)(	FILED D.C.
	DEC 0 7 2006
	CLARENCE MADDOX CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	angle 06-22962
Plaintiff,	) CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.	)
LILIA A. GOMEZ and 1 TAX PREP, INC., f/k/a LILIA A. GOMEZ TAX PREP, INC.,	CIV-SEITZ
Defendants.	) _/

# COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION MCALILEY

The United States of America, by and through R. Alexander Acosta, United States

Attorney for the Southern District of Florida, hereby files this complaint for permanent injunction and alleges as follows:

- 1. This is a civil action brought by the United States of America to enjoin Lilia A. Gomez and 1 Tax Prep, Inc., formerly known as Lilia A. Gomez Tax Prep, Inc., from:
  - a. Preparing or assisting in the preparation of any federal income tax return for any other person or entity;
  - b. Providing any tax advice or services for compensation, including preparing returns, providing consultative services, or representing customers;
  - c. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 or 6701, including preparing a return or claim for refund that includes an unrealistic or frivolous position or preparing a return or claim for refund that willfully or recklessly understates a tax liability;
  - d. Engaging in any conduct that interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws through the preparation of false tax returns.

## **AUTHORIZATION**

2. This action has been authorized by the Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and commenced at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to the provisions of 26 U.S.C. §§ 7401, 7402, 7407 and 7408.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345, and 26 U.S.C. § 7402.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1396, and 26 U.S.C. §§ 7407 and 7408.

## **DEFENDANTS**

- 5. Defendant Gomez currently resides at 691 S.E. 3<sup>rd</sup> Place, Hialeah, Florida 33010, within the Southern District of Florida.
- 6. 1 Tax Prep, Inc., formerly known as Lilia A. Gomez Tax Prep, Inc., is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Florida. 1 Tax Prep, Inc. has its principal place of business at 707 E. 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Hialeah, Florida 33010, within the Southern District of Florida.

#### DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT TAX PREPARATION SCHEME

7. Defendant Gomez and 1 Tax Prep, Inc. prepare federal income tax returns for clients. Defendant Gomez has been preparing tax returns on behalf of clients for approximately twenty years.

Page 3 of 10

- Case 1:06-cv-22962-PAS Docume
  - 8. The majority of defendants' clients are immigrants, many of whom do not speak English and rely on the defendants to properly prepare their tax returns. Defendants' clients pay an average of fifty dollars per return for defendants' tax preparation services.
  - 9. Defendants have each client complete a questionnaire, which requests information from which a tax return can be prepared.
  - 10. Defendants engage in a fraudulent tax preparation scheme by preparing tax returns that disregard the information provided by clients on their completed questionnaires. Defendants knowingly ignore or modify the information provided by their clients for the purpose of increasing their clients' tax refund or decreasing their liability.
  - 11. Defendants' fraudulent tax preparation scheme involves, among other things, filing amended tax returns that claim a refund based on the education credit in circumstances where defendants know or should know that the education credit is not allowable.
  - 12. During a four-month span between November 2003 and February 2004, defendants filed 1,284 amended returns claiming education credit refunds on behalf of clients, all of them for tax years 2000 through 2002. Ninety-five percent of the claims for refund were disallowed in full following an audit by the Internal Revenue Service.
  - 13. Defendants' fraudulent tax preparation scheme also involves fabricating information on clients' original tax returns, including filing status, Schedule A deductions, and credits.
  - 14. Upon information and belief, the majority of defendants' clients are unaware of the material fabrications made on their respective tax returns by the defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' KNOWLEDGE OF THE ILLEGALITY OF THEIR SCHEME

- 15. Defendants know or should know that their conduct is illegal.
- 16. As described above, defendants have each of their clients complete a questionnaire prior to preparing their tax return, but knowingly ignore or modify the information provided for the purpose of increasing their clients' tax refund or decreasing their liability.
- 17. Defendant Gomez was assessed tax preparer penalties by the IRS for tax years 1995 and 1996, and agreed to tax preparer penalties for tax years 1999 and 2000. Nevertheless, defendant Gomez and her company, defendant 1 Tax Prep, Inc., have continued to engage in a pattern of fabricating deductions and other information on tax returns they have prepared on behalf of clients.

## **HARM TO THE UNITED STATES**

- 18. The United States estimates that the tax loss to the Government arising from amended returns prepared by the defendants on behalf of their clients between November 2003 and February 2004 is \$1.2 million.
- The United States estimates that the tax loss to the Government arising from original tax returns prepared by the defendants during tax years 2003 and 2004 alone is over \$6 million.

## **COUNT I: INJUNCTION UNDER § 7407**

20. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 19 above.

