Do u know what this is?

Joseph Marks
@Joseph_Marks

Sessions is launching a new #cybersecurity task force
The task force will issue a report by the end of June -- Major topics: Efforts to interfere with our elections, with critical infrastructure, use of the internet for terrorist recruitment and to spread violent ideologies and theft of corporate, governmental and personal info.

Task force will be led by DAG Rosenstein and will include members from criminal and Nat Sec divisions and US Atty’s offices among others.
Perfect!

Yeah there’s more coming from that interview tomorrow I think.

Ian D. Prior  
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs  
Office: 202.616.0911  
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

On Feb 15, 2018, at 6:34 PM, mboyle <mboyle@breitbart.com> wrote:

Yeah can we do noon on Tuesday?

Btw loved sessions interview w joel was amazing

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 15, 2018, at 6:30 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <lan.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Crap can we change to Tuesday?

Ian D. Prior  
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs  
Office: 202.616.0911  
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

On Feb 15, 2018, at 12:47 PM, mboyle <mboyle@breitbart.com> wrote:

Hey what is the address for where we are meeting tomorrow at noon? I tried googling burger bar and not finding anything
On Feb 15, 2018, at 10:16 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <lan.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Cool thx

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

-----Original Message-----
From: mboyle [mailto:mboyle@breitbart.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 10:11 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon???

Sure

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 15, 2018, at 10:10 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <lan.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Can we move lunch to 1?
Ian D. Prior

Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs Department of Justice

Office: 202.616.0911

Cell: [b](b)(6)[/b]

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

-----Original Message-----

From: mboyle [mailto:mboyle@breitbart.com]

Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 6:11 PM

To: Prior, Ian (OPA)

Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon???

Done! See you there

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 12, 2018, at 6:08 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) [Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov] wrote:

Burger bar? 12 pm Friday?

Ian D. Prior

Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs Department of Justice

Office: 202.616.0911
For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

-----Original Message-----

From: mboyle
[mailto:mboyle@breitbart.com]

Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 6:02 PM

To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
<IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>

Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon???

Anywhere in dc -- works for me... you pick where

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 12, 2018, at 6:00 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA)
<lan.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:
From: mboyle
Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 6:02 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon???

Anywhere in dc -- works for me... you pick where

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 12, 2018, at 6:00 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> 
> Let's do lunch - where is good for you?
> 
> Ian D. Prior
> Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs > Department of Justice > Office: 202.616.0911 > Cell: (b)(6)
> 
> For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: mboyle [mailto:mboyle@breitbart.com]
> Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 6:00 PM
> To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
> Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon???
> 
> Friday would be great
> 
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> >> On Feb 12, 2018, at 5:57 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> >>
> >> Thursday? Friday?
> >>
> >> Ian D. Prior
> >> Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs Department of Justice >> Office: 202.616.0911 >> Cell: (b)(6)
> >>
> >> For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for
I know we tried many times before and got sidetracked--I'm hoping we can sometime soon!!!
From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 3:02 PM
To: mboyle
Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon??

Definitely

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

On Feb 20, 2018, at 3:01 PM, mboyle <mboyle@breitbart.com> wrote:

Will touch base when I get back

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 20, 2018, at 2:59 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

No prob

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

On Feb 20, 2018, at 2:09 PM, mboyle <mboyle@breitbart.com> wrote:

Can we do after capac? (b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)
I'll be out of town next week but back the week after

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 20, 2018, at 2:05 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Still on?

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

From: mboyle [mailto:mboyle@breitbart.com]
Sent: Friday, February 16, 2018 8:23 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Lunch or coffee sometime soon??

Ok let's do drinks at 6:15 Tuesday or we could just do after capac

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 16, 2018, at 8:12 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) want to move to drinks? At say 6:15? Or
could move lunch to Wed

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

On Feb 15, 2018, at 6:34 PM, nboyle <nboyle@brenbarr.com> wrote:

Duplicative Material
Meet me in front of Paul at 801 Penn and we’ll walk over

Ian D. Prior  
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs  
Office: 202.616.0911  
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
President Donald Trump’s lawyers are defending President Barack Obama's claim that every administration can displace U.S. professionals by granting work permits to an unlimited number of foreign white-collar workers...

...DoJ spokesman Devin O’Malley told Breitbart News that the Justice Department lawyers will not address Miano’s 1324a claim, but will instead argue that Miano does not have the legal cause -- or "standing" -- to bring a court case, and will urge the appeals court to dodge the issue. He said:

We are not making an argument that the government does or does not have authority to issue the OPT Rule, but instead [will] argue that the court of appeals should not reach that issue, especially because the district could did not do so.

"What they are arguing for is delay, delay, delay, to keep what is in place... [and] if they keep things in place, they are essentially keeping the Obama programs in place," Miano told Breitbart News. Under Obama, officials expanded the OPT program to raise the supply of white-collar workers sought by U.S. companies.

On Feb 19, 2018, at 9:45 AM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O’Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hi Neil-

Any progress on clarifying what your article says? I want to make sure Breitbart gets the reporting right on this.

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Sunday, February 18, 2018 7:01 PM
To: Neil Munro <nmunro@breitbart.com>
Subject: Re: Do call or, or send a response. Will write this up tonight for the morning. DHS lawyers are using a 1996 law to defend the OPT program, while AG Sessions says the 1996 law does not allow DACA work permits...

