

Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics

# **Limited Networks**

Paul B. Ginsburg, Ph.D.

FTC-DOJ Workshop on Competition in Health Care
February 24, 2015

#### **Economics of Networks (1)**

- Context for network strategies
  - Insurer role as bulk purchasing agent for enrollees
    - Approaches to use size to get lower prices
  - Provider market becoming more consolidated
    - Horizontal mergers
    - Hospital acquisition of physician practices
    - Strong headwind for purchasing



#### **Economics of Networks (2)**

- Insurer leverage with providers based on ability to shift volume from high-priced providers to others
  - Network strategy potentially more potent approach than high deductibles and price information
    - Simpler tasks for enrollees less information to gather and process



#### **Economics of Networks (3)**

- ACA exchange is ideal marketplace for narrow network plans
  - Enrollee sensitivity to premium differences
    - Affordability a challenge for most in this market
    - Tax credits do not vary with plan purchased
    - Metal tiers make plan comparisons easier
  - Absence of "one size fits all" requirement
- Almost half of ACA networks are narrow (McKinsey)

# Price, Efficiency, Quality (1)

- Initial focus of limited networks on unit prices
  - Shifting volume to lower-priced providers
  - Negotiating lower prices
  - Longer-term potential to spur provider efforts to contain costs
    - Market-level effects of growth in limited network plans
      - Magnifies stakes for providers



# Price, Efficiency, Quality (2)

- Potential to assess broader measures of price and quality
  - Per episode
  - Per enrollee over a year
  - Basis for dropping providers from network
  - An enhancement when analytic tools good enough
  - Analytic parallels in reformed payment
    - Progress in defining bundles and measuring quality applicable to both



#### Narrow vs Tiered Networks (1)

- Narrow networks more powerful tool
  - Stronger steering incentives
  - Resulting larger discount on premium
- But tiered networks have potential for broader consumer interest
  - Choices at point of service rather than for a year
    - Popularity of PPOs and tiered formularies
  - More compatible with broadACOs
    - ACO as a tier California model



#### Narrow vs Tiered (2)

- Why so few tiered networks?
  - Insurance exchanges a favorable environment for narrow network products
    - But employer plans a good environment for tiered networks
  - Contracting practices blocking the approach
    - Provider demands to be placed in preferred tier ("anti-steering")
  - Supporting tiered networks in Massachusetts
    - Purchasing and regulation of contracting



# **Network Regulation (1)**

- Context of unexpected rapid growth in product
  - Problems arose that need solutions
  - Popularity cautioned policy makers on using regulation to suppress the product
- Transparency shortcomings
  - Essential to have real-time accuracy on provider directories
    - Part can be done through IT
    - May need more structured contracting



# **Network Regulation (2)**

- Network adequacy
  - Consumers need most support on specialists for conditions they do not currently have
    - Less support on whether PCPs close enough
  - Also need to prevent risk selection through lack of specialists for expensive conditions
  - But assessing adequacy of specialists is very difficult
    - Especially given increasing sub specialization
  - Alternative of strong appeals process



# **Network Regulation (3)**

- Physicians that patients do not choose
  - Compelling challenge that applies to all networks
- Any Willing Provider laws
  - Most date to 1980s
  - Magnitude of new interest not clear; SD unique
  - Particular threat to cutting edge approaches to networks
    - Using broad measures of price and quality
    - Plans limited to a major delivery system



# **Concluding Thoughts**

- Narrow networks a particularly potent competitive tool to address high and rising medical prices
- Substantial evolution likely
  - Analytics to help measure more meaningful prices and quality
  - Regulation of transparency and network adequacy
- Potential for tiered networks depends on regulatory steps to support



# **USC** Schaeffer

Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics