2 1005 SEP 22 DIBTRICT COURT at Seattle, Washington. September 22 1005 00 000			
September 22			
The state of the s			
4 SEATTLE STANDARD OF BRUCE RIPKIN, CHEEK			
6	5,000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
8 AT SEATTLE	Duty "Co		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff. OROS OROS	2551		
Plaintiff, Survey of the Plaintiff,	3330		
11 v. INDICTMENT			
2 THOMAS TURNER,			
Defendant.			
14			
15 THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:			
16 I.			
17 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS			
18 At all times material herein:			
19 A. THE CORPORATIONS			
 Metropolitan Mortgage and Securities Company, Inc. (hereina) 	fter "Met")		
was a corporation headquartered in Spokane, Washington engaged in the bus	siness of,		
among other things, commercial loans and real estate sales. Met was part of a group of			

was a corporation headquartered in Spokane, Washington engaged in the business of, among other things, commercial loans and real estate sales. Met was part of a group of affiliated, privately-held, jointly-run companies with a common majority owner. Those affiliated companies included Met subsidiaries Western United Holding Company and Western United Life Assurance Company (hereinafter "WULA"), an insurance company registered in the State of Washington, and Met sister company Summit Securities, Inc. (hereinafter "Summit"), and its subsidiary Old Standard Life Insurance Company (hereinafter "Old Standard"), an insurance company registered in the State of Idaho.

24

25

26

27

Met and its subsidiary Western United Holding Company each were issuers 2. 1 of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and were required to file independently audited consolidated financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (hereinafter "SEC"). Summit was also an issuer of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and, along with its subsidiary Old Standard, was required to file an independently audited consolidated financial statement with the SEC.

B. THE DEFENDANT

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

27

28

3. Defendant THOMAS TURNER was president and a director of Summit and an employee and an executive officer of Met. His responsibilities included structuring investment and commercial loan transactions on behalf of Met and Summit and their subsidiaries.

THE TRANSACTION

- Beginning in or about July 2002 and continuing through in or about September 2002, Met began negotiating a deal with one of its existing borrowers (hereinafter "T Corporation") to create a joint venture in which, generally, Met, Summit or one of its affiliated companies would loan money to T Corporation and both Met and T Corporation would contribute real property for the purpose of investment and property development. Defendant TURNER led the negotiations on behalf of Met.
- Beginning in about August of 2002, defendant TURNER and another individual employed by Met telephoned Met's outside accountants at the accountants' offices in Seattle to ask for advice regarding accounting treatment for the proposed joint venture with T Corporation. Defendant TURNER and the other Met employee indicated that Met sought, through the proposed joint venture, to record an immediate gain, or profit, on the joint venture, as opposed to having to wait until future years to record a profit. Based on the proposed joint venture as represented by TURNER and the other Met employee, the accountants advised that Met would not be able to record an immediate profit because the proposed joint venture failed to satisfy certain accounting

- 6. Subsequently, TURNER and the other Met employee telephoned Met's outside accountants several times with modified versions of the originally proposed joint venture, each time seeking advice about Met's ability to record an immediate profit. Each time, Met's accountants advised that the modified joint venture proposals failed to satisfy the accounting rules for recording an immediate profit. Met's outside accountants informed TURNER and the other Met employee that a significant reason the proposals failed to meet the requirements of the applicable accounting rules was that the purchaser of Met's property was T Corporation, which, in the joint venture scenarios, was not acting as an independent third-party purchaser of the property Met was seeking to sell.
- 7. In approximately mid-September 2002, TURNER telephoned Met's outside accountants and informed them that Met had located an independent third party purchaser for its property. TURNER represented certain facts to Met's outside accountants about the bona fides of the purported purchaser. Based upon TURNER'S representations as to the proposed transactions, Met's outside accountants preliminarily agreed that the deal may satisfy the requirements of the accounting rules for recording an immediate profit, subject to an audit of Met's fiscal year 2002 financial statements. Met's fiscal year ended September 30, 2002.
- 8. In the last week of September 2002, prior to the end of its fiscal year, Met closed two transactions, among others: (a) a loan of approximately \$17.6 million from Summit subsidiary Old Standard to the T Corporation secured by T Corporation's timber property; and (b) the sale of two parcels of undeveloped real property owned by Met and it subsidiary WULA, one in Everett, Washington and the other near San Antonio, Texas, to a corporation newly formed by the purported independent third party purchaser (hereinafter "JP") for a total of approximately \$24 million. Met and WULA financed the sale of the properties and JP provided a 20% cash down payment on each parcel. An agent of JP (hereinafter "DS") contributed the cash down payment on behalf of JP. The source of JP's down payments was the Old Standard loan to the T Corporation.