We are not making an argument that the government does or does not have authority to issue the OPT Rule, but instead argue that the court of appeals should not reach that issue, especially because the district could not do so.

It seems like you may be concluding that since we are making an argument on standing then we are making an argument on authority. Could you please clarify your article a little more?

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 18, 2018, at 5:19 PM, Neil Munro <nmunro@breitbart.com> wrote:

What does this mean, i plain English?

"Again, we aren't making a statutory argument on Tuesday."

Yours,

Neil

On Feb 18, 2018, at 5:18 PM, O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Again, we aren't making a statutory argument on Tuesday. So I would advise writing what you plan to.
Spinato, Eric

From: Spinato, Eric
Sent: Friday, February 16, 2018 9:31 AM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Subject: Maria is in the chair

Sent from my iPhone

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Got good coverage last night!

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Gibson, Jake [mailto:Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 6:02 PM
To: O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fwd: ** URGENT - Undocumented Immigrant Minor Alleges She faced pressure to get abortion on Federal Dime **

Subject: ** URGENT - Undocumented Immigrant Minor Alleges She faced pressure to get abortion on Federal Dime **

Undocumented Immigrant Minor Alleges She faced pressure to get abortion on Federal Dime
By Jake Gibson

The Trump Administration is trying to keep another illegal immigrant minor from using federal dollars to get an abortion. The difference this time is the woman in question seems to agree with the government.

This stands in stark contrast to a similar case back in November where the Department of Justice took the unusual step of complaining to the Supreme Court about being "misled" by lawyers for the ACLU and guardians for a different pregnant undocumented minor. In that case DOJ lawyers said lawyers for the undocumented minor tricked government attorneys by scheduling an abortion in the middle of the night, just hours before a Supreme Court review.

Court Documents filed by the Department of Justice show the minor in question this time is in federal custody and does not want to obtain an abortion. The government says, "In a hand-written and signed statement... the minor stated that she does not seek an abortion and does not want Rochelle Garza and Myles Garza to represent
The Garzas were also the guardians for the last Jane Doe, in November, and were named by the Department of Justice in its complaint to the Supreme Court. The government also points out that, "Rochelle Garza is a named plaintiff," in a case to require the federal government, "to facilitate abortions for pregnant minors in custody."

The documents filed in the latest case go on to say that the minor, "Expressly remembered that on February 6, 2018, she signed papers that were presented to her by the Garzas asking if she wanted an abortion." The minor explained that, "[a]t this time I have changed my decision to have an abortion... The people I saw yesterday were lawyers that made me sign, I... do not need their help because I do not want to have an abortion."

That document also asked Federal Judge Rolando Olvera to replace the Garzas as the minor's guardians, and later Judge Olvera agreed and ordered another individual to be the undocumented minor's legal guardian.
Laco, Kelly (OPA)

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Sent: Friday, February 16, 2018 8:51 AM
To: Mikkilineni, Rupa
Cc: (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Sessions/DOJ shoot/interview Feb. 16 (arrival 7:30am to set up) hit-time 9:30am-9:45am

Yes all set! Thanks.

On Feb 16, 2018, at 8:50 AM, Mikkilineni, Rupa <Rupa.Mikkilineni@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hi there just checking in-all set?

Isabella Rupa d.C-Mikkilineni
Producer
Fox News channel
Rupa.mikkilineni@foxnews.com
(b)(6) office
(b)(6) mobile

Please excuse typos-Sent from my iphone

On Feb 15, 2018, at 2:40 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Thanks Rupa.

(b)(6) - I will meet you all with your gear at the visitors center at 7:30am tomorrow. As you know, there is no parking onsite at DOJ.

Let me know if anything else comes up.

Thanks!

Kelly

On Feb 15, 2018, at 2:24 PM, Mikkilineni, Rupa <Rupa.Mikkilineni@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hi (b)(6) (b)(6) and Kelly,
The POC is Kelly Laco at DOJ, I’m looping you all in directly directly with each. Also Kelly, do you think you might be able to help (b)(6) and (b)(6) with parking pass please? thank you so much!
Hi Rupa,
Apparently this shoot does take 2 people to set up.
We’ve assigned the following crew:
Photographer: (b)(6)
Tech: (b)(6)
Both are copied on this email.
-N

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
From: (b)(6)  
Sent: Friday, February 16, 2018 8:50 AM  
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)  
Cc: Mikkilineni, Rupa; (b)(6)  
Subject: Re: Sessions/DOJ shoot/interview Feb. 16 (arrival 730am to set up) hit-time 930am-945am  

Yep. Set and ready!

PHOTOJOURNALIST  
FOX NEWS CHANNEL DC  

On Feb 15, 2018, at 2:40 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Duplicative Material
Thanks Sarah. We will try another time.

Andrea DeVito

On Feb 15, 2018, at 8:06 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) wrote:

Sorry! Not this week—had already committed to Maria's show.

On Feb 15, 2018, at 10:29 AM, DeVito, Andrea wrote:

Hi Sarah—hope you are well. I would like to put in a request for an exclusive interview with AG Sessions for this coming Sunday, live at 9am ET to discuss guns/safety in the wake of the FL school shooting. Let me know what you think.

Thanks,

Andrea

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Sent from my iPhone
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

JANE DOE,

Petitioner,

v.

OFFICE OF REFUGEE
RESETTLEMENT,

Respondent.

Civil Action No. 18-cv-26

Honorable Rolando Olvera
United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S MOTION
TO APPOINT GUARDIAN AD LITEM

The Court, having considered the Motion to Appoint Guardian Ad Litem is
of the opinion that good cause exists to grant the motion. WHEREFORE, the
Motion to Appoint Guardian Ad Litem is hereby GRANTED as follows:

1. It is hereby ORDERED that David N. Calvillo of Chamberlain Hrdlicka

shall be appointed as Guardian Ad Litem for purposes of assessing

whether Petitioner Jane Doe wants the instant litigation to proceed;

2. It is hereby ORDERED that Rochelle Garza and Myles Garza are ordered
to provide all documents, exhibits, and other data related to the
proceedings initiated in the State district court to Mr. Calvillo for the
latter's copy and review;
CBS: DOJ – ACLU misled U.S. on timing of “Jane Doe” abortion
The Justice Department has filed a petition to the Supreme Court alleging that lawyers representing Jane Doe, an undocumented minor in federal custody, misled the government over the timing of her abortion procedure. The Justice Department in court documents argues lower court judgments in the case should be vacated, and discipline may be warranted against Jane Doe’s attorneys.

Daily Caller: DOJ Suggests SCOTUS Should Punish ACLU Lawyers in Jane Doe Abortion Case
In an extraordinary filing, the Department of Justice (DOJ) asked the U.S. Supreme Court Friday to sanction the ACLU attorneys who helped an illegal teenage immigrant obtain an abortion after a lengthy legal fight with the Trump administration.

USA Today: DOJ – ACLU ‘misled’ government in immigrant abortion case
Attorneys for a pregnant immigrant teenager who won a legal challenge for an abortion were accused Friday of misleading the government about the timing of the procedure, scuttling a planned appeal by the Justice Department.

LA Times: Trump administration asks Supreme Court to punish ACLU lawyers who helped teen migrant get abortion
In a highly unusual move, the Justice Department asked the Supreme Court on Friday to discipline lawyers for the American Civil Liberties Union because they helped a 17-year-old migrant obtain an abortion two weeks ago.

Texas AG Ken Paxton: “I strongly support the Department of Justice...”
“I strongly support the Department of Justice asking the Supreme Court today to vacate the lower court’s ruling. It seems very clear to me that ACLU lawyers misled the Department of Justice in order to carry out this heinous act against an innocent unborn life. I hope the Court will hold the responsible ACLU lawyers accountable for their conduct.”
Newsweek: Undocumented Teen Got Abortion Early, Tricking DOJ Lawyers, Trump Administration Says
Lawyers for a pregnant undocumented immigrant tricked Justice Department attorneys by scheduling the teenager’s abortion in advance of a Supreme Court review that could have blocked the procedure, the Trump administration told the high court on Friday. It said the lawyers should face discipline for the deception.

HuffPo: Trump’s Justice Department Wants ACLU Lawyers Disciplined in Jane Doe Undocumented Teen Abortion Case
The Trump administration asked the Supreme Court on Friday to take up a case involving an undocumented teen who received an abortion, urging the high court to toss an appeals court ruling that allowed the procedure and to consider disciplining American Civil Liberties Union lawyers who represented the girl.

WaPo: Trump administration suggest possibility of disciplinary action against ACLU lawyers in abortion case
The Trump administration told the Supreme Court Friday it should consider disciplining lawyers for an undocumented teenage immigrant seeking an abortion, alleging they misrepresented her situation in a way that kept the government from asking the high court to intervene.

Washington Examiner: Justice Department asks Supreme Court to hear illegal immigrant abortion case
The Department of Justice on Friday asked the U.S. Supreme Court to hear a case involving the 17-year-old undocumented immigrant detainee who received an abortion last month after a weeks-long legal battle with the Trump administration, and to discipline the attorneys who represented her.

The following statement can be attributed to Department of Justice spokesman Devin O’Malley:

“The ACLU misled the United States as to the timing of Jane Doe’s abortion. After informing Justice Department attorneys that the procedure would occur on October 26th, Jane Doe’s attorneys scheduled the abortion for the early morning hours of October 25th, thereby thwarting Supreme Court review. In light of that, the Justice Department believes the judgment under review should be vacated, and discipline may be warranted against Jane Doe’s attorneys.”

The following portions of the brief may be of significance to you (emphasis added):

- “When Ms. Doe could not receive counseling from a physician on the evening of October 24, her representatives informed the government that her appointment would be moved to the morning of October 25, pushing the abortion procedure to October 26. The government asked to be kept informed of the timing of Ms. Doe’s abortion procedure, and one of respondent’s counsel agreed to do so.”

- “Based on those representations, the government informed this Court’s Clerk’s Office and respondent’s counsel that it would file a stay application the following morning, October 25. At that point, by their own account, Ms. Doe’s representatives did three things: they secured the services of Ms. Doe’s original physician (who had provided counseling the previous week), moved her appointment from 7:30 to 4:15 a.m. on the
counting the previous week, moved her appointment from 4:30 to 9:15 a.m. on the morning of October 25, and changed the appointment from counseling to an abortion. Although Ms. Doe’s representatives informed the government of the change in timing, they did not inform the government of the other two developments—which kept the government in the dark about when Ms. Doe was scheduled to have an abortion.

