## U.S. Department of Justice

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2006-170

Date:

December 6, 2006

In re: RITA H. <u>ALTMAN</u>, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF GENERAL COUNSEL: Jennifer J. Barnes, Esquire

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Eileen M. Connolly, Appellate Counsel

ORDER:

PER CURIAM. On July 7, 2006, the Supreme Court of Florida indefinitely suspended the respondent from the practice of law, effective 30 days from the date of that order.

Consequently, on October 17, 2006, the Office of General Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. On October 18, 2006, the Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS," formerly the Immigration and Naturalization Service) asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. Therefore, on October 30, 2006, we suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS pending final disposition of this proceeding.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1), (2).

The Notice recommends that the respondent be suspended indefinitely from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts. The DHS asks that we extend that discipline to practice before it as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the recommendation contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that recommendation. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2). Since the recommendation for indefinite suspension is appropriate in light of the respondent's suspension from the practice of law in Florida, we will honor that recommendation. Accordingly, we hereby suspend indefinitely the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS. As the respondent is currently under our October 30, 2006, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's indefinite suspension to have commenced on that date. D2006-170

The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against her. The respondent may seek reinstatement under appropriate circumstances. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(b).

THE BOARD F