- 21. Section 7407 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes a district court to enjoin income tax return preparers from, among other things, (a) engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6694, which penalizes return preparers who knowingly prepare a return that contains an unrealistic position, who willfully attempt to understate tax liability on a return that they prepare, or who prepare a return that understates tax liability as a result of their reckless or intentional disregard of rules or regulations; and (b) engaging in any fraudulent or deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws.
- 22. Defendants have engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6694 by preparing income tax returns based on unsubstantiated and fraudulent deductions, credits, and filing status. Defendants know that these tax returns do not have a realistic possibility of being sustained on the merits if questioned by the Internal Revenue Service.
- 23. Defendants engage in fraudulent and deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws.
- 24. Defendants' actions described above fall within 26 U.S.C. § 7407(b)(1) and thus is subject to injunction under § 7407.
- 25. Because of defendants' continued and repeated conduct subject to injunction under 26 U.S.C. § 7407, they should be permanently enjoined from acting as income tax return preparers.

## COUNT II: INJUNCTION UNDER 26 U.S.C. § 7408

26. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 above.

- 27. Section 7408 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes a district court to enjoin tax return preparers from, among other things, engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6701, which penalizes a person for aiding or assisting in the preparation of a return or other document which the person knows will result in an understatement of tax liability.
- 28. Defendants have engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6701 by preparing income tax returns based on unsubstantiated and fraudulent deductions, credits, and filing status. Defendants know that these deductions do not have a realistic possibility of being sustained on the merits if questioned by the Internal Revenue Service.
- 29. Defendants' actions described above fall within 26 U.S.C. § 7408(c)(1), and injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of their conduct.
- 30. Accordingly, defendants should be permanently enjoined from acting as income tax return preparers, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7408(b).

## COUNT III: INJUNCTION UNDER 26 U.S.C. § 7402

- 31. The United States incorporates herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 30 above.
- 32. Section 7402(a) of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes a district court to render such judgments and decrees as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 33. Defendants, through the conduct described above, have engaged in conduct that interferes substantially with the administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

  Unless enjoined by this Court, they are likely to continue to engage in such conduct. Defendants'

conduct causes significant injury to the United States. The United States is entitled to injunctive relief under 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a) to prevent such conduct.

### APPROPRIATENESS OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 34. Unless enjoined, defendants are likely to continue to engage in the conduct described above.
- 35. Defendants' conduct, as described above, causes irreparable harm to the United States. Specifically, defendants' conduct has caused and will continue to cause substantial revenue losses to the United States Treasury, some of which may never be recovered, thus resulting in a permanent loss. Unless the defendants are enjoined, the IRS will have to devote a substantial amount of its limited resources detecting and auditing future fraudulent returns prepared by the defendants.
- 36. If the defendants are not enjoined, they likely will continue to engage in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 and 6701.
- 37. If the defendants are not enjoined, they likely will continue to engage in conduct that interferes substantially with the administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

  WHEREFORE, plaintiff United States of America prays for the following relief:
- A. That the Court find that defendants Lilia A. Gomez and 1 Tax Prep, Inc. and its predecessor, continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 and 6701, or otherwise engaged in conduct that interfered with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws, and that injunctive relief against them is appropriate pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(a), 7407 and 7408 to prevent recurrence of that conduct;

- B. That the Court enter a permanent injunction prohibiting the defendants from directly or indirectly:
  - 1. Preparing or assisting in the preparation of any federal income tax return for any other person or entity;
  - 2. Providing any tax advice or services for compensation, including preparing returns, providing consultative services, or representing customers;
  - 3. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 or 6701, including preparing a return or claim for refund that includes an unrealistic or frivolous position or preparing a return or claim for refund that willfully or recklessly understates a tax liability; or
  - 4. Engaging in any conduct that interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws through the preparation of false tax returns;
  - C. That the Court enter an injunction:
    - 1. Requiring the defendants, at their own expense, to send by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the final injunction entered against them in this action to each person for whom they, or anyone at their direction or in their employ, prepared federal income tax returns or any other federal tax forms after January 1, 2000;
    - 2. Requiring the defendants, and anyone who prepared tax returns at the direction of or in the employ of the defendants, to turn over to the United States copies of all returns or claims for refund that they prepared for customers after January 1, 2000;
    - 3. Requiring the defendants, and anyone who prepared tax returns at the direction of or in the employ of the defendants, to turn over to the United States a list with the name, address, telephone number, e-mail address (if known), and social security number or other taxpayer identification number of all customers for whom they prepared returns or claims for refund after January 1, 2000;
    - 4. Requiring the defendants, within forty-five days of entry of the final injunction in this action, to file a sworn statement with the Court evidencing their compliance with the foregoing directives; and

- 5. Requiring the defendants to keep records of their compliance with the foregoing directives, which may be produced to the Court, if requested, or to the United States pursuant to paragraph D, below;
- D. That the Court enter an order allowing the United States to monitor the defendants' compliance with this injunction, and to engage in post-judgment discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and
- E. That the Court grant the United States such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA United States Attorney

