo Light Tower, Wettengel Football Field, Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas, and Agaña Tennis Court / Paseo de Susanna Typhoon Paka Projects. Nonetheless, at all times material to this Indictment, the DPR received federal benefits, in the form of Typhoon Paka relief assistance from FEMA, and assistance from other federal sources, that exceeded \$10,000 within any one year period.

THE DUTY OF HONEST SERVICES

- 8. In 1996, the 23rd Guam Legislature passed "AN ACT TO PRESCRIBE STANDARDS OF CONDUCT FOR ELECTED OFFICERS, APPOINTED OFFICERS AND PUBLIC EMPLOYEES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GUAM AND TO ESTABLISH AN ETHICS COMMISSION BY ADDING A NEW CHAPTER 15 TO TITLE 4, GUAM CODE ANNOTATED; AND TO AMEND 4 GCA §13101, RELATIVE TO FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS" (the Act). The Act established the Guam Ethics Commission and required that the Act be liberally construed to promote the highest standards of ethical conduct within the Territorial government. As Director of the DPR, Defendant **SHELTON**:
 - a. Was subject to the provisions of the act prohibiting him from soliciting, accepting or receiving, directly or indirectly, any gift valued singly or in the aggregate from a single source in excess of \$200, whether in the form of money, prize, service, loan, travel, entertainment, hospitality, thing or promise, or in any other form, when a reasonable

26 Sc

person would infer that the gift was intended to influence him in the performance of his official duties or was intended as a reward for any official action on his part;

- b. Was further subject to the provisions of the act prohibiting him from using or attempting to use his official position to secure or grant unwarranted privileges, exemptions, advantages, contracts, or treatment, for himself or others by either accepting, receiving, or soliciting compensation for the performance of official duties or responsibilities, or soliciting, selling, or otherwise engaging in a financial transaction with a subordinate or a person or business whom he inspects or supervises in his official capacity; and,
- c. Owed his employer, that is, the Government of the Territory of Guam (Government of Guam) and its citizens, a general duty of loyalty, honesty, integrity and faithfulness.

THE SCHEME

9. From sometime in about December 1997 until at least sometime in about October 1998, within the District of Guam and elsewhere, Defendant **SHELTON** and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud the Government of Guam and the DPR and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and to deprive the Government of Guam, the DPR, and the citizens of the Territory of Guam of their intangible right to the honest services of Defendant **SHELTON**.

THE PURPOSE OF THE SCHEME

10. It was a purpose of the scheme and artifice to defraud and deprive (the Scheme) to unlawfully enrich Defendant **SHELTON** through:

- a. The personal exploitation of information by Defendant **SHELTON** relating to the availability of DPR Typhoon Paka Projects, to which information Defendant **SHELTON** was privy by virtue of his position as Director of the DPR;
- b. The unlawful solicitations and demands for the benefit of himself and other persons, and the acceptance and agreements to accept, things of value from companies and persons providing goods and services for DPR Typhoon Paka Projects; and
- c. The concealment by Defendant **SHELTON** of all of the above from the Government of Guam and citizens of the Territory of Guam.

MANNER AND MEANS OF EXECUTING THE SCHEME

- 11. It was part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would deceive the DPR and the Government of Guam into believing that at least three authentic, independent, competitive price quotations were being submitted for DPR Typhoon Paka Projects, when, in fact, Defendant **SHELTON** knew that he was causing to be submitted price quotations that were collusive, non-competitive, fraudulent, and artificially high.
- 12. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would arrange artificially high prices for the DPR Typhoon Paka Projects based on those collusive, non-competitive, fraudulent, and artificially high price quotations.
- 13. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would allocate to specified individuals DPR Typhoon Paka Projects.
- 14. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would award DPR Typhoon Paka Projects on the basis of collusive, non-competitive, fraudulent, and artificially high price quotations.
- 15. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would cause INDICTMENT Page 5 -

- 16. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would request, cause to be issued, obtain, and receive checks from the Government of Guam which were payments for the DPR Typhoon Paka Projects.
- 17. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would convey, transmit, distribute, and cause to be conveyed, transmitted and distributed checks from the Government of Guam which were payments for the DPR Typhoon Paka Projects.
- 18. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would receive and accept cash representing a portion of the payments made by the Government of Guam for the DPR Typhoon Paka Projects.
- 19. It was further part of the Scheme that Defendant **SHELTON** would, in order to conceal the fraudulent Scheme described above, give and cause to be given false and misleading information concerning the cost and status of the DPR Typhoon Paka Projects.

