A Proficiency Test Provider's Perspective Collaborative Testing Services, Inc.

Presentation for National Commission on Forensic Science on behalf of The Accreditation and Proficiency Testing Subcommittee

Overview

- 1. **History** of CTS and Current Activities
- 2. Differences between Forensic PT and other industries
- **3.** Accreditation to ISO 17043
- 4. **Consensus** based results
- 5. **Challenges** for the Forensic PT Provider
- 6. Emerging areas in Forensic PT

CTS Current activities

- CTS offers proficiency testing to 9 disparate communities:
 - -Agriculture
 - -Color & Appearance
 - -Corrugated Containers
 - -Fasteners & Metals
 - -Forensics
 - -Paper & Paperboard
 - -Plastics
 - -Rubber
 - -Wine

CTS Current activities - Forensics

- Offer tests in 9 forensic disciplines
 - Forensic Biology/DNA, Controlled Substances, Crime Scene,
 Firearms/Toolmarks, Latent Prints & Impressions, Questioned
 Documents, Digital Forensics, Toxicology and Trace Evidence
- Provide 61 forensic proficiency tests in 2016

-Also offering 1 Research Test

- Ship over 14,000 laboratory tests in 2015
- Used in over 60 countries

History of Forensic Testing and CTS

- CTS began operation in 1971 from activities already conducted by NBS, now NIST
- LEAA funded FSF program 1974-1977
- CTS Forensic Proficiency Testing 1978
- FSF Program Affiliate until 1992
- ASCLD Program Affiliate until 1998
- Technically supervised by the PAC, an ASCLD committee 1978-1999
- ASCLD/LAB PRC review of results 1993 present
- ANAB ISO 17043 Accreditation in 2014 present

History of Forensic Testing and CTS

 Original forensic testing schemes were focused on comparing methodologies and approaches

-Anonymous reporting even to CTS

- Policy became the stronger aspect in mid 1990's
- Conclusions of examination became emphasis to coincide with accreditation
 - -Gathering of specific analytical data lost emphasis
 - -Minimum burden on laboratory systems
- What is the result of examination?
 - -Evaluation by Accreditation Agencies
 - -Additional information still gathered but it is very limited
 - Analyses performed, but not specific results
 - Balance may be beginning to shift

Differences in PT Schemes

- What are the differences between the current state of forensic proficiency testing and PT in other industries?
 - -Test Design (no subcontracting under ISO 17043)
 - Scenario-based test packages
 - Balance of case-like vs. uniform samples
 - Driven by consensus of individual laboratory policy
 - -Standard methods (spectrum of proscription)
 - -Numeric not conclusion-based emphasis
 - -Level of challenge

ISO 17043 Accreditation

- Just as ISO 17025 provides assessment for laboratories, ISO 17043 is an accreditation specific to providers of proficiency testing
- Commonality with ISO 17025 for laboratories is much greater than the differences
- Is not proscriptive of the type of scheme, accommodates many approaches
- Many of the most crucial factors are left to the diligence of the Provider

Consensus-Based Proficiency Testing

- CTS (mostly) uses a consensus based approach to proficiency testing
 - -Most common answer is correct
 - Includes both numeric and conclusion based results: intermediate and final calls
 - -Adequate consensus
 - Indicate differences from consensus, not judgment of right and wrong
- Expected associations are very common; not achieving adequate consensus rare

Consensus-Based Proficiency Testing

- Numeric results are statistically treated similar to common practice in other industries
- Exceptions:
 - Manufacturer's Information supplies actual source of test components
 - We are imposing a non-consensus approach on some tests, specific to forensics is calibration in breath alcohol

PT Provider Challenges I

- Balance of case-like scenarios with producing uniform test components
 - -PT should mimic laboratory's work; samples are case like

-Nominally each laboratory receives the same sample

- -Justify the compromises for large-scale production
- -Minimize the impact of the compromises
 - Actual implementation of these compromises on a sample-bysample basis is among the requirements that demands the highest level of expertise

PT Provider Challenges II

- Setting the appropriate level of challenge
 - Not achieving a consensus has become indicative of bad test design or an indictment of that segment of the forensic community
 - Samples without a consensus are viewed as poor quality, even if reproduction is true to original
 - Easy tests are favored by the community High stakes testing
 - Complexity of case-like scenario
 - Level of challenge
 - Discretion of PT Provider, again demands high level of technical expertise
 - External context for challenge level provided by individual interaction, association involvement, accrediting body guidance

New and Emerging PTs

- Breath Alcohol Calibration PT
 - Reference material samples, target value assigned, not consensus, involve laboratory and reference uncertainties
- Mobile Phone Forensics PT
 - Successful demonstration of test concept in 2014, regular offering in 2015. Novel components like GPS location and social media history

Crime Scene Research Test

 Offered preliminary test to CAC. Observation-based test conducted on a physical mock crime scene. Judging satisfaction of requirements in the context of local policy is focus of work

Concluding Perspective

- PT provides valuable, external, independent assessment of laboratory performance
- Test design, interaction with individual laboratory policies, and level of challenge are among the most important and nuanced factors that a Provider must consider
- CTS' Forensic PT Program is ready to assist OSAC work and implement work products
- Questions?