UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. 14CR601-2

v.

Judge Charles P. Kocoras

DONNA M. BARBER

PLEA AGREEMENT

1. This Plea Agreement between the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, ZACHARY T. FARDON, and defendant DONNA M. BARBER, and her attorney, STEVE GRIMES, is made pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and is governed in part by Rule 11(c)(1)(B), as more fully set forth below. The parties to this Agreement have agreed upon the following:

Charge in This Case

- 2. The information in this case charges defendant with making false entries in the reports of a financial institution with intent to defraud and deceive, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1005.
- 3. Defendant has read the charge against her contained in the information, and that charge has been fully explained to her by her attorney.
- 4. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crime with which she has been charged.

Charge to Which Defendant Is Pleading Guilty

5. By this Plea Agreement, defendant agrees to enter a voluntary plea of guilty to the information, which charges defendant with making false entries in the reports of a financial institution with intent to defraud and deceive, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1005.

Factual Basis

6. Defendant will plead guilty because she is in fact guilty of the charge contained in the information. In pleading guilty, defendant admits the following facts and that those facts establish her guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and constitute relevant conduct pursuant to Guideline § 1B1.3: On October 1, 2009, at Beecher, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, defendant DONNA M. BARBER, together with Martin E. Schmidt, Jr., knowingly made and caused to be made false entries in the books, reports, and statements of First Community Bank, with intent to injure and defraud the Bank and to deceive the Board of Directors, the Federal Reserve, and the FDIC, namely, a Past Due Accounts report for September 2009 which falsely represented the past due accounts by intentionally omitting to disclose as past due approximately nine of Customer K's loans and advances in the total principal amount of approximately \$367,000, and approximately 39 of Customer M's loans in the total principal amount of approximately \$2.5 million.

First Community Bank and Trust in Beecher, Illinois, was a state chartered bank, a member of the Federal Reserve System, and had its deposits insured by the FDIC. BARBER was Vice President for mortgage lending. As part of her duties

as Vice-President, BARBER had authority to access and make entries on customer loan account files. Co-defendant Martin E. Schmidt, Jr., was Senior Vice President for Lending, responsible for overseeing past due loans, and based on position and seniority, BARBER's de facto supervisor. As a result of her position, BARBER owed First Community Bank a fiduciary duty of care, good faith, and loyalty in management.

BARBER was aware that First Community Bank had certain lending policies. These policies included: (i) a policy allowing borrowers to skip one loan payment per year provided the borrower paid the interest due on the skipped payment; (ii) allowing extension of loan due dates provided certain conditions, including interest payments being current, were met; (iii) identifying and monitoring loans with due dates that had been extended on a Note Extension report or were past due 30 days or more on a Past Due Accounts report; and (iv) providing the Note Extension and Past Due Accounts reports to the Board of Directors of the Bank on a monthly basis for the Board's review and approval. The Board of Directors provided the approved Note Extension and Past Due Accounts reports to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC.

BARBER was the point of contact for certain loan customers, including Customer M, and her compensation was based, in part, on the performance of the loan accounts for which BARBER was responsible. By about 2008, BARBER was aware that Customer M was unable to make timely payments to the Bank on his various loans. Schmidt was aware that Customer M was delinquent. BARBER and Schmidt agreed that they needed to take action to prevent the delinquent accounts of Customer M from appearing on First Community Bank's reports. As a Vice President, BARBER

was placed in a position of trust by the bank with regard to mortgage lending which allowed BARBER to alter records with simple data entries. BARBER, with Schmidt's knowledge and approval, began making false entries in the loan account files of Customer M in order to conceal that these accounts were past due. By about May 2008, at Schmidt's instruction, BARBER began making entries overriding restrictions on the accounts of Customer K without notifying her supervisor.

From about 2008, until October 2009, BARBER knowingly made false entries in the books, reports and statements of First Community Bank. By these false entries, BARBER knowingly caused the Note Extension and Past Due Account reports the of First Community Bank for June 2009 through September 2009 to falsely represent that the Bank's loan portfolio was performing materially better than it was, in fact, performing by falsely representing the status of certain loans of Customer and M as current, when, in fact, these loans were past due and beyond term.

Specifically, BARBER, with Schmidt's knowledge and approval, made false entries in loan records, including entries allowing Customer M to skip payments without paying the interest due on the skipped payment and caused entries to be made in loan account files allowing unauthorized principal disbursements for Customer K and extending the notes of Customer M without interest payments being current. In order to accomplish this, BARBER made entries in loan account files of Customer M on a retroactive basis so that the actual condition of the loans of Customer M would not be represented on the then current monthly records. On occasion, BARBER caused another First Community Bank employee to unwittingly make entries in the loan

account files of Customer M which would cause the monthly reports of the Bank to falsely represent the status of these loans. BARBER also caused funds from new loans to be extended to Customer M which were used to make payments on other delinquent loans in order to make it appear that these delinquent loans were performing.

BARBER knowingly deceived the Board of Directors of First Community Bank by leading it to believe that she was managing the Bank's loans according to the Bank's policies, when BARBER, along with Schmidt, was actually fraudulently causing reports to be created which falsely made it appear that the loan portfolio was in materially better financial condition than it in fact was.

BARBER, by concealing the true financial conditions of Customer M, knowingly caused the Bank to delay calling the loans of Customer M in default and realizing on collateral securing those loans. BARBER also caused the Board of Directors to approve additional loans to Customers M when the respective financial conditions of Customer M did not support extending the additional loans.

As of September 29, 2009, Customer M had approximately 39 loans in the total principal amount of approximately \$2.5 million which were past due accounts, while Customer K and entities Customer K controlled had approximately nine loans and advances in the total principal amount of approximately \$367,000 which were past due accounts.

The loss attributable to BARBER with respect to Customer M resulting from loans which would not have been extended or would have been called in default at an earlier time is approximately \$708,274. BARBER did not receive any financial gain

from her involvement in the scheme outside of her compensation from the Bank. The loss with respect to Customer K is not attributable to BARBER in that the losses resulted from Schmidt's improper guarantee of insufficient funds checks and unauthorized advances and letter of credit which were not known or foreseeable to BARBER.

7. The foregoing facts are set forth solely to assist the Court in determining whether a factual basis exists for defendant's plea of guilty, and are not intended to be a complete or comprehensive statement of all the facts within defendant's personal knowledge regarding the charged crime and related conduct.

Maximum Statutory Penalties

- 8. Defendant understands that the charge to which she is pleading guilty carries the following statutory penalties:
- a. A maximum sentence of 30 years' imprisonment. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3561, defendant may not be sentenced to a term of probation for this offense. This offense also carries a maximum fine of \$1,000,000, or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from that offense, whichever is greater. Defendant further understands that the judge also may impose a term of supervised release of not more than five years.
- b. Defendant further understands that the Court must order restitution to the victims of the offense in an amount determined by the Court. The Court also may order restitution to any persons as agreed by the parties.

c. In accord with Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013, defendant will be assessed \$100 on the charge to which she has pled guilty, in addition to any other penalty or restitution imposed.

Sentencing Guidelines Calculations

- 9. Defendant understands that in imposing sentence the Court will be guided by the United States Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, but that the Court must consider the Guidelines in determining a reasonable sentence.
- 10. For purposes of calculating the Sentencing Guidelines, the parties agree on the following points:
- a. Applicable Guidelines. The Sentencing Guidelines to be considered in this case are those in effect at the time of sentencing. The following statements regarding the calculation of the Sentencing Guidelines are based on the Guidelines Manual currently in effect, namely the November 2014 Guidelines Manual.

b. Offense Level Calculations.

- i. The base offense level is 7, pursuant to Guideline § 2B1.1(a)(1).
- ii. The loss attributable to the offense and relevant conduct for which BARBER is responsible is approximately \$708,274, which is more than \$400,000, but less than \$1,000,000, and therefore results in a 14 level increase in the offense level, pursuant to Guideline § 2B1.1(b)(1)(H).

- iii. BARBER abused a position of private trust in the commission of the offense and relevant conduct by among other things, using her authority to access and make entries on customer loan account files in a manner that significantly facilitated the commission and concealment of the offense and relevant conduct, which therefore results in a 2 level increase in offense level, pursuant Guideline § 3B1.3.
- iv. Defendant has clearly demonstrated a recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for her criminal conduct. If the government does not receive additional evidence in conflict with this provision, and if defendant continues to accept responsibility for her actions within the meaning of Guideline § 3E1.1(a), including by furnishing the United States Attorney's Office and the Probation Office with all requested financial information relevant to her ability to satisfy any fine or restitution that may be imposed in this case, a two-level reduction in the offense level is appropriate.
- v. In accord with Guideline § 3E1.1(b), defendant has timely notified the government of her intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently. Therefore, as provided by Guideline § 3E1.1(b), if the Court determines the offense level to be 16 or greater prior to determining that defendant is entitled to a two-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, the government will move for an additional one-level reduction in the offense level.