USE OF INTERSTATE WIRE FACILITIES IN FURTHERANCE OF THE SCHEME

20. On about the following date, in the District of Guam, Defendant SHELTON, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the Scheme, did transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce by means of a wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, and sounds: a facsimile transmission accepting an offer to ship lighting equipment, sent on about February 5, 1998 from the offices of D.S. Corporation, doing business as Bunny Hardware, which originated at or near Maite, Guam, to the offices of OSCAR Trading Co., located in Compton, California.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTIONS 1343,

INDICTMENT - Page 6 -

1 2

1346 AND 2.

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

WIRE FRAUD

COUNT TWO

[18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1346, 2]

- 21. Paragraphs One through Nineteen of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth in Count Two.
- 22. On about the following date, in the District of Guam, Defendant **SHELTON**, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the Scheme, did transmit and cause to be transmitted in foreign commerce by means of a wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, and sounds: a facsimile transmission entitled "pro forma invoice" for roofing materials, sent on about February 12, 1998, from the offices of ATCO, located at or near Tamuning, Guam to the offices of Tilling Timber PTY, LTD., located in Australia, a foreign nation.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTIONS 1343, 1346 AND 2.

COUNTS THREE THROUGH EIGHT

BRIBERY [18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B)]

- Paragraphs One through Seven of this Indictment are repeated and 23. realleged as if fully set forth in Counts Three through Eight.
- 24. All acts charged in Counts Three through Eight were conceived and carried out, in whole or in part, within the District of Guam within five years preceding this Indictment.
- 25. As specified below for Counts Three through Eight of this Paragraph, on or about the dates set forth below in this Paragraph, in the District of Guam, Defendant **SHELTON**, being an agent of a territorial government agency, which territorial INDICTMENT - Page 7 -

government agency received federal assistance in excess of \$10,000 in a one-year period, did corruptly solicit and demand for the benefit of any person, and accept and agree to accept, anything of value from any person, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with any business, transaction, and series of transactions of such territorial government agency involving anything of value of \$5,000 or more, to wit: Defendant **SHELTON**, being the Director of DPR, corruptly solicited, accepted, and agreed to accept things of value specified below in this Paragraph from the individuals specified below in this Paragraph, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with the Typhoon Paka Projects specified below in this Paragraph.

COUNT	TYPHOON PAKA PROJECT(S)	PAYOR	APPROXIMATE DATE	APPROXIMATE PAYMENT
3	Paseo Light Tower Q82599-055	Young Soo Yoon	Between February 1998 and July 1998	\$15,000 cash
4	Wettengel Football Field Q82599-051	Young Soo Yoon	Between February 1998 and July 1998	\$15,000 cash
5	Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas Q82599-052	Young Soo Yoon	Between February 1998 and July 1998	\$25,000 cash
6	Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas Q82599-052	Young Soo Yoon	Between February 1998 and July 1998	\$20,000 cash
7	Debris Removal / Heavy Equip. Rental Q82599-030 Q82599-032 Q82599-034 Q82599-048	Young Soo Yoon and an Associate	Between December 1997 and February 1998	\$10,000 cash
8	Agaña Tennis Court / Paseo de Susanna Q82599-020 Q82599-021	Primitivo Carlos	Between February 1998 and July 1998	\$25,000 (cash plus debt forgiveness)

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 666(a)(1)(B).

COUNTS NINE THROUGH ELEVEN

CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE [15 U.S.C. § 3; 18 U.S.C. § 2]

26. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs One through Seven of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth in Counts Nine through Eleven.

27. <u>Description of the Offense.</u>

- a. During the periods specified below for Counts Nine through Eleven in this Paragraph, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, Defendant **SHELTON** and others entered into and engaged in combinations and conspiracies, identified below in this Paragraph, to suppress and restrain competition for Typhoon Paka Projects, in unreasonable restraint of territorial trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 3.
- b. The Defendant **SHELTON** aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, and procured each combination and conspiracy charged in Counts Nine through Eleven, listed below in this Paragraph, and willfully caused others to perform acts and make statements in furtherance of the charged combinations and conspiracies, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

1 2 3	COUNT (SEPARATE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY)	TYPHOON PAKA PROJECTO COMBINATION AND CAND RIGGED CONTRACT	CONSPIRACY,	TERM OF COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY
4 5 6 7 8	9	Paseo Light Tower Q82599-055 Wettengel Football Field Q82599-051 Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas Q82599-052	\$134,000 \$126,000 \$145,000	Beginning as early as December 1997 and lasting until at least July 1998
9 10 11	10	Agaña Tennis Court / Paseo de Susanna Q82599-020 Q82599-021	\$175,000	Beginning as early as December 1997 and lasting until at least July 1998
12 13 14 15	11	Paseo Billboard Q992500-102 Paseo Scoreboard Q992500-103 Paseo Flagpole Q992500-104	\$13,750 \$23,500 \$22,700	Beginning as early as April 1999 and lasting until at least October 1999
16	c. The combinations and conspiracies charged in Counts Nine			
17	through Eleven each consisted of an agreement, understanding, and concert of			
18	action among Defendant SHELTON and co-conspirators, the substantial terms			
19	of which were to rig price quotations offered for, and to allocate, Typhoon Paka			
20	Projects, as specified in this paragraph.			
2122	d. For the common purpose of forming and carrying out each			

1	places: where the work was to occur and did occur; in Defendant SHELTON's office
2	at Paseo de Susanna; and in the offices of co-conspirators and those whom Defendant
3	SHELTON aided and abetted, all in the Territory of Guam, within the five years
4	preceding the return of this Indictment.
5	ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 3, AND
6	TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 2.
7	COUNT TWELVE
8	MONEY LAUNDERING CONSPIRACY [18 U.S.C. §1956(h)]
9	INTRODUCTION
.0	31. Paragraphs One through Seven of this Indictment are repeated and
2	realleged as if fully set forth in Count Twelve.
3	32. Among the DPR Typhoon Paka Projects were the Paseo Light Tower and
4	Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas.
5	CONSPIRACY
6	33. Beginning as early as about December 17, 1997, a more exact date being
7	unknown to the Grand Jury, and continuing thereafter to about May 26, 1998, in the
8	District of Guam and elsewhere, Defendant SHELTON did conspire, confederate and
9	agree with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit certain offenses
20	under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957, as follows:
21	a. to knowingly engage and attempt to engage in monetary
22	transactions, affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally
23	derived property that was of a value greater than \$10,000, such property
24	having been derived from specified unlawful activity, that is wire fraud,
25	a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.
26	MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

INDICTMENT - Page 12 -

INDICTMENT - Page 13 -

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the co-conspirator caused the cashier's check, in the amount of \$70,000 to be deposited into a bank account that did not belong to him but to which he had access.
- 43. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about February 20, 1998 Defendant **SHELTON** solicited a bribe from a co-conspirator to compensate him for his role in fraudulently awarding the government contract for the Paseo Light Tower project. Defendant **SHELTON** specified that his bribe be paid to him in the form of cash.
- 44. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about March 3, 1998, Defendant **SHELTON** accepted a bribe in the amount of \$15,000 in cash from a coconspirator in connection with the Paseo Light Tower project.
- **45**. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about April 7, 1998 Defendant **SHELTON** accepted a billing invoice from a co-conspirator requesting final payment in the amount of \$48,500 on the Paseo Light Tower project.
- 46. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about May 26, 1998 Defendant **SHELTON** caused the Government of Guam to prepare and distribute a check made payable to the project contractor in the amount of \$48,500 in final payment for work on the Paseo Light Tower project, which monies were proceeds of the wire fraud activity.
- 47. It was further part of the conspiracy that on or about May 26, 1998 the INDICTMENT - Page 14 -

project contractor utilized a portion of the check funds to purchase a cashier's check in the amount \$19,640 made payable to a business entity as designated by a co-conspirator.

- 48. It was further part of the conspiracy that on or about May 26, 1998 the co-conspirator caused the cashier's check, in the amount of \$19,640 to be deposited into a bank account that did not belong to him but to which he had access.
- 49. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about December 30, 1997 Defendant **SHELTON**, on behalf of DPR, accepted two artificially high, non-competitive price quotations for the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project.
- 50. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about December 30, 1997 Defendant **SHELTON**, on behalf of DPR, accepted an artificially high, noncompetitive price quotation for the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project that was lower than the other two price quotations, was designated by a co-conspirator to win the contract at an artificially high price of \$145,000, and was supported by other artificially high, non-competitive price quotations.
- 51. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about December 30, 1997 Defendant **SHELTON** solicited a bribe from the co-conspirator to compensate him for his role in fraudulently awarding the government contract for the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project. Defendant **SHELTON** and the co-conspirator agreed to the bribe amount of \$45,000. Defendant **SHELTON** specified that his bribe be paid to him in the form of cash.
- 52. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about January 14, 1998 Defendant **SHELTON**, on behalf of DPR, awarded the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project to the designated contractor co-conspirator.
- 53. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about January 14, 1998

 Defendant **SHELTON** signed and dated a Government of Guam Requisition

 INDICTMENT Page 15 -

- 54. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about February 12, 1998
 Defendant **SHELTON** accepted a billing invoice for an amount of \$101,500 from a
 co-conspirator requesting partial payment on the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas
 project.
- 55. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about February 20, 1998 Defendant **SHELTON** caused the Government of Guam to prepare and distribute a check made payable to the project contractor in the amount of \$101,500 in partial payment for work on the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project, which monies were proceeds of the wire fraud activity.
- 56. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about February 26, 1998 the project contractor co-conspirator prepared and issued checks in amounts of \$26,951, \$12,746, \$9,552.20 and \$8,750.80 payable to two business entities and an individual for the benefit of Defendant **SHELTON** and a co-conspirator. The co-conspirator utilized these funds to obtain cash which would be used, in part, to pay Defendant **SHELTON** the bribe that he requested.
- 57. It was further part of the conspiracy that beginning on about March 3, 1998 and then within several days following Defendant **SHELTON** accepted bribes aggregating \$45,000, in cash, from a co-conspirator in connection with the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project.
- 58. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about April 9, 1998

