

FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION
OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

ROSALIE TUORTO

Claim No. CU - 1665

Decision No. CU - 919

Under the International Claims Settlement
Act of 1949, as amended

Appeal and objections from a Proposed Decision entered January 3, 1968.
No oral hearing requested.

Hearing on the record held on January 31, 1968.

FINAL DECISION

This claim, for \$2,347.10, based upon the asserted loss of certain bond interests in Cespedes Sugar Company and Guantanamo and Western Railroad Company, was denied by Proposed Decision for failure of proof.

Claimant objected to the Proposed Decision contending that there was no need to submit documentary evidence. Claimant set forth in her objections what are purported to be the certificate numbers of her bonds.

The Regulations of the Commission provide:

The claimant shall be the moving party and shall have the burden of proof on all issues involved in the determination of his claim.
(FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. §531.6(d) (Supp. 1967).)

By Commission letter of June 21, 1967, claimant was requested to submit the original bond certificates and evidence of date of purchase and price paid. However, no evidence in response to this correspondence was received. On October 23, 1967, claimant was invited to submit any available evidence within 45 days from that date, and she was informed that, absent such evidence, it might become

necessary to determine the claim on the basis of the existing record. No evidence has since been submitted. The claimant's contention is obviously not in keeping with Commission regulations.


Accordingly, the Proposed Decision denying the claim for failure of proof is affirmed.

Dated at Washington, D.C.,
and entered as the Final
Decision of the Commission

FEB 7 1968



Edward D. Re, Chairman



Theodore Jaffe, Commissioner

FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION
OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

ROSALIE TUORTO

Under the International Claims Settlement
Act of 1949, as amended

Claim No. CU-1665

Decision No. CU 919

PROPOSED DECISION

This claim against the Government of Cuba, filed under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, in the amount of \$2,347.10, was presented by ROSALIE TUORTO and is based upon the asserted loss of certain bond interests in Cespedes Sugar Company and Guantanamo and Western Railroad Company. Claimant has been a national of the United States since her birth.

Under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949 [78 Stat. 1110 (1964), 22 U.S.C. §§1643-1643k (1964), as amended, 79 Stat. 988 (1965)], the Commission is given jurisdiction over claims of nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba. Section 503(a) of the Act provides that the Commission shall receive and determine in accordance with applicable substantive law, including international law, the amount and validity of claims by nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba arising since January 1, 1959 for

losses resulting from the nationalization, expropriation, intervention or other taking of, or special measures directed against, property including any rights or interests therein owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly at the time by nationals of the United States.

Section 502(3) of the Act provides:

The term 'property' means any property, right, or interest including any leasehold interest, and debts owed by the Government of Cuba or by enterprises which have been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba and debts which are a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

Section 504 of the Act provides, as to ownership of claims, that

(a) A claim shall not be considered under section 503(a) of this title unless the property on which the claim was based was owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly by a national of the United States on the date of the loss and if considered shall be considered only to the extent the claim has been held by one or more nationals of the United States continuously thereafter until the date of filing with the Commission.

The Regulations of the Commission provide:

The claimant shall be the moving party and shall have the burden of proof on all issues involved in the determination of his claim. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. §531.6(d) (Supp. 1967).)

Claimant asserts the ownership of certain bond interests in Cespedes Sugar Company and Guantanamo and Western Railroad Company; however, other than a photocopy of her baptismal certificate, claimant has submitted no documentary evidence in support of her claim. By Commission letter of June 21, 1967, claimant was advised as to the type of evidence proper for submission to establish this claim under the Act. However, no evidence in response to this correspondence has been received to date. On October 23, 1967, claimant was invited to submit any evidence available to her within 45 days from that date, and she was informed that, absent such evidence, it might become necessary to determine the claim on the basis of the existing record. No evidence has since been submitted.

The Commission finds that claimant has not met the burden of proof in that she has failed to establish ownership of rights and interests in property which was nationalized, expropriated or otherwise taken by the Government of Cuba. Thus, the Commission is constrained to deny this claim and it is hereby denied. The Commission deems it unnecessary to make determinations with respect to other elements of the claim.

Dated at Washington, D. C.,
and entered as the Proposed
Decision of the Commission

3 JAN 1968

Edward S. Re

Edward S. Re, Chairman

Theodore Jaffe

Theodore Jaffe, Commissioner

CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the decision
of the Commission which was entered as the final
decision on -----

Francis M. Anderson
Clerk of the Commission

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, if no objections are filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Proposed Decision, the decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. 531.5(e) and (g) as amended, 32 Fed. Reg. 412-13 (1967).)