FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY

Claim No.CU-1365

Decision No.CU 607

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended

PROPOSED DECISION

This claim against the Government of Cuba, under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, was presented by ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY in the amount of \$29,284.48 based upon the asserted loss of payment for merchandise shipped to Cuba.

Under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949

[78 Stat. 1110 (1964), 22 U.S.C. §§1643-1643k (1964), as amended, 79

Stat. 988 (1965)], the Commission is given jurisdiction over claims of nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba. Section 503(a) of the Act provides that the Commission shall receive and determine in accordance with applicable substantive law, including international law, the amount and validity of claims by nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba arising since January 1, 1959 for

losses resulting from the nationalization, expropriation, intervention or other taking of, or special measures directed against, property including any rights or interests therein owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly at the time by nationals of the United States.

Section 502(3) of the Act provides:

The term 'property' means any property, right or interest including any leasehold interest, and debts owed by the Government of Cuba or by enterprises which have been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba and debts which are

a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

Section 502(1) of the Act defines the term "national of the United States" as "(B) a corporation on other legal entity which is organized under the laws of the United States, or of any State, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, if natural persons who are citizens of the United States own, directly or indirectly, 50 per centum or more of the outstanding capital stock or other beneficial interest of such corporation or entity."

An officer of the claimant corporation has certified that the claimant was organized in Delaware and that all times between December 31, 1960 and presentation of this claim on April 10, 1967, more than 50% of the outstanding capital stock of the claimant has been owned by United States nationals. The Commission holds that claimant is a national of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(1)(B) of the Act.

Claimant states that more than 99% of its capital stock is owned by nationals of the United States.

The record contains copies of claimant's accounts receivable ledgers and its billing invoices showing the amounts due from the following consignees as of December 31, 1960 for merchandise shipped to those consignees in Cuba:

| Consignee | Balance |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Compania Cubana De Pintara, S.A. | \$ 2,260.87 |
| Fundidora Nacional De Acero | 340.25 |
| Goveca & Govelin, S.A. | 754.20 |
| Granja Avicola Horizonte, S.A. | 2,114.89 |
| Pinturas Coast, S.A. | 20,615.75 |
| Pinturas Rivarra, S.A. | 3,019.03 |

Claimant states that it has not received the funds.

The Government of Cuba, on September 29, 1959, published its Law 568, concerning foreign exchange. Thereafter the Cuban Government effectively precluded not only transfers of funds to creditors abroad, but also payment to creditors within Cuba, by numerous, unreasonable and costly demands upon the consignees, who were thus deterred from complying with the demands of the Cuban Government. The Commission holds that Cuban Law 568 and the Cuban Government's implementation thereof, with respect to the rights of the claimant herein, was not in reality a legitimate exercise of sovereign authority to regulate foreign exchange, but constituted an intervention by the Government of Cuba into the contractual rights of the claimant, which resulted in the taking of American-owned property within the meaning of Section 503(a) of the Act. (See the Claim of the Schwarzenbach Huber Company, FCSC Claim No. CU-0019; and the Claim of Etna Pozzolana Corporation, FCSC Claim No. CU-0049).

Accordingly, in the instant claim the Commission finds that claimant's property was lost as a result of intervention by the Government of Guba and that, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the loss occurred on December 31, 1960 as to \$29,104.99, the date that the invoices reflect that payment became due.

The Commission has decided that in the certification of losses on claims determined pursuant to Title V of the International Claims

Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, interest should be included at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of loss to the date of settlement

(See the Claim of Lisle Corporation, FCSC Claim No. CU-0644).

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the amount of the loss sustained by claimant shall be increased by interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from the date on which the loss occurred, to the date on which provisions are made for the settlement thereof.

The remainder of this claim, for \$179.49, is based upon the asserted loss of payment for merchandise shipped to Du Pont Inter-American Chemical Company in Havana, Cuba.

Section 505 of the Act provides:

(a) . . . A claim under Section 503(a) of this title based upon a debt or other cbligation owing by any corporation, association, or other entity organized under the laws of the United States, or of any State, the Distruct of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico shall be considered only when such debt or obligation is a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

The records of the Commission reveal (pursuant to information furnished in the Claim of Du Pont Inter-American Chemical Company, CU-2372) that Du Pont Inter-American Chemical Company is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. Therefore, this portion of the claim can be considered only if the claimed debt is a charge upon property which was nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

Claimant has neither alleged nor submitted evidence to establish that this debt was a charge upon property which was nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba. The file reflects that the terms of this sale provided for payment of draft on sight. Therefore, the Commission is without authority to consider this portion of the claim, and it is hereby denied.

CERTIFICATION OF LOSS

The Commission certifies that ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY suffered a loss, as a result of actions of the Government of Cuba, within the scope of Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, in the amount of Twenty-Nine Thousand One Hundred Four Dollars and Ninety-Nine Cents (\$29,104.99) with interest thereon at 6% per annum from the date of loss to the date of settlement.

Dated at Washington, D. C., and entered as the Proposed Decision of the Commission

NOV 1 5 1967

Edward D. Re. Chairman

Theodore Jaffe, Commissioner

LaVin R. Dilweg

LaVern R. Dilweg, Commissioner

The statute <u>does not provide for the payment of claims</u> against the Government of Cuba. Provision is only made for the determination by the Commission of the validity and amounts of such claims. Section 501 of the statute specifically precludes any authorization for appropriations for payment of these claims. The Commission is required to certify its findings to the Secretary of State for possible use in future negotiations with the Government of Cuba.

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, if no objections are filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Proposed Decision, the decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. 531.5(e) and (g) as amended, 32 Fed. Reg. 412-13 (1967).)