- c. Criminal History Category. With regard to determining defendant's criminal history points and criminal history category, based on the facts now known to the government, defendant's criminal history points equal zero and defendant's criminal history category is I.
- d. Anticipated Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Range. Therefore, based on the facts now known to the government, the anticipated offense level is 20, which, when combined with the anticipated criminal history category of I, results in an anticipated advisory sentencing guidelines range of 33 to 41 months' imprisonment, in addition to any supervised release, fine, and restitution the Court may impose.
- e. Defendant and her attorney and the government acknowledge that the above guidelines calculations are preliminary in nature and based on facts known to the parties as of the time of this Agreement. Defendant understands that the Probation Office will conduct its own investigation and that the Court ultimately determines the facts and law relevant to sentencing, and that the Court's determinations govern the final guidelines calculation. Accordingly, the validity of this Agreement is not contingent upon the probation officer's or the Court's concurrence with the above calculations, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw her plea on the basis of the Court's rejection of these calculations.
- f. Both parties expressly acknowledge that while none of the guidelines calculations set forth above are binding on the Court or the Probation Office, the parties have agreed pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B) that certain

components of those calculations—specifically, those set forth above in subparagraph b

(i) through (iii) of this paragraph—are binding on the parties, and it shall be a breach

of this Agreement for either party to present or advocate a position inconsistent with

the agreed calculations set forth in the identified subparagraphs.

g. Defendant understands that with the exception of the guidelines provisions identified above as binding on the parties, the guidelines calculations set forth above are non-binding predictions, upon which neither party is entitled to rely, and are not governed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B). Errors in applying or interpreting any of the sentencing guidelines (other than those identified above as binding) may be corrected by either party prior to sentencing. The parties may correct these errors either by stipulation or by a statement to the Probation Office or the Court, setting forth the disagreement regarding the applicable provisions of the guidelines. The validity of this Agreement will not be affected by such corrections, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw her plea, nor the government the right to vacate this Agreement, on the basis of such corrections.

Cooperation

11. Defendant agrees she will fully and truthfully cooperate in any matter in which she is called upon to cooperate by a representative of the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois. This cooperation shall include providing complete and truthful information in any investigation and pre-trial preparation and complete and truthful testimony in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding. Defendant agrees to the postponement of her sentencing until after the conclusion of her cooperation.

Agreements Relating to Sentencing

- 12. At the time of sentencing, the government shall make known to the sentencing judge the extent of defendant's cooperation. If the government determines that defendant has continued to provide full and truthful cooperation as required by this Agreement, then the government shall move the Court, pursuant to Guideline § 5Kl.1, to depart downward from the low end of the applicable guideline range, and shall recommend a sentence that includes a term of imprisonment in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons of 66 percent of the low end of the applicable guideline range. Defendant shall be free to recommend any sentence. Defendant understands that the decision to depart from the applicable guideline range rests solely with the Court.
- 13. If the government does not move the Court, pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1, to depart from the applicable guideline range, as set forth above, the preceding paragraph of this Agreement will be inoperative, both parties shall be free to

recommend any sentence, and the Court shall impose a sentence taking into consideration the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) as well as the Sentencing Guidelines without any downward departure for cooperation pursuant to § 5K1.1. Defendant may not withdraw her plea of guilty because the government has failed to make a motion pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1.

