#### COUNT ONE

x

The Grand Jury charges:

1. From at least in or about February 2011 up to and including in or about October 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, and others known and unknown, intentionally and knowingly did combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together and with each other to violate the narcotics laws of the United States.

2. It was a part and an object of the conspiracy that CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, and others known and unknown, would and did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

3. The controlled substance involved in the offense was mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of oxymorphone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C). 4. The use of such controlled substance resulted in the death of an individual, on or about October 16, 2011.

(Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.)

### COUNT TWO

The Grand Jury further charges:

5. From at least in or about February 2011 up to and including in or about October 2011, in the Southern District of New York, CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

6. The controlled substance involved in the offense was mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of oxymorphone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C).

7. The use of such controlled substance resulted in the death of an individual, on or about October 16, 2011.

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(C); Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.)

### COUNT THREE

The Grand Jury further charges:

8. On or about February 8, 2012, in the Southern District of New York, CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with

intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

9. The controlled substance involved in the offense was mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of codeine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(C).)

### COUNT FOUR

The Grand Jury further charges:

10. On or about February 15, 2012, in the Southern District of New York, CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

11. The controlled substance involved in the offense was mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of codeine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(C).)

### COUNT FIVE

The Grand Jury further charges:

12. On or about February 22, 2012, in the Southern District of New York, CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, intentionally and knowingly did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

13. The controlled substances involved in the offense were: (i) mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of methadone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C), and (ii) mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of diazepam, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(2).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 812, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), and 841(b)(2).)

# FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

14. As a result of committing the controlled substance offenses alleged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, and Five of this Indictment, CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ, the defendant, shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, any and all property constituting or derived from any proceeds OLEKSOWICZ obtained directly or indirectly as a result of the said violation and any and all property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the

commission of the violations alleged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, and Five of this Indictment.

# Substitute Asset Provision

15. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due
 diligence;

b. has been transferred or sold to, or
deposited with, a third person;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;

d. has been substantially diminished in value;
or

e. has been commingled with other propertywhich cannot be subdivided without difficulty;it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 982(b), to seek forfeiture of any other property of thedefendant up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

(Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.)

Puet Bharana

PREET BHARARA United States Attorney

Form No. USA-33s-274 (Ed. 9-25-58)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. -

CRAIG OLEKSOWICZ,

Defendant.

# INDICTMENT

13 Cr.

(21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), 841(b)(2), and 846; 18 U.S.C. § 2.)

> PREET BHARARA United States Attorney.