“On the Evidence of These Numbers:” Why Consumers File for Bankruptcy

At the heart of current debates about bankruptcy reform are different images, or stereotypes, of typical consumer filers. On the one hand is the image of the poor but honest debtor who blamelessly falls so far behind in his or her obligations that a fresh start under Title 11 is an appropriate remedy. This is the debtor for whom the statute was intended. On the other hand is the image of the crafty cheater or moral weakling who abuses the good intentions of the statute by walking away from debts that he or she could in fact pay. Much of the discussion surrounding the reform debates relies frankly or implicitly on these stereotypes.

It is our position that no stereotype can fairly characterize the great range of persons and circumstances found among millions of consumer debtors. What all studies agree upon is that almost all consumer debtors, particularly in chapter 7, are on the lower rungs of the American income ladder—this is hardly a stereotype. Beyond this simple characterization, what one encounters among such debtors is great variety. The mass of consumer debtors cannot be characterized by any description so overly simple as the stereotypes frequently used in editorials and sound bites.

It is doubly unfortunate when statistical and economic inference are misused to argue for the truth of a distorted stereotype. An example of this recently appeared in an op-ed article in a major newspaper. The article’s argument, that bankruptcy reform is a moral issue, is one that might be defended with subtlety and precision. But the author instead used flawed empirical reasoning to stereotype consumer debtors as schemers.

The text of the author’s reasoning is quoted in the footnote. Having made his argument, the author immediately draws the following stark conclusion: “On the evidence of these numbers, Americans are going bankrupt not because they’re economically hard-pressed, but because they have figured out that
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² All views expressed in this article are those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of the Executive Office for United States Trustees.


³ Id. “The four years after 1978 were economically very volatile: there were two recessions, inflation soared and plunged, interest rates twice scraped 20%. You’d think bankruptcies would increase during such difficult times. In fact, the great takeoff in the number of consumer bankruptcies began in 1985, a year of economic smooth sailing. Bankruptcy filings declined in 1992 and 1993, economically weak years, and then rocketed upward after 1994. (They did decline somewhat in the early part of 1999.)”
bankruptcy today is neither uncomfortable nor embarrassing.\textsuperscript{4}

This conclusion is itself embarrassing for more reasons than one. Here we note just one of these: it is not reasonable to suppose that annual bankruptcy filings will rise and fall with annual measures of economic conditions for the same year. To use the absence of simultaneous co-variation to support a rhetorically loaded conclusion is indefensible.

It would not be unreasonable, though, to seek the presence or absence of such co-variation given a lag of time between economic change and a subsequent change in bankruptcy filings. The technical rules for doing this work are well-known and have been used by some economists in attempts to parse the contributions of various factors to changes in consumer filings.\textsuperscript{5} Here we present a simple graph that indicates the kind of work that is possible.
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\textsuperscript{4}Id. Emphasis added.

The graph compares the rate of change in bankruptcy filings with consumer debt levels by year since 1970. When we compared bankruptcy filing trends to changes in debt for the same year there was little correlation. On the chart displayed here, however, we have lagged bankruptcy filing trends (e.g., 1999 bankruptcy filing trends are compared with 1997 consumer debt trends). This results in a much stronger relationship between the two variables. There have been five periods of peak bankruptcy growth since 1970. All of these peaks occurred about two years after a spike in the rate of increase in consumer debt.

We choose not to draw a strong inference from the obvious relationship demonstrated by the graph. Our goals are simpler: first, to urge avoidance of stereotypes about consumer debtors; second, to call attention to rhetoric parading as scientific inference in support of such stereotypes; and third, to show that there are apparent relationships between economic change and subsequent changes in consumer filings that deserve closer examination.
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*Consumer debt figures are taken from Release G.19 of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors. This figure is seasonally adjusted, and includes most short and intermediate term credit extended to individuals, excluding loans secured by real estate.*