 Defendant **SHELTON** accepted a billing invoice for an amount of \$43,500 from a co-conspirator requesting final payment on the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project.
- 59. It was further part of the conspiracy that on about May 26, 1998 INDICTMENT Page 16 -

Defendant **SHELTON** caused the Government of Guam to prepare and distribute a check made payable to the project contractor co-conspirator in the amount of \$43,500 in final payment for work on the Ypao Beach Pavilion and Cabanas project, which monies were proceeds of the wire fraud activity.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1956(h).

COUNTS THIRTEEN AND FOURTEEN

ENGAGING IN MONETARY TRANSACTIONS

ENGAGING IN MONETARY TRANSACTIONS IN PROPERTY DERIVED FROM SPECIFIED UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY [18 U.S.C. §§1957 and 2]

- 60. Paragraphs One through Seven of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth in Counts Thirteen and Fourteen.
- 61. As specified in Counts Thirteen and Fourteen below, on about the dates set forth below, in the District of Guam and elsewhere, the Defendant **SHELTON**, did knowingly engage, attempt to engage, cause to engage and attempt to cause to engage in monetary transactions, affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property that was of a value greater than \$10,000, that is deposits of funds and monetary instruments in the amounts set forth below, such property having been derived from specified unlawful activity, that is wire fraud, a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343:

19

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2021

22

2324

25

26

COUNT ACCOUNT BANK NAME DATE OF **CHECK NAME DEPOSIT AMOUNT** 13 Lee Yoon Oceanic Bank February 27, 1998 \$70,000 Guam Korean Bank of Guam 14 February 27, 1998 \$26,951 Television

INDICTMENT - Page 17 -

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE, SECTIONS 1957 AND 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

MONEY LAUNDERING FORFEITURE

- 62. Beginning as early as about December 17, 1997, a more exact date being unknown to the Grand Jury, and continuing to about May 26, 1998, in the District of Guam and elsewhere, Defendant **SHELTON** did knowingly and willfully commit violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956 and 1957, as alleged in Counts Twelve, Thirteen and Fourteen of this Indictment, which are incorporated by reference herein.
- 63. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), upon conviction, Defendant **SHELTON** shall forfeit to the United States all right, title, and interest in any and all property involved in said transactions in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956 and 1957, and all property traceable to such property, including the following: 1) all money or other property that was the subject of each transaction; 2) all commissions, fees and other property constituting proceeds obtained as a result of those violations; and 3) all property used in any manner or part to commit or to facilitate the commission of those violations, as to which properties Defendant **SHELTON** is jointly and severally liable.
- 64. The United States intends to forfeit property of Defendant **SHELTON** including, but not limited to, the following:
 - a. The sum of money equal to the sum involved in the violations set forth in Counts Twelve, Thirteen and Fourteen;
- b. All property involved in the violations set forth in Counts

 Twelve, Thirteen, and Fourteen of this Indictment, and all property

 INDICTMENT Page 18 -

traceable thereto;

c. All other property of Defendant **SHELTON**, up to the value of any property described in subparagraphs a. and b. above, if by any act or omission of Defendant **SHELTON** said property, or any portion thereof, cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred, sold to or deposited with a third party; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; has been substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty, in which case such other property shall

//

//

INDICTMENT - Page 19 -

1	be substituted and forfeited to the United States pursuant to Title 21,		
2	United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United		
3	States Code, Section 982(b).		
4	ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 982(a)(1).		
5			
6		A TRUE BILL.	
7			
8		"/s/" [REDACTED TEXT]	
9		[REDACTED TEXT] Forepeson	
10			
11			
12	John M. Nannes	"/s/" Christopher S Crook	
13	Acting Assistant Attorney General	Chief, San Francisco Field Office	
14		"/s/"	
15	James M. Griffin Deputy Assistant Attorney General	Richard B. Cohen Trial Attorney	
16			
17	Scott D. Hammond	Matthew D. Segal	
18	Director of Criminal Enforcement	Trial Attorney	
19	Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice	Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice	
20		450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101	
21		San Francisco, California 94102 (415)436-6660	
22	"/s/" Frederick A. Black		
23	United States Attorney Districts of Guam and NMI		
24			
25			
26			
	INDICTMENT - Page 20 -		