U.S. Depart of Justice



Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20579 October 25, 2007 <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

- TO: Mauricio J. Tamargo, Chairman Stephen C. King, Commissioner
- THRU: David E. Bradley, Chief Counsel

FROM: Jeremy LaFrancois, Staff Attorney

RE: No Further Action Needed Memorandum for Commission meeting on October 25, 2007 - Claim of KRISTAQ GLOZHENI, Claim No. ALB-149, Dec. No. ALB-254

This claim was denied in 1997 based solely on the residency requirement. The basis for the claim is 1020 m2 of land located in the village of Dardha, Korca District. Claimant submitted a request for return of the claimed property to the Commission for Returning of Properties at District's Council of Korca, but no one has indicated the outcome of that request.

We have made several attempts to contact the claimant both by mail and telephone. We have used the internet, Lexus and Choicepoint search services attempting to track the claimant. The record of the most recent address using Choicepoint included a phone number. We called the listed number and were told that the claimant had passed away three years ago. The woman who answered then stated she did not speak English and to call back when her daughter-in-law would be home, which was attempted several times. A letter was sent to this address on August 14, 2007 providing a deadline for response of September 30, 2007. The letter was not returned undeliverable nor responded to by a potential heir of the claimant.

Accordingly, it is recommended that this claim be closed without further action.

Approved:

Mauricio J. Tamargo, Chain

Stephen C. King, Commissioner

FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASHINGTON, DC 20579

In the Matter of the Claim of

KRISTAQ GLOZHENI

Claim No. ALB-149

Decision No. ALB-254

Against the Government of Albania

PROPOSED DECISION

This claim against the Government of Albania is based upon the alleged

confiscation of real property located in Dardha, in the District of Korce.

Under section 4(a) of Title I of the International Claims Settlement Act

of 1949 ("ICSA"), as amended, the Commission has jurisdiction to

receive, examine, adjudicate, and render final decisions with respect to claims of . . . nationals of the United States included within the terms of . . . any claims agreement on and after March 10, 1954, concluded between the Government of the United States and a foreign government (exclusive of governments against which the United States declared the existence of a state of war during World War II) . . . providing for the settlement and discharge of claims of . . . nationals of the United States against a foreign government, arising out of the nationalization or other taking of property, by the agreement of the Government of the United States to accept from that government a sum in en bloc settlement thereof.

22 U.S.C. 1623(a) (1994).

The Governments of the United States and Albania concluded an agreement for en bloc settlement of claims of United States nationals against Albania on March 10, 1995. Agreement Between the Government of the United States and the Government of the Republic of Albania on the Settlement of Certain Outstanding Claims, March 10, 1995 (entered into force April 18, 1995) ("Settlement Agreement"). Claims covered by the Settlement Agreement are

the claims of United States nationals (including natural and juridical persons) against Albania arising from any nationalization, expropriation, intervention, or other taking of, or measures affecting, property of nationals of the United States prior to the date of this agreement[.]

Settlement Agreement, Article 1(a).

The Agreed Minute to the Settlement Agreement further provides:

For purposes of article 1, the term "United States nationals" shall include dual United States-Albanian nationals only if those nationals are domiciled in the United States currently or for at least half the period of time between when the property was taken and the date of entry into force of the agreement.

In effect, this residency requirement limits the Commission's jurisdiction over the claims of dual nationals to those cases where the owner of the claim either (1) was domiciled in the United States on April 18, 1995 (the effective date of the Settlement Agreement), or (2) was domiciled in the United States for at

least half the period of time between the date the property was expropriated and April 18, 1995.

Claimant here, assertedly a United States national by birth, seeks compensation for 1020 square meters of property said to have been expropriated from him by the Albanian government in 1971.

Unfortunately, the information provided by claimant to date is not sufficient to establish his right to compensation. It appears that claimant is a dual U.S.-Albanian national, because his father was an Albanian citizen. Under Albanian law, claimant retains Albanian nationality notwithstanding his U.S. nationality by birth.

Because claimant is a dual United States-Albanian national, the Commission is constrained to apply the residency requirement in the Agreed Minute to the Settlement Agreement. However, there is no indication that claimant was living in the United States on April 18, 1995, or that he lived in the United States for at least half the approximately 24 years between the expropriation of his property in 1971 and the effective date of the Settlement Agreement, April 18, 1995. Indeed, the claim form appears to indicate that claimant has never lived in the United States.

Section 531.6(d) of the Commission's regulations provides:

The claimant shall be the moving party, and shall have the burden of proof on all issues involved in the determination of his or her claim.

- 4 -

45 C.F.R. 531.6(d) (1995).

The Commission finds that claimant here has not met the burden of proof in that he has failed to prove either that he was living in the United States on April 18, 1995, or that he lived in the United States for at least half the time between April 18, 1995 and the date his claim arose. In the absence of such evidence, the Commission is unable to find that the residency requirement in the Agreed Minute to the Settlement Agreement is satisfied.

Accordingly, while the Commission sympathizes with claimant for the loss of his property, it cannot find -- on the evidence submitted to date -- that this claim is compensable under the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The claim therefore must be and is hereby denied.

The Commission finds it unnecessary to make determinations with

respect to other elements of this claim.

Dated at Washington, DC and entered as the Proposed Decision of the Commission. JAN 2 8 1997

R. Lacey, Commissioner

Richard T. White, Commissioner

This decision was entered as the Commission's Final Decision on MAR 2 5 1997

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, any objections must be filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Proposed Decision. Absent objection, this decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. FCSC Regulations, 45 C.F.R. 531.5 (e) and (g) (1995).