

ORIGINAL  
FILED

APR - 2 2015

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND

1 E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 4104634)  
2 ALBERT B. SAMBAT (CSBN 236472)  
3 MICHAEL A. RABKIN (ILRN 6293597)  
4 U.S. Department of Justice  
5 Antitrust Division  
6 450 Golden Gate Avenue  
7 Box 36046, Room 10-0101  
8 San Francisco, CA 94102  
9 albert.sambat@usdoj.gov  
10 Telephone: (415) 934-5300

11 Attorneys for the United States

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14 OAKLAND DIVISION

HSG

CR15-00193

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) Criminal No.  
16 )  
17 ) INFORMATION  
18 )  
19 ) VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 –  
20 v. ) Bid Rigging (Count One);  
21 ) 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to  
22 ) Commit Mail Fraud (Count Two)  
23 RAMIN YEGANEH, )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )  
29 Defendant. )

30 The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:

31 RAMIN YEGANEH,

32 the defendant herein, as follows:

33 BACKGROUND

34 1. At all times relevant to this Information, when California homeowners defaulted  
35 on their mortgages, mortgage holders could institute foreclosure proceedings and sell the  
36 properties through non-judicial public real estate foreclosure auctions (“public auctions”). These  
37 public auctions were governed by California Civil Code, Section 2924, *et seq.* Typically, a  
38 trustee was appointed to oversee the public auctions. These public auctions usually took place at

1 or near the courthouse of the county in which the properties were located. The auctioneer, acting  
2 on behalf of the trustee, sold the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price.  
3 Proceeds from the sale were then used to pay the mortgage holders, other holders of debt secured  
4 by the property, and, in some cases, the defaulting homeowner (collectively, "beneficiaries").

5 COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (Alameda County)

6 THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

7 2. Beginning as early as May 2008 and continuing until in or about October 2010,  
8 the defendant, RAMIN YEGANEH, and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a  
9 combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain  
10 selected properties offered at public auctions in Alameda County in the Northern District of  
11 California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the  
12 Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

13 3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,  
14 understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and his co-conspirators to suppress  
15 competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected  
16 properties at public auctions in Alameda County at non-competitive prices.

17 4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and  
18 conspiracy, the defendant and his co-conspirators did those things that they combined and  
19 conspired to do, including, among other things:

20 a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions  
21 in Alameda County;

22 b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the  
23 public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

24 c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the  
25 public auctions.

26 //

27 //

28 //