3

5 5

7

8 9

10 11

13

12

14

15 16

17

IR 19

20

22

21

23

25 25

27

28

9. Met recorded an immediate profit of approximately \$10 million on the sale of the Everett and San Antonio properties to JP. Without this transaction, Met would have reported a net loss on its consolidated financial statements for the 2002 fiscal year.

THE AUDIT D.

- 10. Between approximately September and December of 2002, Met's outside accountants conducted an audit of Met's and Summit's 2002 fiscal year financial statements and specifically examined the sale of property to JP. Based on the representations of TURNER and others at Met, and various documents provided by Met, Met's outside accountants concurred with the recording of immediate profit on the sale of the Everett and San Antonio properties to JP.
- 11. On or about December 31, 2002 pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, Met and Summit each submitted their audited consolidated financial statements to the SEC in a report known as a Form 10-K. Met's audited financial statement included the approximately \$10 million in profit Met recorded from the sale of property to JP.

THE SUBSEQUENT INVESTIGATION

- In approximately September of 2003, Met's outside accountants were conducting an audit of Met's 2003 financial statements when the accountants discovered Met internal documents that raised questions about the way in which the 2002 sale of property to JP had been recorded. Met internal auditors and Met's outside accountants each began investigations which included document review and interviews with TURNER and others. The investigations revealed information that indicated that, in summary, JP was not truly an independent third-party purchaser of Met's property as TURNER had represented, and that TURNER was aware of this before the 2002 audit had been completed.
- These investigations concluded in approximately January of 2004 and resulted in, among other things, Met deciding to reverse the \$10 million profit it had recorded on its financial statements in 2002 from the sale of property to JP. Additionally, Met's outside accountants withdrew their audit opinion on the 2002 financial statements

and res
omissio
11

and resigned, based on, among other reasons, TURNER's misrepresentations and omissions about the sale of property to JP.

П.

COUNT 1

- 14. Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
- 15. In or about mid-September, 2002, the exact date being unknown to the Grand Jury, at Seattle, Washington, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation and filing of documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the SEC.
- 16. Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false statements and material omissions in telephone conversations with Met's outside accountants who at the time were located in their offices in Seattle:
- a. that JP and DS constituted an independent, third party purchaser and
 that DS was very interested in purchasing the property, when in truth and in fact, as
 TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's
 purchase of the properties, and JP's agent DS was participating in the transaction as a
 favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down
 payment contribution at risk in the transaction;
 - b. that Met's sale of properties to JP was independent of, and not

10

11

9

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

connected with, Old Standard's loan to the T Corporation, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, and representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties;

that JP and DS were not connected to the T Corporation, had no C. other relationships and were independent parties acting on their own behalf and for their own accounts, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and DS, acting on behalf of JP, was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff, Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT 2

- Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
- In or about late October and early November, 2002, the exact date being unknown to the Grand Jury, at Spokane, Washington, within the Eastern District of Washington, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation and filing of

7

9

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25 26

27 28

> INDICTMENT - 7 METROPOLITAN MORTGAGE

documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the SEC.

- Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false statements and material omissions in meetings with Met's outside accountants at Met's offices in Spokane:
- that JP and DS constituted an independent, third party purchaser and that DS was very interested in purchasing the property, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and JP's agent DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction;
- that Met's sale of properties to JP was independent of, and not b. connected with, Old Standard's loan to the T Corporation, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, and representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties;
- that JP and DS were not connected to the T Corporation, had no other relationships and were independent parties acting on their own behalf and for their own accounts, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and DS, acting on behalf of JP, was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

9

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

- Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
- On or about December 18, 2002, at Seattle, Washington, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation and filing of documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K. reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the SEC.
- 22. Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false statements and material omissions in a memorandum titled "Review of interest rate on sale to [JP]" sent by e-mail from Met's offices in Spokane to the offices of Met's outside accountants in Seattle:
- that JP, the purchaser of the properties, desired to negotiate a note splitting option, which indicated JP's intent and forethought given to the transaction, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and JP's agent DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction;
- that the value of the transaction was established through negotiations between a willing buyer and seller, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating IP's purchase of the properties,

and JP was not a willing buyer as DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction;

c. that the borrower was an independent third party purchaser of the property, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, JP was not an independent buyer, as representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT 4

- Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. On or about December 27, 2002, at Seattle, Washington, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation and filing of documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the SEC.
 - Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false

- a. that Summit's consolidated balance sheets, comprehensive income and cash flows were fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, when, in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Summit's consolidated balance sheet, comprehensive income and cash flows had not been fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles because Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, which property sale as recorded on Met's financial statements violated generally accepted accounting principles;
- b. that there were no oral agreements that would have a material effect on any amounts reported in Summit's financial statements, when, in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, which property sale as recorded on Met's financial statements violated generally accepted accounting principles;
- c. that loans had been correctly described in the financial statements in all material respects, when, in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, which property sale as recorded on Met's financial statements violated generally accepted accounting principles;
- d. that there had been no violations or possible violations of laws and regulations, when, in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, which property sale as recorded on Met's financial statements violated generally accepted accounting principles, which constituted violations and possible violations of securities and other laws;
- e. that there had been no fraud involving management and employees
 who had significant roles in internal controls, when, in truth and in fact, as TURNER

1	then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent,		
2	by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, which property sale as recorded on		
	Met's financial statements violated generally accepted accounting principles, which		
4	constituted fraud involving TURNER, who as president of Summit, had a significant rol		
5	in Summit internal controls, and which fraud had a material effect on Summit's		
6	consolidated financial statements.		
7	All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Title		

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT 5

(False Statements to Accountants of a Securities Issuing Company)

- Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. On or about September 24, 2003, at Spokane, Washington, within the Eastern District of Washington, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation and filing of documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the SEC.
- 28. Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false statements and material omissions in meetings with Met's outside accountants at Met's offices in Spokane:
 - a. that JP and DS constituted an independent, third party purchaser and

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

that DS was very interested in purchasing the property, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and JP's agent DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction;

that JP and DS were not connected to the T Corporation, had no Ь. other relationships and were independent parties acting on their own behalf and for their own accounts, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and DS, acting on behalf of JP, was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Title Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT 6

- Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment 29. are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. In or about October 2003, at Spokane, Washington, within the Eastern District of Washington, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation

24

25

26

27

28

and filing of documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the SEC.

- 31. Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false statements and material omissions in meetings with Met's outside accountants at Met's offices in Spokane:
- a. that JP and DS constituted an independent, third party purchaser and that DS was very interested in purchasing the property, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and JP's agent DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction;
- b. that JP and DS were not connected to the T Corporation, had no other relationships and were independent parties acting on their own behalf and for their own accounts, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and DS, acting on behalf of JP, was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT 7

- Sections A through E of the General Allegations section of this Indictment are realleged here as though fully set forth herein.
 - 33. On or about December 3, 2003, at Spokane, Washington, within the Eastern

1	District of Washington, the defendant, THOMAS TURNER, knowingly and willfully	
2	made and caused to be made materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to	
3	state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the	
4	circumstances under which the statements were made, not misleading, to Met's	
5	accountants in connection with the audit, review and examination of financial statements	
6	of Met and Summit, issuers of a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of th	
7	Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, required by law to be made, and the preparation	
8	and filing of documents and reports, namely Met's and Summit's 2002 Form 10-K	
9	reports, required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to rules and regulations enacted by the	
10	SEC.	

- 34. Specifically, TURNER made and caused to be made the following false statements and material omissions in meetings with Met's outside accountants at Met's offices in Spokane:
- a. that JP and DS constituted an independent, third party purchaser and that DS was very interested in purchasing the property, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and JP's agent DS was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction;
- b. that JP and DS were not connected to the T Corporation, had no other relationships and were independent parties acting on their own behalf and for their own accounts, when in truth and in fact, as TURNER then well knew, Old Standard's \$17.6 million loan to the T Corporation was contingent, by oral agreement, on Met's sale of property to JP, representatives of T Corporation were negotiating JP's purchase of the properties, and DS, acting on behalf of JP, was participating in the transaction as a favor to the T Corporation, and had expressed that he did not want his cash down payment contribution at risk in the transaction.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78m(a), (b)(2), 78ff; Tit 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.13b2-2; and Title 18, United States Code,		
2			
3	Section 2.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
4		A TRUE BILL:	
5	1	DATED: 22 SOPTEMBER 2005	
6			
7		Signature of Foreperson redacted	
8		pursuant to the policy of the Judicial Conference of the United States	
9		FOREPERSON	
10			
11	Auren C. Rellerin		
De.	United States Attorney		
13	1/0/		
14	William Haster		
15	WILLIAM H. STAPLETON		
16	Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section		
17	1 1 0		
18	TOOLDY A CARONIE	<u></u>	
19	Trigi Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice		
20	Oriminal Division, Fraud Section		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			