U.S. Department of Justice **Executive Office for Immigration Review**

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2015-0202

Date:

In re: ISMAEL GONZALEZ, ATTORNEY

OCT 15 2015

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Catherine M. O'Connell

Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Jennifer J. Barnes

Disciplinary Counsel

The respondent will be suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) for 1 year.

On August 11, 2015, the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court for the First Judicial Department in the State of New York issued an order suspending the respondent from the practice of law in that state for 1 year, effective September 10, 2015. Consequently, on September 8, 2015, the Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before that agency. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office of Immigration Review (EOIR) then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before the Board and the Immigration Courts. We granted the petition on September 17, 2015.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and he is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(2013).

The Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the DHS for a period of 1 year. The Disciplinary Counsel for the EOIR asks that the Board extend that discipline to practice before the Board and the Immigration Courts. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct the Board to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013).

Since the proposed sanction is appropriate, in light of the respondent's suspension in New York, the Board will honor that proposal. Further, as the respondent is currently under our September 17, 2015, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's period of suspension to have commenced on that date.

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS for 1 year.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107 (2013).

FURTHER ORDER: As the Board earlier imposed an immediate suspension order in this case, today's order of the Board becomes effective immediately. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2)(2013).

FOR THE BOARD