STEVEN D. GRIMBERG Florida Bar No. 0173940 Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 14198 Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 514-5892 Facsimile: (202) 514-9868

Email: Steven.D.Grimberg@usdoj.gov

Case 1:06-cv-22962-PAS Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 12/07/2006 Page 10 of 10

LIS 44 (Rev. 11/05) CIVIL COVER SHEET 06-22962

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS					DEFENDANTS						
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					LILIA A. GOMEZ and 1 TAX PREP, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Lated Decadant Miami-Dade						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Add			oes,		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT						
Steven D. Grimberg, U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division, PC					LAND INVOLVED.						
14198, Washington, DC 2				J DUX	Attorneys (If Known)						
(	) ( 2	000	6-221	60	Calley maliley						
(d) Check County Where Action Arose: I MIAMI-DADE MONROE BROWARD PALM BEACH MARTIN ST. LUCIE INDIAN LUCIE OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS											
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTIO	ON (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL	PARTIES				
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) Pren of This State	TF DEF	FILED by ncomposite Pfi	~~ YT	fendant)  DEF  4 □ 4		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citiz	ten of Another State	2 🗇 2 II	orporated P	ri (1 ip 71 P 2006 🗆 nother State	□ 5		
		•			en or Subject of a	3 3 Foreign Nation		NCE MADDOY	<b>□</b> 6		
IV NATURE OF CHIE	<b>.</b>				oreign Country		CLERK	U.S. DIST CT FLA MIAMI	┼──		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place	e an "X" in One Box Or TO		FOF	REITURE/PENALTY	BANKI	RUPTCY	OTHER STA	TUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	310	RSONAL INJURY Airplane	PERSONAL INJUI  362 Personal Injury	RY 🗆 (	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal : ☐ 423 Withdra	28 USC 158 wal	☐ 400 State Reappo ☐ 410 Antitrust	ortionment		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractic  365 Personal Injury		625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC	157	☐ 430 Banks and B☐ 450 Commerce	anking		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	ı	Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Perso		630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERT  ☐ 820 Copyrig		<ul><li>☐ 460 Deportation</li><li>☐ 470 Racketeer In</li></ul>	fluenced and		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	🗇 330	Federal Employers'	Injury Product	0 4	650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent		Corrupt Orga	nizations		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans		iability Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPE		660 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 840 Tradema	ark	<ul> <li>□ 480 Consumer C</li> <li>□ 490 Cable/Sat T\</li> </ul>			
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment		Marine Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending		690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SI	ZTIDITV	<ul><li>□ 810 Selective Set</li><li>□ 850 Securities/Co</li></ul>			
of Veteran's Benefits	<b>350</b>	Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal	Ĭ 🗇	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (13	195ff)	Exchange			
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract		Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damag  385 Property Dama		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))		875 Customer Ch 12 USC 3410			
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise		Other Personal njury	Product Liability					<ul><li>☐ 890 Other Statute</li><li>☐ 891 Agricultural</li></ul>			
REAL PROPERTY	Cl	VIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL	TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic St	tabilization Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure		Voting Employment	510 Motions to Vac Sentence		790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	■ 870 Taxes (U or Defen		<ul><li>☐ 893 Environmen</li><li>☐ 894 Energy Alloc</li></ul>			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<b>1</b> 443	Housing/	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—T	hird Party	☐ 895 Freedom of I	Information		
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability		Accommodations Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty			26 USC	/609	Act  ☐ 900Appeal of Fed			
290 All Other Real Property		Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 540 Mandamus & C☐ 550 Civil Rights	Other				Under Equal to Justice	Access		
		446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 🔲 555 Prison Conditio		on			950 Constitutionality of				
		Other Other Civil Rights						State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  1 Original Proceeding  2 Removed from State Court  3 Re-filed-(see VI below)  4 Reinstated or Reopened  5 Transferred from another district (specify)  5 Multidistrict Litigation  7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment											
			a) Re-filed Case	YES (	<b>7</b> NO b) Rela	ted Cases 🏻	YES 7NO	•			
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	LED	(See instructions second page):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER					
			tute under which you a	re filing	and Write a Brief Stateme	nt of Cause (De	o not cite jurisc	lictional statutes u	nless		
VII. CAUSE OF	1	iversity):			6- 1 1 4						
ACTION	4	26 U.S.C. 7407 a	nd /408; action to	enjoin	federal tax preparer.						
LENGTH OF TRIAL via 3 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)											
VIII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLAUNDER F.R.C.P. 23				N I	DEMAND \$		ECK YES only RY DEMAND:	if demanded in com	•		
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECOBDED DATE											
THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE					December 6, 2006						
					FORME	FICE USE ONL	Y				
					AMOUNT	<b>)</b>	RECEIPT #	IFP	·		