U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2016-0236

Date: DEC 2 2 2016

In re: ALICIA R, MARTINEZ, Attorney

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Jennifer J. Barnes

Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Jeannette V. Dever

Associate Legal Advisor

The respondent will be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS").

On July 8, 2014, the Board suspended the respondent from practice before the Immigration Courts, Board, and DHS, for 1 year, in Case No. D2014-122. This was based on the respondent being suspended from the practice of law in Texas. The Board's suspension remains in effect, as the respondent has not been reinstated to practice by the Board.

The Supreme Court of Texas issued an order on August 31, 2016, accepting the respondent's resignation with disciplinary proceedings pending, and prohibiting the respondent from practicing law in that state. Consequently, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review ("EOIR") filed a Notice of Intent to Discipline in this case.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105.

The Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be disbarred from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts. The DHS asks the Board to extend that discipline to practice before that agency as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct the Board to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2). The proposed sanction is appropriate, in light of the fact that the Supreme Court of Texas issued an order on August 31, 2016, accepting the respondent's resignation with disciplinary proceedings pending, and prohibiting the respondent from practicing law in that state.

ORDER: The Board hereby disbars the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our July 8, 2014, order in Case No. D2014-122.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against her.

FURTHER ORDER: The Board directs that the contents of this notice be made available to the public, including at Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.

FOR THE BOARD

Weem gre