United States District Court ORDER

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

102

IAN FURMINGER, EDMOND ROBLES, and REYNALDO VARGAS

FILED

FEB 25 2014

DEFENDANT(S).

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

INDICTMENT

Count One:21 U.S.C. § 846 Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances; Count Two:21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1); 18 U.S.C. § 2 Distribution of Marijuana; Aiding and Abetting; Count Three: 18 U.S. C. § 241 B Conspiracy Against Civil Rights; Count Four:18 U.S.C. § 371 Conspiracy to Commit Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program; Count Five: 18 U.S.C. §§ 666(a)(1)(A) and 2 B Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program and Aiding and Abetting; Count Six:18 U.S.C. § 1951 Extortion Under Color of Official Right

A true bill.				
	J Schen	Foreman		
Filed in open court this _	25 day of			
Hima	cb 2014			
0		Clerk		· inen
	یما میں Bail, \$ نوالا	rest wan	entrolle	Dy Vages LAROU, DIG
<u>Gunnos</u>	france	Su Do	eren	

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE	TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMEN	
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSED	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN PRANCISCO DIVISION
SEE ATTACHMENT Pe	thy SAN PRANCICO THE CONTROL OF THE
Mir	DEFENDANT - U.S DEFENDANT - U.S IAN FURMINGER
	sde- lanor IAN FURMINGER
	- '
PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER 102
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) 🔀 If not detained give date any prior
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) Is a Fugitive
☐ give name of court	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
	- 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction RICI 4 Federal State
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRA	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s) pefore U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF MONUTURARY TEAR
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form MELINDA HAAG	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Ager	ncy
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) AUSA RODNEY C. VILLAZO	
	NFORMATION OR COMMENTS -
PROCESS: ☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Solding to the second s	Date/Time: Feb. 28, 2014 @ 9:30 AM Before Judge: Elizabeth Laporte
Comments:	

PENALTY SHEET
DEFENDANT – IAN FURMINGER

21 U.S.C. § 846 – Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, ER 21 U.S.C. § 846 – Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years minimum/life maximum supervised release, \$100 special assessment

21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment

21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years

**Total Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Subs

supervised release, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 371 - Conspiracy to Commit Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program - 5 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(A) - Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program - 10 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 1951 - Extortion Under Color of Official Right - 20 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

AU 257 (Rev. 6/78)

. . .

3Y: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	_
SEE ATTACHMENT Petty Minor	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION DEFENDANT - U.S
Misdemeano Felony	EDMOND ROBLES CANADA DE LA CONTRACTION DE LA CO
PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT	CRITA 102
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive 3) Is on Bail or Release from (show 1) trict)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	IS IN CUSTODY FEB 25 2014 4) On this created ARD W 5) On another convicing of OF CAN FORNIA 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant magistrate CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s)	Has detainer Yes give date filed DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form MELINDA HAAG	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) AUSA RODNEY C. VILLAZOR	—
PROCESS:	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
SUMMONS □ NO PROCESS* □ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	 Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	Date/Time: Feb. 28, 2014 @ 9:30 AM Before Judge: Elizabeth Laporte

PENALTY SHEET DEFENDANT – EDMOND ROBLES

- 21 U.S.C. § 846 Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years minimum/life maximum supervised release, \$100 special assessment
- 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) Distribution of Marijuana 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years minimum/life maximum, \$100 special assessment
- 18 U.S.C. § 241 Conspiracy Against Civil Rights 10 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment
- 18 U.S.C. § 371 Conspiracy to Commit Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program 5 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(A) - Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program - 10 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

FEB 25 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

. .

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	
SEE ATTACHMENT Petty Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
Misdemeanou PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive 3) Is on Bail or Release from (shqtp: District) 810.
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY A) On this charge Signature Property Propert
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Adamsh (Day/Vans
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form MELINDA HAAG	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) AUSA RODNEY C. VILLAZOR	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFO	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Wallank mooded, almos magnas are the annual state of the
Defendant Address:	Date/Time: Before Judge:

PENALTY SHEET DEFENDANT – REYNALDO VARGAS

21 U.S.C. § 846 – Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances – 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years minimum/life maximum supervised release, \$100 special assessment

21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – Distribution of Marijuana - 20 years imprisonment, \$1,000,000, 3 years minimum/life maximum, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Civil Rights - 10 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Commit Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program - 5 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(A) - Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program - 10 years imprisonment, \$250,000, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment

FILED

FEB 25 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SEALED OY COURT ORDER MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2 3 FILED 4 FEB 25 2014 5 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 102 CR 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 VIOLATIONS: 21 U.S.C. § 846 - Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances; 21 U.S.C. Plaintiff, 13 841(a)(1) – Distribution of Marijuana; 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Civil Rights; 18 U.S.C. § 14 371 - Conspiracy to Commit Theft Concerning IAN FURMINGER, EDMOND ROBLES, and Federally Funded Program; 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(A) – Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program; 18 15 REYNALDO VARGAS, U.S.C. § 1951 - Extortion Under Color of Official 16 Right; 18 U.S.C. § 2 - Aiding and Abetting Defendants. 17 SAN FRANCISCO VENUE 18 19 INDICTMENT 20 The Grand Jury charges: 21 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS 22 At all times relevant to this Indictment: 23 The San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") was a duly constituted police agency 24 engaging in law enforcement in San Francisco, California. SFPD sergeants and officers were authorized 25 by California law to make lawful arrests and conduct legally authorized searches and seizures within the 26 City and County of San Francisco. 27 Defendant IAN FURMINGER was an SFPD sergeant. Defendants EDMOND ROBLES 2. 28

INDICTMENT

INDICTMENT

COUNT THREE: (18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Civil Rights)

- 8. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 and 2 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 9. Beginning on a date unknown to the grand jury but no later than on or about February 19, 2009, and continuing through at least November 12, 2010, in the Northern District of California, the defendants,

IAN FURMINGER, EDMOND ROBLES, and REYNALDO VARGAS,

and others, while acting under color of law, did willfully combine, conspire, and agree with each other to injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate individuals they encountered in the course of their official duties, in the free exercise and enjoyment of the rights and privileges secured to them by the Constitution and laws of the United States, namely, the right not to be deprived of property without due process of law.

MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

10. It was part of the conspiracy that FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS, while executing search warrants, making arrests, and seizing evidence during the course of their work as a sergeant and police officers, respectively, of the San Francisco Police Department, stole money and other valuable items, such as computers, electronic devices, and gift cards belonging to other persons and took such items for their personal benefit and for the benefit of persons other than the rightful owners.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 241.

COUNT FOUR: (18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Commit Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program)

- 11. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 3 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 12. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury but no later than on or about February 19, 2009, and continuing through at least on or about April 9, 2011, in the Northern District of California, the defendants,

IAN FURMINGER, EDMOND ROBLES, and REYNALDO VARGAS,

and others, did knowingly and unlawfully combine, conspire, and agree to embezzle, steal, obtain by fraud, convert to the use of a person other than the rightful owner, and intentionally misapply property worth at least \$5,000 and under the care, custody, and control of a local government, FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS each being an agent of said local government, and said government receiving in each one-year period in excess of \$10,000 under Federal programs involving grants, contracts, subsidies, loans, guarantees, insurance, and other forms of Federal assistance, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 666(a)(1)(A).

MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 13. In their official capacities as a sergeant and police officers, respectively, of the San Francisco Police Department, FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS seized money, drugs, and other valuable items belonging to other persons.
- 14. Rather than book such money, drugs, and other valuable items as evidence for use in criminal prosecutions, FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS took possession of such money, drugs, and other valuable items for their personal benefit and for the benefit of persons other than the rightful owners.

OVERT ACTS

- 15. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to carry out its objects, FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS committed or caused to be committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
- a. On or about March 2, 2009, FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS arrested J.F. and seized items from him as part of their official duties. They then took for their own benefit some of the items they seized from J.F., including a \$500 Apple gift card.
- b. On or about March 4, 2009, VARGAS used the Apple gift card specified in subparagraph (a) to purchase an iPhone and an iPod nano at an Apple Store in San Francisco.
- c. On or about March 26, 2009, FURMINGER, ROBLES, and VARGAS took for their own benefit marijuana they had seized as part of their official duties.

On or about March 26, 2009, VARGAS delivered marijuana to two informants d. 1 and instructed the informants to sell the marijuana and split the sale proceeds with himself, 2 FURMINGER, and ROBLES. 3 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. 4 (18 U.S.C. §§ 666(a)(1)(A) and 2 - Theft Concerning Federally Funded Program COUNT FIVE: 5 and Aiding and Abetting) 6 The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 3 are re-alleged and incorporated as if 16. 7 fully set forth here. 8 Between March 1, 2009, and February 28, 2010, in the Northern District of California, 17. 9 the defendants, 10 IAN FURMINGER, 11 EDMOND ROBLES, and REYNALDO VARGAS, 12 each being an agent of a local government and each aiding and abetting the others, did knowingly 13 embezzle, steal, obtain by fraud, convert to the use of a person other than the rightful owner, and 14 intentionally misapply property worth at least \$5,000, and under the care, custody and control of such 15 local government, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 666(a)(1)(A) and 2. 16 17 // 18 | // 19 20 21 | // 22 23 24 26 27 28

1	COUNT SIX: (18 U.S.C. § 1951 – Extortion Under Color of Official Right)
2	18. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 and 2 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully
3	set forth here.
4	19. Between in and about August 2011 and in and about August 2012, in the Northern
5	District of California, the defendant,
6	IAN FURMINGER,
7	did knowingly obstruct, delay, and affect in any way and degree commerce and the movement of article
8	and commodities in commerce by extortion, that is, by obtaining property from S.S. with S.S.'s consent
9	induced under color of official right, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951.
10	
11	DATED: 2-25-2014 A TRUE BILL.
12	
13	JACK FOREPERSON
14	
15	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
16	Though as Wilson
17	IJPDQUQLAG WILSON
18	Chief, Criminal Division
19	(Approved as to form:
20	AUSAs Caputo, Hemann, and Villazor
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

6

INDICTMENT

United States District Court Northern District of California

FILED

FEB 25 2014

CRIMINAL COVER SHEET

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Instructions: Effective January 3, 2012, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be comp Defendant Information Form, for each new criminal case.	Cast Netber: On Jep 2
Case Name: USA v. Ian Furminger, Edmond Robles, Reynaldo Vargas	Cas N. Aber: OR J. 9 2
Total Number of Defendants: 1 2-7 8 or more	Is This Case Under Seal? Yes No
Does this case involve ONLY charges under 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 1326? Yes No V	
Venue (Per Crim. L.R. 18-1): SF OAK SJ EUR MON	
Is any defendant charged with a death-penalty-eligible crime? Yes No	Assigned AUSA (Lead Attorney): AUSA Rodney Villazor
Yes No ✓ Is this a RICO Act gang case?	AUSA Rodney Villazor Date Submitted: