CASE 0:13-cr-00068-JRT-LIB Document 1 Filed 04/09/13 Page 1 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

INDICTMENT CR 13-68 JRT/LIB

(16 U.S.C. § 3372(a)(1)) (16 U.S.C. § 3373(d)(1))

v.

1. MICHAEL D. BROWN, and

2. MICHAEL J. NEI,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

THE UNITED STATES GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

1. Leech Lake, Cass Lake, Lake Winnibigoshish, and Six Mile Lake are bodies of water located within the boundaries of the Leech Lake Indian Reservation in the State and District of Minnesota.

2. Section 22.01(2) of the Conservation Code of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians prohibits the taking of game fish for any purpose by use of gill nets except where such taking is for personal use.

3. Section 23.01 of the Conservation Code of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians prohibits the taking of game fish for commercial purposes within the Leech Lake Reservation.

4. The Lacey Act makes it unlawful for any person to import, export, transport,

APR 1 0 2013

CASE 0:13-cr-00068-JRT-LIB Document 1 Filed 04/09/13 Page 2 of 4

U.S. v. Michael D. Brown, et al.

sell, receive, acquire, or purchase any fish and wildlife taken, possessed, transported, or sold in violation of any law or regulation of the United States, or in violation of any Indian tribal law. 16 U.S.C. § 3372(a)(1).

5. Defendant MICHAEL D. BROWN ("BROWN") is an enrolled member of the Leech Lake Bank of Chippewa Indians.

6. Between July, 2010 and July, 2011, defendant BROWN took fish by gill net from lakes within the boundaries of the Leech Lake Indian Reservation, including Leech Lake, Cass Lake, Lake Winnibigoshish, and Six Mile Lake, for commercial purposes, in violation of the Conservation Code of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians.

7. Defendant BROWN would and did sell these unlawfully acquired fish to defendant MICHAEL J. NEI and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury.

COUNT 1

(Transportation, Sale and Purchase of Fish Taken in Violation of Tribal Law)

8. The Grand Jury hereby re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 of this Indictment as if stated in full herein.

9. Between in or about July, 2010 and July, 2011, in the State and District of Minnesota, the defendant,

MICHAEL D. BROWN,

did knowingly engage in conduct that involved the sale and purchase of fish with a market value in excess of \$350.00, that is: fish that were netted from lakes within the Leech Lake Indian Reservation boundaries for commercial purposes, and did knowingly transport, sell

CASE 0:13-cr-00068-JRT-LIB Document 1 Filed 04/09/13 Page 3 of 4

U.S. v. Michael D. Brown, et al.

and acquire said fish knowing that said fish were taken, possessed, transported and sold in violation of and in a manner unlawful under Tribal Law, specifically, Sections 22.01(2) and 23.01 of the Conservation Code of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians.

All in violation of Title 16, United States Code, Sections 3372(a)(1) and 3373(d)(1).

COUNT 2

(Transportation, Sale and Purchase of Fish Taken in Violation of Tribal Law)

10. The Grand Jury hereby re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 of this Indictment as if stated in full herein.

11. In or about July, 2010, in the State and District of Minnesota, the defendant,

MICHAEL J. NEI,

did knowingly engage in conduct that involved the sale and purchase of fish with a market value in excess of \$350.00, that is: fish that were netted from lakes within the Leech Lake Indian Reservation boundaries for commercial purposes, and did knowingly transport, receive, acquire and purchase said fish knowing that said fish were taken, possessed, transported and sold in violation of and in a manner unlawful under Tribal Law, specifically, Sections 22.01(2) and 23.01 of the Conservation Code of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians.

All in violation of Title 16, United States Code, Sections 3372(a)(1) and 3373(d)(1).

Forfeiture Allegations

Counts 1 and 2 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth for the purpose of alleging criminal forfeitures pursuant to Title 16, United States Code, Section 3374 and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

U.S. v. Michael D. Brown, et al.

As a result of the foregoing offenses, the defendants shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 16, United States Code, Section 3374 and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c):

1. all fish or wildlife or plants imported, exported, transported, sold, received, acquired or purchased contrary to the provisions of Title 16, United States Code, Section 3372(a), or any regulation issued pursuant thereto, and

2. all vessels, vehicles, aircraft, and other equipment used to aid in the importing, exporting, transporting, selling, receiving, acquiring, or purchasing of fish or wildlife or plants in a felony violation of Title 16, United States Code, Section 3372(a), which violation involved the sale or purchase of, the offer of sale or purchase of, or the intent to sell or purchase, fish or wildlife or plants.

If any of the above-described property is unavailable for forfeiture for any of the reasons set forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), then the United States intends to seek the forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

FOREPERSON