U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Rev.

Decision of the P ' of Imm

' of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2009-031

Date:

NOV 2 2 2011

In re: CHRISTIAN DE OLIVAS

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

**MOTION** 

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Scott Anderson

**Deputy Disciplinary Counsel** 

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Eileen M. Connolly

Chief, Immigration Court Practice Section - East

The respondent's unopposed request for reinstatement to practice will be granted.

On August 14, 2009, an adjudicating official approved a settlement agreement, and suspended the respondent from practice for two years before the Board, Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS"), effective March 20, 2009. The respondent sought reinstatement to practice under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(b), but on November 3, 2010, the Board found that the respondent had not met his burden of showing that reinstatement was appropriate.

The respondent again moves that the Board reinstate him to practice. The EOIR Disciplinary Counsel does not oppose the petition for reinstatement, observing that the respondent is now eligible to practice law in California, and has completed the period of suspension imposed by the adjudicating official. 8 C.F.R. §§ 1001.1(f), 1003.107(a). The respondent will be reinstated to practice.

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, as of the date of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: Because the respondent has been reinstated, public notices regarding the respondent's suspension should reflect this reinstatement.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before the DHS or Board, he must file a Notice of Appearance (Form G-28 or Form EOIR-27), including any case in which he was formerly counsel, prior to his suspension.

FOR THE BOARD