```
C6T8MAD1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 2
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
 4
                                         S7 10 Cr. 228 (LTS)
               v.
 4
 5
    PETER MADOFF,
 5
 6
                   Defendant.
 б
 7
     ----x
 7
                                           June 29, 2012
 8
                                           11:00 a.m.
 8
 9
     Before:
9
10
                        HON. LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
10
                                          District Judge
11
11
12
                             APPEARANCES
12
13 PREET BHARARA
13
         United States Attorney for the
14
          Southern District of New York
14 LISA A. BARONI
15 JULIAN J. MOORE
15
    MATTHEW SCHWARTZ
16
         Assistant United States Attorneys
16
17 LANKLER SIFFERT & WOHL LLP
17
         Attorneys for Defendant
18 JOHN R. WING
18
     CHARLES SPADA
     JOANNE M. HARVEY
19
19
20
21 Also present:
22
        AGENT PAUL TAKLA, FBI
         AGENT PAUL ROBERTS, FBI
23
         NICHOLE BROWN-MORIN, Pretrial Service
23
          GARY SMITH, Paralegal, U.S. Attorney's Office
24
25
25
```

C6T8MAD1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1 (Case called) MS. BARONI: Good morning, your Honor. Lisa Baroni for the government. With me are Julian Moore and Matthew 3 Schwartz from the U.S. Attorney's Office, Special Agents Paul 4 5 Takla and Paul Roberts from the FBI, Gary Smith, who is a paralegal with the U.S. Attorney's Office, and Nichole 6 7 Brown-Morin from Pretrial Services. 8 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Baroni, Mr. Moore, 9 Mr. Schwartz, Agent Takla, Agent Roberts, Mr. Smith, and 10 Officer Brown-Morin. 11 MR. WING: Good morning, your Honor. John Wing for 12 Mr. Madoff, with my colleagues Charles Spada and Joanne Harvey. 13 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Wing, Mr. Madoff, 14 Mr. Spada, Ms. Harvey. 15 Please be seated. 16 I am informed that Mr. Madoff has an application to 17 waive indictment and plead guilty to a two count information 18 bearing the number S7 10 Cr. 228. 19 Is that correct, Mr. Wing? 20 MR. WING: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: Is this Mr. Madoff's first appearance? 21 22 MR. WING: It is. THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, would you please stand? 23 24 Please state your full name. THE DEFENDANT: Peter B. Madoff. 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

THE COURT: How old are you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: 66.

THE COURT: I will now explain certain rights that you have under the Constitution of the United States.

You have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you have already made statements to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you.

Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have the right to be released, either conditionally or unconditionally, pending trial, unless I find that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence at future court hearings and the safety of the community. If the government were to ask me to detain you pending trial, you are entitled to a prompt hearing on whether such conditions exist.

Do you understand this right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case, and if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an attorney to represent you.

Do you understand these rights?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do you wish to have and are you able to 3 obtain and afford counsel on your own? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Have you retained Mr. Wing and his 6 colleagues to represent you? 7 THE DEFENDANT: That is correct. 8 THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Wing, this plea is pursuant to the agreement that has been executed and marked as Government 11 Exhibit 1, which is a letter dated June 29, 2012, is that 12 13 correct? 14 MR. WING: That is correct, your Honor. THE COURT: The advice of rights form has also been 15 16 executed and marked as Court Exhibit 1, is that correct? 17 MR. WING: That is correct. 18 THE COURT: And you have that there at defense table? 19 MR. WING: We do. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, would you please make a 21 statement regarding victim notification in connection with this 22 proceeding? 23 MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. On Wednesday, June 27, 24 the government published on our Web site a letter that your Honor endorsed that set forth the charges that we anticipate 25

the defendant will plead guilty to today and the penalties.

THE COURT: Thank you

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, before I accept your waiver of indictment and guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I must ask you while you are under oath to assure that your plea and waiver are valid. At times I may cover a point more than once, and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the advice of rights form that you have seen. If I do so, that is because it is very important that you understand what is happening here today.

In that connection, if you don't understand something that I ask you, please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorney.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the

17 oath?

(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: Would you again state your full name?

THE DEFENDANT: Peter B. Madoff.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, do you understand that you have solemnly promised to tell the truth and that if you answer any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or making a false statement?

C6T8MAD1 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Please be seated for the next portion of 3 the proceeding. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, you are 69 years old? б THE DEFENDANT: 66. 7 THE COURT: I'm so sorry. 8 How far did you go in school? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I have law degree from -- a JD degree 10 from Fordham Law School. 11 THE COURT: Are you able to speak, read and understand 12 the English language well? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 13 14 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 15 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: For what sort of condition? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Stress, anxiety. 19 THE COURT: Does the condition or any medication that 20 you're taking in connection with that condition affect your ability to think clearly? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: No. 23 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 24 for any mental illness other than what we have talked about, 25 the anxiety condition, or for any type of addiction, including

#### C6T8MAD1 1 drug or alcohol addiction? THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any 3 4 drugs, medicine or pills or have you drunk any alcohol? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I took a Xanax, which is an anxiety 6 pill. 7 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do you feel comfortable making important 12 decisions for yourself today? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: Are you represented by counsel here today? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: What is your lawyer's name? 17 THE DEFENDANT: John Wing, Charles Spada, Joanne 18 Harvey. 19 THE COURT: Does any of the counsel present have any 20 doubt as to Mr. Madoff's competence to waive indictment and 21 plead guilty at this time? MR. WING: We do not, your Honor. 22 23 MS. BARONI: No, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, your attorney has informed me 25 that you wish to plead guilty to an information after waiving

indictment. Do you wish to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with your attorneys, including the charges to which you intend to plead guilty and any defenses that you may have to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you and your attorneys also discussed the consequences of entering a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and their representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Madoff's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that Mr. Madoff is fully competent to waive indictment and enter an informed plea.

Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you some more questions. These questions are intended to satisfy the Court that you wish to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea.

I will now describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

will be giving up these rights if you plead guilty. Please listen carefully. If you do not understand something that I am saying or describing, stop me, and I or your attorney will explain it more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you that are in the information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 12 people selected from this district would have to agree unanimously in order to find you guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, and at all stages leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

an attorney, and if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would also have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf if you so desired. Also, you would have the right to have witnesses required to come to court to testify in your defense, and you would have the right to testify yourself, but you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of the rights that I have asked you about?

б

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty today, you will be giving up each and every one of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights may have been violated?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead guilty today, you will have no trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty, you will also have to give up your right not to incriminate yourself, because I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You do not have to enter this plea if you don't want to for any reason. Do you understand this fully?

C6T8MAD1 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: The document that contains the charges 5 against you is called an information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney. You have a constitutional right to 6 be charged by an indictment rather than an information. An 7 8 indictment would be a charge issued from a grand jury. 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Wing, would you please show Mr. Madoff 12 the waiver of indictment form? 13 Mr. Madoff, have you signed this form? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney 18 before you signed it? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you 21 signed it? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you did not

24

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

waive indictment, if the government wanted to prosecute you on

the charges that are in the information, it would have to

#### C6T8MAD1 1 present those charges to a grand jury, which might or might not indict you on them? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 5 obligation to waive indictment? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving 8 indictment, you are giving up your right to have these charges 9 presented to a grand jury? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Has anyone given you anything or made any 14 threats or promises to get you to waive indictment? 15 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the seventh 17 superseding information, that is, the charging document with 18 the number S7 10 Cr. 228 at the top? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Have you read it? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorneys? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

that are detailed in the information?

THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you want me to, I can read the information out loud to you here in court. Do you want me to read it out loud?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor, it's not necessary.

THE COURT: I find that Mr. Madoff's waiver of indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and I so order it.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand that Count One of the information charges you with participating in a conspiracy to: (1) commit securities fraud; (2) falsify books and records of an investment adviser; (3) falsify books and records of a broker/dealer; (4) make false filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission; (5) commit mail fraud; (6) Falsify statements in relation to documents required by ERISA, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act; and (7) obstruct or impede the lawful government functions of the Internal Revenue Service in the ascertainment, assessment, computation and collection of taxes, all in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 371?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two charges you with falsifying books and records of an investment adviser, in violation of Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17, Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Section 275.204-2, and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every part or element of each of these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, would you please explain what the government would have to prove if we were to go to trial on the charges in the information?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.

In order to prove Count One, the crime of conspiracy, the government would have had to establish each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the conspiracy charged in the information existed, in other words, that there was in fact an agreement or understanding to either violate the law of the United States or to defraud the United States;

Second, that the defendant knowingly, willingly and voluntarily became a member of the charged conspiracy;

Third, that any one of the conspirators knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy during the time of the conspiracy.

With respect to Count Two, falsifying books and records of an investment adviser, in order to prove this crime, the government would have had to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense, BLMIS, or Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, was an investment adviser;

Second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and regulations;

Third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS's failure to make and keep those accurate records;

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1$ 

Fifth, that the offense involved the use of the mails or means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

THE COURT: With respect to Count One, the government would have to prove that the object of the conspiracy was consistent with the objects charged in the information?

MS. BARONI: That's right, your Honor.

THE COURT: And with respect to venue, by a preponderance that the crimes were connected with the Southern District of New York?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand what the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Two is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$10,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the two crimes to which you propose to plead guilty is ten years of imprisonment, plus a fine of \$260,000, or if greater, the sums of the relevant SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

gains, losses and statutory amounts relating to your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a \$200 mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for three years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information and verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

Supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be sent back to prison without a jury trial. If you are on supervised release and you do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be sent back to prison for the remainder of the term of supervised release. You will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on post-release supervision.

So, for example, if you received a prison term and then a three year term of supervised release, and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of supervised release for two years, but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be sent back to prison for three whole years. Do you understand that?

б

 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Under current law, there are sentencing guidelines that judges must consider in determining your sentence.

Have you spoken to your attorneys about the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your sentence, the Court must calculate the applicable sentencing guidelines range and consider that range, possible departures under the sentencing guidelines, and other sentencing factors under Title 18, Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion, while taking the guidelines into account, to sentence you to any period of imprisonment from time served up to the statutory maximum of ten years?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even though your SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

3 4

5

б 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plea agreement includes a stipulated or agreed sentencing guidelines calculation, the Court will not be able to determine your sentence until after a presentence report has been completed by the probation department and you and the government have had a chance to challenge any of the facts reported by the probation office?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you may have the right to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances even if your plea agreement provides that you are waiving your right to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: No one, not even your attorney or the government, can or should give you any assurance of what your sentence will be, because your sentence cannot be determined until after your probation office report is completed, and I have ruled on the challenges to the report, and I have determined what the appropriate sentence is.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw your guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wing, would you please show Mr. Madoff page 4 of the plea agreement which shows the stipulated sentencing guideline range?

Mr. Madoff, this agreement provides that the stipulated guideline range is 10 years of imprisonment, in other words, the combined statutory maximum penalty.

Do you understand that the stipulation does not bind the Court or the probation department as to the facts on which it is based, as to how to apply the guidelines to the facts, or as to what will be an appropriate sentence in your case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I may decide to impose a sentence that is outside the guideline range?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal sentence or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the information also includes a forfeiture allegation that states that you must forfeit to the United States all property, real and personal, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

б

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1 that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the crime charged in Count One, including, but not limited to, approximately \$143.1 billion? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Would you please look again at the plea

agreement, which is marked as Government Exhibit 1?

Have you signed this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you fully understand the agreement

before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Does the agreement reflect accurately your complete and total understanding of the entire agreement

between the government, your attorneys and you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about 21 22

your plea and sentence covered in this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anything been left out?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you, other than what is detailed in that plea agreement, or threatened you or forced you to plead guilty or enter into that agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 3, this agreement includes stipulations that your offenses involved a loss amount of more than \$400 billion, that the offenses involved 250 or more victims, that the offenses involved sophisticated means, that the offenses substantially endangered the solvency or financial security of 100 or more victims, that you were a registered broker or dealer, a person associated with a broker or dealer, and/or an investment adviser at the time of the offense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that each of these stipulated facts affects sentencing calculations under the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to make an agreement with the government as to any of those facts?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that page 2 of the agreement provides that you are admitting the forfeiture allegation in the information and that you agree that you must SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

C6T8MAD1 1 forfeit to the United States \$143.1 billion in United States currency and certain enumerated property? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 5 obligation to make an agreement with the government as to any 6 money or other property that you are required to forfeit? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement 9 provides that any forfeiture of your assets will not count against any restitution obligation or other penalty the Court 10 11 may impose? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, is there a consent order of 14 forfeiture or proposed preliminary order today? 15 MS. BARONI: Yes, there is. It has been executed, 16 your Honor. THE COURT: Has it been handed up? 17 18 MS. BARONI: We will hand it up right now. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, have you reviewed the 20 preliminary order of forfeiture, which is also captioned final as to the defendant, in this case S7 10 Cr. 228? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Have you signed the preliminary -- just 24 one minute. Is there a stipulation in this?

Have you signed the preliminary order?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

25

	C6T8MAD1
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys
5	before you signed it?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you
8	signed it?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Wing, has your office also signed this
11	preliminary order?
12	MR. WING: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And may I take that as a firm indication
14	that the defense has no objection to the form or substance of
15	the order?
16	MR. WING: Correct.
17	THE COURT: Has the government also signed the
18	preliminary order?
19	MS. BARONI: Yes, we have, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Is it the desire of the parties that I
21	execute this order in connection with today's proceeding?
22	MS. BARONI: That's right, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I have also been given a stipulation and
24	order. Has that been signed by the government?
25	MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. That's also fully
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300

1 executed.

б

THE COURT: It is the government's representation that all of the signatures on the order that I have been given are genuine and reflect full execution of the stipulation?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it the desire that I execute this order in connection with this proceeding as well?

MS. BARONI: That's right, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, do you understand that the preliminary forfeiture order will be final as to you as soon as I sign it and enter it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement —this is going back to the plea agreement, Government Exhibit 1 — on page 2 provides that the conduct charged in the information can be used as a predicate act or a basis for sentencing enhancement in any future prosecution, including, but not limited to, a prosecution under the federal RICO statute?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 2 your agreement includes an understanding that you will file accurate amended personal tax returns for the calendar years 1998 through 2008 prior to sentencing and that you will not contest SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

the applicability of civil fraud penalties?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are not

obligated to agree to these matters?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 5 your agreement provides that you are giving up or waiving your right to appeal, to litigate your sentence under Sections 2255 and/or 2241 of Title 28 of the United States Code, and to seek a sentence reduction under Section 3582(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code if I sentence you to 10 or fewer years of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive your rights to appeal or otherwise litigate any sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 6 your agreement provides that you will not appeal any supervised release term of three or fewer years?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive your right to appeal any supervised release term that is imposed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1

3 4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides on page 4 that neither you nor the government will seek a sentence other than 20 years of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to enter into such an agreement limiting your right to make arguments for a lower sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty pursuant to this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wing, do you know of any valid reason why Mr. Madoff would prevail at trial?

MR. WING: I am sorry, your Honor?

THE COURT: Do you know of any valid reason why Mr.

Madoff would prevail at trial?

MR. WING: No.

THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why he should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. WING: No, I do not.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, would you please stand now and tell me what you did that makes you guilty of the crimes charged in the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I am here today to plead guilty to conspiracy and falsifying records of an investment SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

б

adviser and to accept responsibility for what I have done. I will describe in detail my conduct, for which I am deeply ashamed and terribly sorry. I know that my conduct was wrong. But first I would like to make your Honor aware of some important background facts that do not excuse my conduct, but that may help your Honor understand why I am here today.

As the Court is aware, my brother is Bernard Madoff, who in December 2008 confessed and later pled guilty to operating a Ponzi scheme at Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, otherwise known as BLMIS. And while the conduct I am pleading guilty to relates to my own employment at BLMIS, it is important for your Honor to know that at no time before December 2008 was I ever aware that my brother Bernard Madoff, or anyone else at BLMIS, was engaged in a Ponzi scheme. I truly believed that my brother was a brilliant securities trader who successfully traded for his customers' accounts. In fact, I encouraged my own family to invest millions of dollars in accounts managed by my brother. My wife lost millions of dollars in an account managed by my brother, and my daughter and granddaughter, sister and other relatives similarly had, at my urging, invested money with my brother.

More than my family's financial investment, however, I dedicated almost 40 years to building a legitimate securities market-making business that I was proud of and that was well regarded in the industry. In December 2008, when my brother SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

told me about his fraud, I was in shock and my world was destroyed. I lost everything I had worked for to build in my legitimate business at BLMIS. I lost my reputation and any future ability to support my family financially. My family was torn apart as a result of my brother's atrocious conduct, and I became reviled by strangers as well as former friends who assumed that I had known about the Ponzi scheme.

My brother is seven years older than I am, and I always looked up to and admired him. My first job was working for my brother in his lawn sprinkler business. In 1970, when I graduated from law school, my brother wanted me to go work for him in his securities business, and I did. Over the next 38 years, I worked long hours for my brother to build a market-making business that challenged the larger exchanges and was the envy of Wall Street firms. As director of the market-making business, I took great pride in that business and loved my job. While I knew that my brother managed brokerage accounts for customers separate and apart from the market-making business, I was not involved in my brother's trading or investment activity for customer funds.

My respect and admiration for my brother only grew over the decades, as he became one of the most successful and best regarded traders on Wall Street. He held important positions within the industry, including chairman of the NASDAQ. Among the most prominent members of the securities SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

C6tQmadP2 Plea

 THE DEFENDANT: (Continued) For the almost 40 years that I worked for my brother, Bernie was the sole owner of the business. There was no doubt that Bernie was the boss. He never gave me any financial interest in the firm. His reported capital in 2008 was \$700 million. He made clear to me that I would never be a partner in his business, and I rarely challenged him. However, he appreciated that I worked hard to successfully build a market-making business, and he rewarded me with substantial compensation and gifts. This was especially true from 2000 to 2008 after my battle with cancer and as my son struggled with, and ultimately succumbed to, cancer in April 2006.

On several occasions my brother and I engaged in money transfers in ways specifically designed to avoid payment of taxes. I knew that this conduct was wrong. In addition, at my request, my wife was placed on the BLMIS payroll and for many years received compensation for a no-show job. At no time, however, did I suspect that my brother had stolen from anyone or that my family or I was receiving money that belonged to customers. At all times I believed that BLMIS was successful, and that my compensation was well-earned, and also that my brother had accumulated vast wealth that he used to provide me and my family with various gifts of money.

With respect to the conspiracy charge, I am pleading guilty because I conspired with others to commit several SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

C6tQmadP2 Plea

violations of the law:

Attempts to interfere with the administration of internal revenue laws; falsifying the books and records of an investment adviser; false filings with the SEC; and mail fraud and securities fraud.

As to the interference with the administration of internal revenue laws, I conspired with others to prevent the IRS from collecting proper tax revenue -- to my and my family's benefit -- in three different ways.

First, I received various fringe benefits from BLMIS including meals, travel, leased cars, country clubs, apartment rentals, payment of household employees and life insurance premiums, which I failed to report on my income tax returns. In addition, because I wrongly had my wife under BLMIS payroll, I caused her to receive untaxed 401(k) contributions to which we were not entitled.

Second, in 2005, my brother gave me a substantial sum of money in the form of a completed securities transaction when I asked him for money to enable me to buy a one-floor apartment for my son. My son was then battling cancer and was in a wheelchair which made it difficult for him to live in his duplex apartment. At the same time, I also asked for an equal amount of money to give to my daughter. My brother offered to give me several million dollars by giving me profits from a completed transaction in stock, which I believed had been SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

C6tQmadP2 Plea

purchased and sold in an account of his, and I agreed. In 2005, in order to avoid the payment of gift tax on a transfer from my brother to me, we treated the stock transaction as though it had occurred in my account rather than my brother's. In 2002, in order to avoid the payment of a gift tax on a transfer from my brother to me, we treated a similar stock transaction as though it had occurred in my account rather than my brother's. I now know that those transactions never occurred at all, but at the time I truly believed they were legitimate transactions, albeit not my own transactions.

Third, in 2005, 2007 and 2008, I received gifts from my brother which I used to provide my children with substantial sums of money. Although I had no expectation of repayment, I required my children to execute promissory notes for the amounts I provided in order to avoid gift tax. On these and other occasions my brother provided me with gifts of substantial sums of money which I had no intention of repaying, and some of these transfers were documented as loans in order to avoid gift tax.

As to the objects of the securities fraud and false filings and falsification of books and records of an investment adviser, in 2006 through 2008 I knowingly signed and/or approved false compliance documentation that I knew was maintained as part of the books and records of BLMIS as a registered investment adviser.

Plea

C6tQmadP2

In July 2006, my brother informed me that BLMIS was going to register with the SEC as an investment adviser because of my brother's management of customer accounts. In September of 2006, when BLMIS first registered as an investment adviser, I was designated as the chief compliance officer of the customer business. I did not, however, have any substantive experience or knowledge of the rules governing investment advisers.

Upon assuming the role of chief compliance officer, I failed to implement any meaningful supervision over my brother's management of the customer business and failed to test or confirm his representations that he was trading and managing investment advisory accounts in compliance with the customers' directions.

Nevertheless, I approved and/or signed as chief compliance officer documents falsely certifying that I had performed an annual review of the firm's investment advisory compliance program and was qualified to perform the review on my experience and knowledge of the Investment Adviser's Act of 1940; that I had examined the process by which all trading was supervised and found that the implementation of the compliance procedures reflected good principles of management and control; that I had qualitatively tested the compliance procedures and supervisory reviews relating to my brother's customer accounts; and that it was demonstrated to me that the reviews were SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

37

C6tQmadP2 Plea

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

reasonably designed to detect violations of the Investment Adviser's Act -- and Investment Adviser Rules and federal securities laws applicable to the adviser's business.

I knew at the time that these statements were false because I made no effort to perform a meaningful compliance review or to test the adequacy or the effectiveness of the procedures as related to my brother's management of customer accounts.

In addition, in 2006 and 2007, I allowed my brother to file with the SEC an investment adviser registration form on behalf of BLMIS that I knew contained certain false and misleading statements. For example, at Bernie's direction, the form falsely stated that BLMIS had only 23 investment advisory accounts. Although I did not know the true number of the accounts, I did know at the time that there were more than 23 accounts. I failed to include in the investment adviser registration form the material fact that my brother was only registering for a limited number of accounts and that we were not reporting the true number of accounts or money under management or other relevant facts relating to the size and activities of Bernie's customer business. I knew that these misstatements on the form allowed my brother to conceal the true extent of my brother's customer business, as well as the fact that some of his accounts were referred by another brokerage firm in return for compensation. I also knew that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

б

the form was required to be filed with the SEC and that it would be available to customers.

I also conspired with others to falsify employment and payroll records of BLMIS including false reports that were filed with the Department of Labor to allow my wife to receive compensation and benefits as a no-show employee.

Finally, as to the securities fraud and mail fraud objects of the conspiracy, when I first learned of the Ponzi scheme in December of 2008, I agreed to assist my brother who was planning to pay out remaining customer funds to a limited number of customers -- specifically, family, friends and employees -- thereby depriving the remaining investors of the opportunity to share in those funds.

On the night of December 9, 2008, my brother told me that his investment advisory business was a Ponzi scheme, and that only a small fraction of the customer funds remained. Bernie told me that he had made an appointment with his lawyer, Ike Sorkin, and was planning to turn himself in to authorities. I was in total shock, unable to absorb the full import of the words I was hearing. Bernie told me that he was planning to redeem the customer accounts by mailing redemption checks to friends, family and employees, and he asked me to review a marked-up customer list to identify any additional people who should share in the limited remaining funds.

I was shocked and devastated, but nevertheless I did SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

C6tQmadP2 Plea

as my brother said, as I consistently had done for decades. Although the redemption checks from BLMIS as to customers were never mailed, I knew that the conduct was wrong, and I am deeply ashamed.

In addition, the next day, I had taken out \$200,000 from the firm to make end-of-year charitable contributions, as I had planned to do before I was aware of the fraud. The following morning my brother was arrested and confessed, and shortly thereafter my assets were restrained, and I never made the planned charitable contributions.

With respect to the count of falsifying books and records of an investment adviser, as a registered investment adviser, BLMIS was required under the SEC rules to make and keep accurate books and records. As previously explained, I approved and/or signed documents that included false statements relating to compliance procedures. For example, along with my brother, I signed the BLMIS 2007 Annual Review pursuant to SEC Rule 206(4)-7.

This document stated that: I had performed an annual review of the firm's investment advisory compliance program and was qualified to perform the review based on my experience and knowledge of the Investment Adviser's Act of 1940; that I had examined the process by which all trading was supervised and found that the implementation of compliance procedures reflected good principles of management and control; that I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

б

qualitatively tested the compliance procedures and supervisory reviews relating to my brother's customer accounts; and that it was demonstrated to me that the reviews were reasonably designed to defect violations of the Investment Adviser Rules and federal securities laws applicable to the Adviser's business.

When I signed the document, I knew that it was false because I had taken no action to test the effectiveness of the compliance procedures as related to my brother's management of customer accounts nor performed any substantial compliance of supervisory function over my brother.

In addition to this and similar compliance documents, I approved my brother's preparation and filing of a false BLMIS investment adviser registration form. The form falsely concealed what I knew to be a much larger number of facts and accounts, and that some of those accounts were being referred to my brother by another brokerage firm in return for compensation. I knew that the form was required to be filed with the SEC and that it would be available to customers.

I am deeply ashamed of my actions. I want to apologize to anyone who was harmed and to my family. And I am here today to take responsibility for my conduct.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. I have a couple of questions for you.

I see that you were referring to some notes as you gave me this account of your conduct. Do the notes and the information that you gave me accurately reflect the truth of your actions and knowledge as you've related it to me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: With respect to the mail fraud object of the conspiracy, was it your plan with your brother to put the checks to the favored people into the United States mails?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And where were the offices located in which you did the work that you have described and had the transactions with your brother and others?

THE DEFENDANT: At 885 Third Avenue, our regular offices in New York City.

THE COURT: Here in Manhattan --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- in the Southern District of New York?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: When you did the things that you've described, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, does the government wish any further factual matters to be addressed in the plea allocution?

MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you please summarize the government's evidence against Mr. Madoff?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. If this case had proceeded to trial, the government would have proven through witness testimony, documents and other evidence beyond a reasonable doubt the facts that are set forth in the superseding information; specifically, that Peter made was the chief compliance officer and senior managing director at BLMIS; that he committed many acts that deceived the firm's investment advisory clients and regulators; that in his role as chief compliance officer, Peter Madoff made many false statements about the investment advisory business and about the compliance program that he ran.

For example, the evidence would show that Peter Madoff created documents that were filed with the SEC that stated that the investment advisory business had 23 client accounts, a statement that he knew was false. In reality, it had more than 4,000 client accounts. The evidence would show that in those filings, he vastly underreported the amounts of assets under management. He also falsely stated that BLMIS's investment advisory services were available only to institutional and certain high-net-worth clients when in fact the evidence would show that he knew that many investors of BLMIS did not fall into these categories.

The evidence would further show that the false SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

C6tQmadP2 Plea

statements were designed to create the false appearance that the investment advisory business had a very small number of sophisticated clients and fewer assets under management in order to avoid scrutiny from the SEC.

In addition, the evidence would show that Peter Madoff also made many false statements about the compliance program and specifically the compliance reviews that he conducted of the trading activity in the investment advisory business.

For example, Peter Madoff, the evidence would show, wrote many compliance reports setting out in great detail the regular reviews of the investment advisory trades that he purportedly had performed, when in fact he never performed any of them.

The evidence would show that he even signed many weeks of compliance reports in one sitting intentionally changing pens and ink colors in order to disguise the fact that he had created them at one time and that they were false.

The evidence would show that had Peter Madoff actually done the compliance work that he claimed he did, he would have found that there were no real trades in the investment advisory business. These false documents also were designed to mislead regulators such as the SEC, auditors and the clients of the firm.

With respect to the tax fraud, the evidence would show that Mr. Madoff engaged in an enormous tax fraud conspiracy in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

which tens of millions of dollars were transferred within the Madoff family so that Peter Madoff and others could avoid paying millions of dollars of taxes. Just from 1998 through 2008, the evidence would show that Peter Madoff received at least \$40 million from Bernie L. Madoff and BLMIS out of accounts holding investor funds, and that Madoff conspired with others not to pay taxes on this money.

For example, the evidence would show that Mr. Madoff and others disguised these transfers of funds to each other either as loans or as backdated stock trades in order to avoid the taxes.

The evidence would also show that Mr. Madoff charged personal vacations and luxury items on the BLMIS credit card and avoided taxes on that income, and also arranged for his wife to have a no-show job at BLMIS where she collected salary and benefits to which she wasn't entitled.

Finally, your Honor, the evidence would show that in December 2008, in the days before the collapse of BLMIS, Peter Madoff conspired with others to choose which clients should get the share of the remaining \$300 million that was at the firm. Peter Madoff and others reviewed lists of IA clients and picked who should get the remaining funds, putting his friends and his family ahead of all the other clients of the firm.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, would you please stand again. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

	45
	C6tQmadP2 Plea
1	Mr. Madoff, how do you now plead to the charge in
2	Count One of the information the conspiracy charge? Not guilty
3	or guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge in
6	Count Two of the information, the investment advisory fraud
7	charge?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these
10	charges because you are in fact guilty?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of
13	your own free will?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Wing, would you please show Mr. Madoff
16	Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form?
17	Mr. Madoff, have you signed this form?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
22	before you signed it?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And did you fully understand it before you
25	signed it?
	COLUMNIED DECEMBER DE COMPANIED COMP

C6tQmadP2 Plea THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Wing, has the form also been signed by 2 3 someone from your team? 4 MR. WING: Yes, it has. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, do you admit or do you deny the allegation in the information that you are required to 6 7 forfeiture \$143.1 billion, including, but not limited to, 8 enumerated property as a result of the crime charged in Count 9 One? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: You admit that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Are you making that admission voluntarily 13 14 and of your own free will? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Wing, are there any other questions 17 that you believe that I should ask Mr. Madoff in connection 18 with this plea? 19 MR. WING: None that I know of, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, are there any other questions that the government believes I should ask Mr. Madoff in 21 connection with this plea? 22 MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Madoff and Mr. Wing, would you be 23 24 25 seated for a moment? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

C6tQmadP2 Plea 1 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Are there any victims present who wish to 3 be heard? 4 Mr. Madoff, would you stand again, please? 5 Mr. Madoff, you have acknowledged that you are guilty б as charged in the information. I find that you know your 7 rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. Because your 8 plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by 9 an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential 10 elements of each offense, I accept your guilty plea, and I 11 adjudge you guilty of the offenses charged in Counts One and Two of the Seventh Superseding Information in case number 12 10 CR 228. 13 14 Thank you. You can be seated again. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Wing, do you wish to be present for 17 any interview of Mr. Madoff in connection with the preparation 18 of the presentence report? 19 MR. WING: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: I will make that direction. 21 MR. WING: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Ng, may we have a sentencing date? 22 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you want it six months out or --23 24 THE COURT: The usual four. 25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thursday, October 4, 2012 at 3:30. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

48 C6tQmadP2 Plea THE COURT: Counsel, are all the necessary people 1 2 available on October 4 at 3:30? 3 MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. MR. WING: I believe so, Judge. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. б Counsel, please make your submissions in advance of 7 sentencing in accordance with my sentencing submission procedures which are posted on the Court's web site and also 8 9 available from my chambers in hard copy if necessary. 10 Ms. Baroni, will the government be sure to provide 11 probation with the factual summary within two weeks? 12 MS. BARONI: Yes, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Wing, if you have not been contacted 14 by probation within two weeks to arrange an interview, would 15 you please reach out to make sure that that happens on a timely 16 basis? 17 MR. WING: I will do so.

THE COURT: Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Mr. Madoff, the probation office will be preparing a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the probation office. It is important that the information that you give to the officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorneys have a right, and will have an opportunity, to examine the report, to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

challenge or comment on it and to speak on your behalf before sentencing. Failing to be truthful with the probation office and the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may subject you to prosecution. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Now, I have had an opportunity to review the pretrial services report. Is there an application with respect to release or detention pending sentencing?

MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. The parties have a proposed bail package for your Honor's consideration. It would be a \$5 million personal recognizance bond to be secured by \$1 million of cash or property, it would require six financially responsible people to be cosigners; and that none of the cash or property that secures the bond could come from proceeds of the fraud or any forfeitable funds. The package would require strict pretrial supervision. The defendant's travel would be restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. Mr. Madoff and his wife would surrender their passports. And we would ask that at least four cosigners sign the bond before the defendant could be released.

THE COURT: Is there an agreed deadline for the remainder?

MS. BARONI: We would ask that all the conditions be satisfied within one week.

C6tQmadP2 Plea THE COURT: So that would be by the 6th of July? 1 MS. BARONI: And I would add, your Honor, that the 2 government has interviewed six proposed cosigners that the 3 4 defense has put forward, and we found them all to be 5 financially qualified. б THE COURT: Are these parameters acceptable to the 7 defense? 8 MR. WING: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Baroni, is it the government's 10 representation that having reviewed the assets that may be 11 available to Mr. Madoff, that these conditions are sufficient 12 to protect against the risk of flight and danger to the public? 13 MS. BARONI: Yes, your Honor. And I would add that 14 under the forfeiture order handed up to your Honor today, the 15 defendant has agreed to forfeiture of all of his assets 16 including all real property and personal property. So that 17 goes to the remaining assets for potential flight. 18 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 19 Mr. Madoff, have you heard the proposed conditions as 20 detailed? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Do you understand them? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that if I approve them,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

the consequence of violating any of the conditions and the

25

supervision conditions that are related to them may have severe consequences, including penalties different from and over and above any penalties that may be imposed on you in connection to the crimes to which you've pled guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will approve release on the proposed conditions. I'm going to prepare a bail disposition sheet now. (Pause)

THE COURT: Are there any victims present who wish to be heard in connection with the bail application?

I will note for the record that no one has identified him or herself as wishing to be heard, so I will now prepare the bail disposition form.

(Pause)

THE COURT: This is what I've written: \$5 million PRB with six FRPs, financially responsible persons, and secured by \$1 million in cash or property subject to Nebbia compliance, strict PTS, that's pretrial services supervision, travel restricted to SDNY/EDNY, surrender passports of defendant and spouse and no new applications. At least four FRPs to cosign prior to release. All conditions to be met by July 6, 2012.

MR. WING: Judge, do we surrender the passports to the pretrial services officer?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WING: Thank you.

б

THE COURT: I am informed that pretrial services recommends that there be a condition also requiring continued detention to the mental health issues and providing for the provision of services even if they cannot be paid for. Is there an objection to such a condition?

MR. WING: No objection, your Honor.

MS. BARONI: Not from the government, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me add the language. (Pause)

THE COURT: I have added a paragraph as follows: Defendant must participate in a mental health treatment program approved by the United States Pretrial Services office and must continue to take all prescribed medication unless otherwise directed by the medical services provider -- sorry -- it should be the mental health service provider. Just one moment. The Court authorizes the release of all available mental health records to the provider. Defendant must contribute to the cost of the services rendered to the extent not covered by insurance or third-party payment in the form of a copayment as determined by the pretrial services officer.

Is that language satisfactory to the pretrial services department?

MS. BROWN-MORIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that acceptable to the parties?

MR. WING: Yes, your Honor.

C6tQmadP2 Plea 1 MS. BARONI: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: Very well then. 2 3 (Pause) 4 THE COURT: I tried to make it all fit on one sheet 5 and it's awfully difficult. You need five copies? б THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. THE COURT: I will also sign the other orders now. 7 8 Ms. Ng, when those print out, would you just show it 9 to counsel for the government, defense and pretrial services 10 officer while I'm signing these others to make sure everything 11 is worded all right. 12 (Pause) 13 THE COURT: I have signed all of the orders and the 14 bail disposition sheet. 15 Mr. Madoff, do you understand that if you fail to 16 return to my courtroom for sentencing on the date and time set, 17 you will be guilty of a criminal act for which you could be 18 sentenced to imprisonment separate, apart from, and in addition 19 to any other sentence that you might receive for the crimes to 20 which you have just pled guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Then I will fully expect to see you on the 23 date of sentencing. 24 Counsel, is there anything further that we need to address together this morning? 25

	C6tQmadP2 Plea
1	MS. BARONI: Not from the government.
2	Thank you, your Honor.
3	MR. WING: Nothing, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. We are adjourned.
5	I look forward to seeing you all in October.
6	(Adjourned)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	