- 14. It is understood by the parties that the sentencing judge is neither a party to nor bound by this Agreement and may impose a sentence up to the maximum penalties as set forth above. Defendant further acknowledges that if the Court does not accept the sentencing recommendation of the parties, defendant will have no right to withdraw her guilty plea.
- 15. Regarding restitution, defendant acknowledges that pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3663A, the Court must order defendant, together with any jointly liable co-defendants, to make full restitution to First Community Bank in an amount to be determined by the Court at sentencing, which amount shall reflect credit for any funds repaid prior to sentencing.
- 16. Defendant also agrees to pay additional restitution, arising from the relevant conduct set forth above, in an amount to be determined by the Court at sentencing, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3663(a)(3) and 3664. Such restitution shall include the repayment of any bonus to the extent that the bonus was based on defendant's misrepresentation of the performance of the loan portfolio.
- 17. Restitution shall be due immediately, and paid pursuant to a schedule to be set by the Court at sentencing. Defendant acknowledges that pursuant to Title 18,

United States Code, Section 3664(k), she is required to notify the Court and the United States Attorney's Office of any material change in economic circumstances that might affect her ability to pay restitution.

- 18. Defendant agrees to pay the special assessment of \$100 at the time of sentencing with a cashier's check or money order payable to the Clerk of the U.S. District Court.
- 19. Defendant agrees that the United States may enforce collection of any fine or restitution imposed in this case pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3572, 3613, and 3664(m), notwithstanding any payment schedule set by the Court.

Acknowledgments and Waivers Regarding Plea of Guilty Nature of Agreement

- 20. This Agreement is entirely voluntary and represents the entire agreement between the United States Attorney and defendant regarding defendant's criminal liability in case 14CR601.
- 21. This Agreement concerns criminal liability only. Except as expressly set forth in this Agreement, nothing herein shall constitute a limitation, waiver, or release by the United States or any of its agencies of any administrative or judicial civil claim, demand, or cause of action it may have against defendant or any other person or entity. The obligations of this Agreement are limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities, except as expressly set forth in this Agreement.

Waiver of Rights

- 22. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty she surrenders certain rights, including the following:
- a. **Right to be charged by indictment**. Defendant understands that she has a right to have the charge prosecuted by an indictment returned by a concurrence of twelve or more members of a grand jury consisting of not less than sixteen and not more than twenty-three members. By signing this Agreement, defendant knowingly waives her right to be prosecuted by indictment and to assert at trial or on appeal any defects or errors arising from the information, the information process, or the fact that she has been prosecuted by way of information.
- b. **Trial rights**. Defendant has the right to persist in a plea of not guilty to the charge against her, and if she does, she would have the right to a public and speedy trial.
- i. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by the judge sitting without a jury. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, defendant, the government, and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury.
- ii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve citizens from the district, selected at random. Defendant and her attorney would participate in choosing the jury by requesting that the Court remove prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges.

- iii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be instructed that defendant is presumed innocent, that the government has the burden of proving defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the jury could not convict her unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of her guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of guilty or not guilty.
- iv. If the trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not the judge was persuaded that the government had established defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- v. At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant.

 Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and her attorney would be able to cross-examine them.
- vi. At a trial, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence in her own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, she could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. A defendant is not required to present any evidence.
- vii. At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that she could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from her refusal to testify. If defendant desired to do so, she could testify in her own behalf.

Waiver of appellate and collateral rights. Defendant further c. understands she is waiving all appellate issues that might have been available if she had exercised her right to trial. Defendant is aware that Title 28, United States Code, Section 1291, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, afford a defendant the right to appeal her conviction and the sentence imposed. Acknowledging this, if the government makes a motion at sentencing for a downward departure pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1, defendant knowingly waives the right to appeal her conviction, any pre-trial rulings by the Court, and any part of the sentence (or the manner in which that sentence was determined), including any term of imprisonment and fine within the maximums provided by law, and including any order of restitution, in exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Agreement. In addition, if the government makes a motion at sentencing for a downward departure pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1, defendant also waives her right to challenge her conviction and sentence, and the manner in which the sentence was determined, in any collateral attack or future challenge, including but not limited to a motion brought under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255. The waiver in this paragraph does not apply to a claim of involuntariness, or ineffective assistance of counsel, nor does it prohibit defendant from seeking a reduction of sentence based directly on a change in the law that is applicable to defendant and that, prior to the filing of defendant's request for relief, has been expressly made retroactive by an Act of Congress, the Supreme Court, or the United States Sentencing Commission.

23. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty she is waiving all the rights set forth in the prior paragraphs. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights to her, and the consequences of her waiver of those rights.

Presentence Investigation Report/Post-Sentence Supervision

- 24. Defendant understands that the United States Attorney's Office in its submission to the Probation Office as part of the Pre-Sentence Report and at sentencing shall fully apprise the District Court and the Probation Office of the nature, scope, and extent of defendant's conduct regarding the charge against her, and related matters. The government will make known all matters in aggravation and mitigation relevant to sentencing, including the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- 25. Defendant agrees to truthfully and completely execute a Financial Statement (with supporting documentation) prior to sentencing, to be provided to and shared among the Court, the Probation Office, and the United States Attorney's Office regarding all details of her financial circumstances, including her recent income tax returns as specified by the probation officer. Defendant understands that providing false or incomplete information, or refusing to provide this information, may be used as a basis for denial of a reduction for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Guideline § 3E1.1 and enhancement of her sentence for obstruction of justice under Guideline § 3C1.1, and may be prosecuted as a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001 or as a contempt of the Court.
- 26. For the purpose of monitoring defendant's compliance with her obligations to pay a fine and restitution during any term of supervised release to which defendant

is sentenced, defendant further consents to the disclosure by the IRS to the Probation Office and the United States Attorney's Office of defendant's individual income tax returns (together with extensions, correspondence, and other tax information) filed subsequent to defendant's sentencing, to and including the final year of any period of supervised release to which defendant is sentenced. Defendant also agrees that a certified copy of this Agreement shall be sufficient evidence of defendant's request to the IRS to disclose the returns and return information, as provided for in Title 26, United States Code, Section 6103(b).

Other Terms

- 27. Defendant agrees to cooperate with the United States Attorney's Office in collecting any unpaid fine and restitution for which defendant is liable, including providing financial statements and supporting records as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.
- 28. Defendant will not object to a motion brought by the United States Attorney's Office for the entry of an order authorizing disclosure of documents, testimony and related investigative materials which may constitute grand jury material, preliminary to or in connection with any judicial proceeding, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e)(3)(E)(i). In addition, defendant will not object to the government's solicitation of consent from third parties who provided records or other materials to the grand jury pursuant to grand jury subpoenas, to turn those materials over to the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office, or an appropriate federal or state agency (including but not limited to the Internal Revenue Service), for use in civil or

administrative proceedings or investigations, rather than returning them to the third parties for later summons or subpoena in connection with a civil or administrative proceeding involving, or investigation of, defendant. Nothing in this paragraph or the preceding paragraph precludes defendant from asserting any legal or factual defense to taxes, interest, and penalties that may be assessed by the IRS.

- 29. Defendant understands that pursuant to Title 12, United States Code, Sections 1785(d) and 1829, her conviction in this case will prohibit her from directly or indirectly participating in the affairs of any financial institution insured by the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, except with the prior written consent of the National Credit Union Administration Board or the FDIC and, during the ten years following her conviction, the additional approval of this Court. Defendant further understands that if she knowingly violates this prohibition, she may be punished by imprisonment for up to five years, and a fine of up to \$1,000,000 for each day the prohibition is violated.
- 30. Defendant understands that, if convicted, a defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

Conclusion

- 31. Defendant understands that this Agreement will be filed with the Court, will become a matter of public record, and may be disclosed to any person.
- 32. Defendant understands that her compliance with each part of this Agreement extends throughout the period of her sentence, and failure to abide by any

term of the Agreement is a violation of the Agreement. Defendant further understands that in the event she violates this Agreement, the government, at its option, may move to vacate the Agreement, rendering it null and void, and thereafter prosecute defendant not subject to any of the limits set forth in this Agreement, or may move to resentence defendant or require defendant's specific performance of this Agreement. Defendant understands and agrees that in the event that the Court permits defendant to withdraw from this Agreement, or defendant breaches any of its terms and the government elects to void the Agreement and prosecute defendant, any prosecutions that are not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this Agreement may be commenced against defendant in accordance with this paragraph, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this Agreement and the commencement of such prosecutions.

- 33. Should the judge refuse to accept defendant's plea of guilty, this Agreement shall become null and void and neither party will be bound to it.
- 34. Defendant and her attorney acknowledge that no threats, promises, or representations have been made, nor agreements reached, other than those set forth in this Agreement, to cause defendant to plead guilty.

STEVE GRIMES

Attorney for Defendant

BRIAN P. NETOLS

Assistant U.S. Attorney