• “…the judgment of the court of appeals should be vacated, and the case should be remanded to the court of appeals with instructions to remand to the district court for dismissal of all claims for prospective relief regarding pregnant unaccompanied minors.”

• “The government recognizes that respondent’s counsel have a duty to zealously advocate on behalf of their client, but they also have duties to this Court and to the Bar. It appears under the circumstances that those duties may have been violated, and that disciplinary action may therefore be warranted. At the least, this Court may wish to seek an explanation from counsel regarding this highly unusual chain of events.”

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: [redacted]
No.

In the Supreme Court of the United States

ERIC HARGAN, ET AL., PETITIONERS

v.

ROCHELLE GARZA, AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM TO UNACCOMPANIED MINOR J.D.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record

CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

JEFFREY B. WALL
Deputy Solicitor General

HASHIM M. MOOPPAN
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

ALEX K. HAAS
CATHARINE H. DORSEY
Attorneys

Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217
Sent from my iPhone
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

JANE DOE, )
Petitioner, ) Civil Action No. 18-cv-26
) ) Honorable Rolando Olvera
v. ) United States District Judge
OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT, ) Respondent.
) )

MOTION TO APPOINT GUARDIAN AD LITEM

Respondent Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR), through the United States Attorney General, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c), respectfully files this Motion to Appoint Guardian Ad Litem. In support of this motion, Respondent submits the following points and authorities:

INTRODUCTION

1. This civil case involves an unaccompanied minor who is not lawfully present in the United States, is pregnant, and is currently in the custody and care of ORR.

2. Jane Doe is an unaccompanied minor who unlawfully arrived in the United States, was apprehended, and placed into the custody of HHS’s ORR.

3. Congress has set forth special admissions and custody provisions for unaccompanied alien minors arriving in the United States through the Trafficking Victims Prevention Reauthorization Act (“TVPRA”), Pub L. No. 110-457, 122 Stat. 5044 (principally codified in relevant part at 8 U.S.C. § 1232), which places the care and custody of UACs with HHS. 8 U.S.C § 1232(b)(1). Within HHS, ORR is responsible for the care and placement of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>O'Malley, Devin (OPA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Thursday, February 15, 2018 4:03 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM">Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments:</td>
<td>g-28.pdf; ATT00001.txt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sent from my iPhone
Part 1. Information About Attorney or Accredited Representative

1. USCIS ELIS Account Number (if any)  

Name and Address of Attorney or Accredited Representative

2.a. Family Name (Last Name)  
2.b. Given Name (First Name)  
2.c. Middle Name  
3.a. Street Number and Name  
3.c. City or Town  
3.d. State □ 3.e. ZIP Code □  
3.f. Province  
3.g. Postal Code  
3.h. Country  

4. Daytime Telephone Number  
5. Fax Number  
6. E Mail Address (if any)  
7. Mobile Telephone Number (if any)  

Part 2. Notice of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative

This appearance relates to immigration matters before (Select only one box):

1.a. □ USCIS  
1.b. □ List the form numbers  

2.a. □ ICE  
2.b. □ List the specific matter in which appearance is entered  

3.a. □ CBP  
3.b. □ List the specific matter in which appearance is entered  

I enter my appearance as attorney or accredited representative at the request of:  

4. Select only one box:  
   □ Applicant □ Petitioner □ Requestor  
   □ Respondent (ICE, CBP)  

Information About Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent

5.a. Family Name (Last Name)  
5.b. Given Name (First Name)  
5.c. Middle Name  
6. Name of Company or Organization (if applicable)  

Form G-28 05/05/16 Y
Part 2. Notice of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative (continued)

**Information About Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent (continued)**

7. USCIS ELIS Account Number *(if any)*

8. Alien Registration Number (A Number) or Receipt Number

9. Daytime Telephone Number

10. Mobile Telephone Number *(if any)*

11. E Mail Address *(if any)*

**Mailing Address of Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent**

**NOTE:** Provide the mailing address of the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent. **Do not** provide the business mailing address of the attorney or accredited representative unless it serves as the safe mailing address on the application, petition, or request being filed with this Form G 28.

12.a. Street Number and Name


12.c. City or Town

12.d. State □ 12.e. ZIP Code

12.f. Province

12.g. Postal Code

12.h. Country

Part 3. Eligibility Information for Attorney or Accredited Representative

Select **all applicable** items.

1.a. □ I am an attorney eligible to practice law in, and a member in good standing of, the bar of the highest courts of the following states, possessions, territories, commonwealths, or the District of Columbia. *(If you need additional space, use Part 6.)*

   **Licensing Authority**

1.b. Bar Number *(if applicable)*

1.c. Name of Law Firm

1.d. I *(choose one)* □ am not □ am subject to any order of any court or administrative agency disbarring, suspending, enjoining, restraining, or otherwise restricting me in the practice of law. *(If you need additional space, use Part 6.)*

2.a. □ I am an accredited representative of the following qualified nonprofit religious, charitable, social service, or similar organization established in the United States, so recognized by the Department of Justice, Board of Immigration Appeals, in accordance with 8 CFR 292.2. Provide the name of the organization and the expiration date of accreditation.

2.b. Name of Recognized Organization

2.c. Date accreditation expires *(mm/dd/yyyy)* □
Part 3. Eligibility Information for Attorney or Accredited Representative (continued)

3. ☐ I am associated with

the attorney or accredited representative of record who previously filed Form G 28 in this case, and my appearance as an attorney or accredited representative is at his or her request.

NOTE: If you select this item, also complete Item Numbers 1.a. - 1.b. or Item Numbers 2.a. - 2.c. in Part 3. (whichever is appropriate).

4.a. ☐ I am a law student or law graduate working under the direct supervision of the attorney or accredited representative of record on this form in accordance with the requirements in 8 CFR 292.1(a)(2)(iv).

4.b. Name of Law Student or Law Graduate

Part 4. Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent Consent to Representation, Contact Information, and Signature

Consent to Representation and Release of Information

1. I have requested the representation of and consented to being represented by the attorney or accredited representative named in Part 1. of this form. According to the Privacy Act of 1974 and DHS policy, I also consent to the disclosure to the named attorney or accredited representative of any record pertaining to me that appears in any system of records of USCIS, ICE or CBP.

When you (the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent) are represented, DHS will send notices to both you and your attorney or accredited representative either through mail or electronic delivery.

DHS will also send the Form I 94, Arrival Departure Record, to you unless you select Item Number 2.a. in Part 4. All secure identity documents and Travel Documents will be sent to you (the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent) at your U.S. mailing address unless you ask us to send your secure identity documents to your attorney of record or accredited representative.

If you do not want to receive original notices or secure identity documents directly, but would rather have such notices and documents sent to your attorney of record or accredited representative, please select all applicable boxes below:

2.a. ☐ I request DHS send any notice (including Form I 94) on an application, petition, or request to the U.S. business address of my attorney of record or accredited representative as listed in this form. I understand that I may change this election at any future date through written notice to DHS.

2.b. ☐ I request that DHS send any secure identity document, such as a Permanent Resident Card, Employment Authorization Document, or Travel Document, that I am approved to receive and authorized to possess, to the U.S. business address of my attorney of record or accredited representative as listed in this form or to a designated military or diplomatic address for pickup in a foreign country (if permitted). I consent to having my secure identity document sent to my attorney of record or accredited representative's U.S. business address and understand that I may request, at any future date and through written notice to DHS, that DHS send any secure identity document to me directly.

3.a. Signature of Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent

3.b. Date of Signature (mm/dd/yyyy)

Part 5. Signature of Attorney or Accredited Representative

I have read and understand the regulations and conditions contained in 8 CFR 103.2 and 292 governing appearances and representation before the Department of Homeland Security. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the information I have provided on this form is true and correct.

1. Signature of Attorney or Accredited Representative

2. Signature of Law Student or Law Graduate

3. Date of Signature (mm/dd/yyyy)
Part 6. Additional Information

Use the space provided below to provide additional information pertaining to Part 3, Item Numbers 1.a. - 1.d. or to provide your U.S. business address for purposes of receiving secure identity documents for your client (if your client has consented to your receipt of such documents under Part 4.)

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________
From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 3:56 PM
To: Nicaj, Tara
Cc: PAC
Subject: Re: Hannity request

Sco has their own press office cc'ed here

On Feb 15, 2018, at 2:41 PM, Nicaj, Tara <Tara.Nicaj@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hello,

We are trying to reach Mr. Andrew Weissmann or someone who can comment from the Special Counsel's office to see if they could provide us with a statement regarding this article:

Mueller's 'Pit Bull' Andrew Weissmann Busted for Withholding Evidence in Previous Case

If a statement can be provided it would be appreciated.

Thanks!!
Best,
Tara

Tara Nicaj
Fox News Channel
Hannity
b6)
tara.nicaj@foxnews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Okay...

I have a few questions.
Are you avail for a phone call?

> On Feb 15, 2018, at 2:52 PM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O’Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > <ECF 4 Corrected Notice of Removal (2-12-2018).pdf>
> >
> >
> >
> > Sent from my iPhone

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Spinato, Eric

From: Spinato, Eric
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 3:17 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Subject: RE: Kelly, hello

Hi!
Checking back with you, to see if you might of heard anything
Thank you
Eric

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA) [mailto:Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 2:19 PM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: Kelly, hello

I will check on that- from what I know it’s the only one.

On Feb 15, 2018, at 1:57 PM, Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Quick question...not sure if you know the answer. I asked Sarah, but have not heard back.

Do you know if this the only interview with AG is conducting between today and Sunday morning?

Thank you,
Eric

Eric Spinato
Senior Story Editor, Fox Business Network

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
O'Malley, Devin (OPA)

From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 2:53 PM
To: Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM
Subject: ECF 4 Corrected Notice of Removal (2-12-2018).pdf
Attachments: ECF 4 Corrected Notice of Removal (2-12-2018).pdf; ATT00001.txt
Sent from my iPhone
In the United States District Court
For the Southern District of Texas
Brownsville Division

In the Interest of Jane Doe

Civil Action No. 18-cv-26

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Comes now Respondent the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR), through the United States Attorney General, files this Notice of Removal. The Court is referred to the following in support of this pleading:

1. The state-appointed guardian and attorney ad litem, respectively Rochelle and Myles Garza, filed this action in the District Court, Judicial District, Texas, Cause on or about , seeking to interfere with the United States’ interests over a minor child. Respondent was served on February 9, 2018. A trial has not been set in this matter. Copies of all documents served upon Respondent will be filed separately under seal.

2. This case involves an unaccompanied minor who is not lawfully present in the United States. She is pregnant, does not seek an abortion, and does not want the state-appointed guardian ad litem, Rochelle Garza, and attorney ad litem, Myles Garza, to represent her interests.

1 These roles were obtained through filings in state court that we believe was ex parte.
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Spinato, Eric

From: Spinato, Eric
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 2:20 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Subject: RE: Kelly, hello

I believe 99.9 percent but need that .1 extra 😊
To know

TY

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA) [mailto:Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 2:19 PM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: Kelly, hello

I will check on that- from what I know it's the only one.

On Feb 15, 2018, at 1:57 PM, Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Quick question...not sure if you know the answer. I asked Sarah, but have not heard back.

Do you know if this the only interview with AG is conducting between today and Sunday morning?

Thank you,
Eric

Eric Spinato
Senior Story Editor, Fox Business Network

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Kelly, here are the names of the crew coming tomorrow morning:

(b)(6)

Just checked—he only will have time for the one block segment this time, but we are hoping to make this a regular practice and can hopefully add in some more time in the future!

Yes, that should be fine—just going to double check that his schedule will allow that block.

Great I'm being told the interview will be 15-20 minutes or so, hope that's ok? We need him for two blocks
Hi Rupa,

Thanks for reaching out! Yes, I will be the POC for your crew. Once you have their names, please send them to me (by tomorrow COB) so that I can get them cleared through our visitors center ahead of time.

There will be no need for a satellite truck because we have a live patch uplink for Fox News in our 7th floor press conference room. The patch will send the shot to DC and then DC can re-route to NYC. Fox News crews have connected into that many times with no issues.

If the crew would like to arrive at 7:30am, that is fine I will be there to grab them from the visitors center at that time.

Yes, the interview is for Marias Sunday Fox News show only.

Let me know if you have any other questions! Thanks.

Kelly

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Mikkilineni, Rupa [mailto:Rupa.Mikkilineni@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: AG Sessions For Friday-maria bartiromo pretape 2/16/18

Hi Kelly, I’m the producer on Sunday Morning Futures and your POC moving forward, eric has forwarded me the below chain—of course with an update:
This is a remote video interview between Maria Bartiromo in NY Fox Buro with AG Sessions from DOJ, this Friday Feb. 16, interview to begin at 930amET. We are to send a crew to DOJ, to the below address visitors center and I understand you already have a satellite uplink, so our sat truck not necessary, is this all correct? You are to be the POC for our crew? Also, we will require approximately 2 hours set up time (minimum is 1.5 hours), meaning our crew would arrive at 730am, or is that too early for you? I’ll also be your contact for any editorial questions regarding this interview. The interview is for Marias Sunday Fox News show—only, correct?
Look forward to hearing from you! best,

Isabella (Rupa) deConti-Mikkilineni
Fox News Channel
Senior Editorial Producer
From: "Laco, Kelly (OPA)" <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov>
Date: February 13, 2018 at 3:02:36 PM EST
To: "Spinato, Eric" <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Good morning, Sarah

Hi Eric—

Thanks (taking Sarah off).

We will do the pre-tape in our 7th floor press conference room on Friday at 9:30.

I will need the names of the crew/producer accompanying ahead of time so I can submit to our visitors center. Please have the crew arrive at the visitors center (on Constitution Ave between 9th and 10th) at 8:15am (let me know if they need more/less time—we will be only ones in room so shouldn't take too long) and I will escort them up to the 7th floor to set up.

Also let me know what time Maria plans to arrive, and I will make sure someone escorts her up from the visitors center as well ahead of 9:30am.

If you have any other questions/issues, please let me know!

Thanks,

Kelly

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (6)

From: Spinato, Eric
[mailto:eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 2:43 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Good morning, Sarah

copy
Kelly can help you with logistics over here!

---

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Spinato, Eric
[mailto:eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 2:17 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Good morning, Sarah

Okay, we a go for the pre-tape at 9:30am, Feb. 16
AG Sessions will be in DC, correct?
Who do I book a satellite with please?

Topics: all below, but will speak again on Thursday,
before 4pm, to go over topics
Okay?

Anything he is hot on, please convey to me.
If you have any articles, talking points, feel free to send to me.

Thank you again, Sarah!

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
[mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 2:11 PM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Good morning, Sarah

So let’s do a final “go” on Thursday morning. But
tentatively we can plan on Friday at 930 to pretape over here?

---

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Spinato, Eric
[mailto:eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 2:00 PM
Agree but, if something drastic develops
We will have to discuss with you, for us to bring up too
Let me know.
I will need to make sure of a time we can pre-tape post
our show
At 9a

She will be excited

Thank you

Eric

---

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)  
[mailto:Sisah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 12:21 PM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Good morning, Sarah

If we can agree in advance that these are the topics
below, this could work for pretape on friday.

---

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Spinato, Eric  
[mailto:eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 6:53 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Good morning, Sarah

Hope you are well.

Can you let me know if AG Sessions, can make his first
appearance with Maria,
This Sunday live or as a pre-tape this Friday, after 9am
(will need to coordinate with her post show schedule.)

Focus: DACA/Immigration/MS-13

Thank you

Eric

Eric Spinato
Senior Story Editor, Fox Business Network
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
That will be a ten to twelve minute interview—can we manage just 15 minutes tops?

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA) [mailto:Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 1:00 PM
To: Mikkilineni, Rupa <Rupa.Mikkilineni@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: AG Sessions For friday-maria bartiromo pretape 2/16/18
Laco, Kelly (OPA)

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 12:37 PM
To: Mikkilineni, Rupa
Subject: RE: AG Sessions For friday-maria bartiromo pretape 2/16/18

Names are fine.

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Mikkilineni, Rupa [mailto:Rupa.Mikkilineni@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 12:30 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: AG Sessions For friday-maria bartiromo pretape 2/16/18

Do you need soc sec numbers a dob? Or names sufficient?

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA) [mailto:Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 12:23 PM
To: Mikkilineni, Rupa <Rupa.Mikkilineni@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: AG Sessions For friday-maria bartiromo pretape 2/16/18
Thanks Kelly!

Isabella Rupa de Conti-Mikkilineni
Producer
Fox News Channel
(b)(6) (office)
(b)(6) (mobile)

Please excuse typos, Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 14, 2018, at 1:16 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:
Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 4:26 PM
To: Spinato, Eric
Subject: RE: Good morning, Sarah

Yep!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Spinato, Eric [mailto:eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 3:35 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Good morning, Sarah

Just to be clear...
MB based on NYC
AG based out of DOJ
For Friday 9:30a taping

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 13, 2018, at 2:11 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:
Spinato, Eric

From: Spinato, Eric
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 3:21 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Subject: Re: Good morning, Sarah

Kelly-
We need to get the video Live into NYC
Does your studio have uplink capabilities?

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 13, 2018, at 3:02 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:
Spinato, Eric

From: Spinato, Eric
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 1:26 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: Is the AG doing any other interviews

Today through Sunday?
Thank you!! MB is very happy

Eric Spinato
Senior Story Editor, Fox Business Network

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Herridge, Catherine

From: Herridge, Catherine
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 1:02 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA); Prior, Ian (OPA)
Cc: Gibson, Jake; Riha, Anne Marie
Subject: RE: Vanity Fair

Thank you for coming back to us. We appreciate it.

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 1:01 PM
To: Herridge, Catherine <Catherine.Herridge@FOXNEWS.COM>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>; Riha, Anne Marie <Annemarie.Riha@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Vanity Fair

We aren’t going to be commenting on that

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
(b)(6)

From: Herridge, Catherine [mailto:Catherine.Herridge@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 12:46 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>; Riha, Anne Marie <Annemarie.Riha@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Vanity Fair

Good afternoon,

We are reporting on the Reince Priebus interview, published late yesterday in Vanity Fair, and his claims that the Attorney General delivered a resignation letter to the White House but the President gave it back.

Please let us know if there is a comment for reporting. The deadline is 430.

Thanks for the consideration
Catherine


This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
that this cause of its attachments are without defect.
Sure

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 15, 2018, at 8:58 AM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:
Yes and yes

On Feb 15, 2018, at 10:28 AM, Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

We are all set still for tomorrow, 9:30am correct?
Obviously we will need to add the school shooting, okay

Eric Spinato
Senior Story Editor, Fox Business Network

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
I'd like to rsvp for AG Sessions' speech tomorrow at the JW Marriott.

Thanks...

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
From: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 5:19 PM
To: Cameron, Christina
Cc: Joost, Nathalie
Subject: RE: FOX NEWS RSVP TO AG SESSIONS REMARKS AT MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

Got it, thanks!

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Cameron, Christina [mailto:Christina.Cameron@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 4:52 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Joost, Nathalie <Nathalie.Joost@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: FOX NEWS RSVP TO AG SESSIONS REMARKS AT MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

Hi Kelly,

Since this went pool we will no longer need to send a crew.

Thank you!

From: Cameron, Christina
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:47:23 PM
To: Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov
Cc: Joost, Nathalie
Subject: FOX NEWS RSVP TO AG SESSIONS REMARKS AT MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

Hi Kelly,

I would like to RSVP one crew member and one producer to tomorrow's event with AG Sessions.

Contact Number: (b)(6)
Contact Email: dc.desk@FOXNEWS.COM

Thank you very much!

Christina

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather
you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Sha w, Adam

From: Shaw, Adam
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:33 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: RE: Inquiry from Fox News

Thanks!

From: Prior, Ian (OPA) [mailto:iian.Prior@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:22 PM
To: Shaw, Adam <Adam.Shaw@FOXNEWS.COM>
Cc: Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Fwd: Inquiry from Fox News

Hey Adam, well decline comment

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: [b](6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Press <Press@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Date: February 14, 2018 at 3:01:41 PM EST
To: "Prior, Ian (OPA)" <JPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: "Pettit, Mark T. (OPA)" <mtpettit@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Inquiry from Fox News

Thank you - KS

From: Shaw, Adam [mailto:Adam.Shaw@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 2:17 PM
To: Press <Press@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Inquiry from Fox News

To whom it may concern,

My name is Adam Shaw with Fox News, I am writing to see if the DOJ had any comment on this article, namely the claim that Comey told lawmakers agents did not believe that Flynn had lied to them.

Thanks

Adam Shaw
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Dear Peter,

My name is Adam Shaw with Fox News, I am writing to see if there was any comment on this article, namely the claim that Comey told lawmakers agents did not believe that Flynn had lied to them.


Thanks, I have also reached out to the main DOJ press line on this too.

Adam Shaw

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
From: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 2:20 PM
To: Amanda House
Subject: RE: Livestream? Fwd: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS WILL DELIVER REMARKS ON VIOLENT CRIME AND OPIOIDS AT THE MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

No, not from DOJ.

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: [redacted]

From: Amanda House [mailto:ahouse@breitbart.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 2:18 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Livestream? Fwd: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS WILL DELIVER REMARKS ON VIOLENT CRIME AND OPIOIDS AT THE MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

Hi Kelly will there be a livestream of Sessions' remarks?

Thanks!

Amanda

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ian Mason <imason@breitbart.com>
Date: February 14, 2018 at 12:57:02 PM EST
To: ahouse@breitbart.com
Subject: Fwd: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS WILL DELIVER REMARKS ON VIOLENT CRIME AND OPIOIDS AT THE MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

Begin forwarded message:

From: "USDOJ-Office of Public Affairs" <USDOJ-OfficeOfPublicAffairs@public.govdelivery.com>
Date: February 14, 2018 at 12:41:43 PM EST
To: imason@breitbart.com
Subject: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS WILL DELIVER REMARKS ON VIOLENT CRIME AND OPIOIDS AT THE MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF
ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS WILL DELIVER REMARKS ON VIOLENT CRIME AND OPIOIDS AT THE MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE


WHO:
Attorney General Jeff Sessions

WHAT:
Attorney General Jeff Sessions will deliver remarks on violent crime and opioids at the Major County Sheriffs of America 2018 Winter Conference

WHEN:
Thursday, February 15, 2018
10:05 a.m. EST

WHERE:
J.W. Marriott Hotel - Ballroom Level Salon #3
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20004

OPEN PRESS - AG REMARKS ONLY**
(Camera preset for K9 Sweep: 8:15 a.m. EST // Print media: Check-in 9:45 a.m., Final Access 10 a.m. EST)

**The rest of the conference is closed to press. Cameras must preset by 8:15 a.m. for K9 sweep and then leave gear turned off in the ballroom for the closed press first segment (8:30-10 a.m.) All media (including print) will be allowed to enter or re-enter the ballroom again at 10 a.m. immediately before AG speech start. All press will have to leave the ballroom level at the conclusion of remarks.

NOTE: All media must RSVP and present government-issued photo I.D. (such as a driver's license) as well as valid media credentials. The RSVP and any inquiries regarding logistics should be directed to Kelly Laco in the Office of Public Affairs at (202) 305-5219 or kelly.laco@usdoj.gov. Please include the email address of the person(s) attending the event so that we may work them directly if details change.
attending the event, so that we may reach them directly if details change.

###

Do not reply to this message. If you have questions, please use the contacts in the message or call the Office of Public Affairs at 202-514-2007.
He was at southern command last week for an opioid summit. But topics below look good.

On Feb 14, 2018, at 2:10 PM, Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Great
Same topics as of now
DACA
Immigration
MS-13

What else is he ‘hot’ on to discuss

Can you send me any good stuff for her packet
Today or early tomorrow

Also, any updated head shot you might have, for us to use

Thank you,
Eric

On Friday? Fine w me!

On Feb 14, 2018, at 12:48 PM, Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Here is what I have in mind.
At the end of her FBN morning show, she promotes his FNC Sunday appearance
After the FNC show taping, FNC will promote the Sunday segment
From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 11:44 AM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: Good morning, Sarah

Just you. But def hold on promoting until Friday.

On Feb 14, 2018, at 7:04 AM, Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Is the AG doing any other interviews this week and weekend?

We will start promoting the interview on Friday

Thank you
Eric
I like to promote her interview at the end of her FBN morning show
And FNC will wait until we conclude our taping

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 11:44 AM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Re: Good morning, Sarah
Got it, thanks!

Kelly Laco  
Office of Public Affairs  
Department of Justice  
Office: 202-353-0173  
Cell: (b)(6) 

From: Julia Nista [mailto:jnista@dailycaller.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 12:47 PM  
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: RSVP For Sessions Remarks Tomorrow, Feb. 5  

Hi Kelly,

I would like to RSVP for AG Sessions remarks on violent crime and opioids tomorrow, Feb. 5th.

Best,

Julia Nista

--

Julia Nista  
Associate Editor/Media Reporter | The Daily Caller  
1920 L St. NW, Suite 200  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Main: (b)(6)  
Email: jnista@dailycaller.com
Yes he will be speaking at 10:05am. Camera preset is 8:15. See below.

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2018

ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS WILL DELIVER REMARKS ON VIOLENT CRIME AND OPIOIDS AT THE MAJOR COUNTY SHERIFFS OF AMERICA 2018 WINTER CONFERENCE

WASHINGTON – Attorney General Jeff Sessions will deliver remarks on violent crime and opioids at the Major County Sheriffs of America 2018 Winter Conference Thursday, February 15, 2018.

WHO:
Attorney General Jeff Sessions

WHAT:
Attorney General Jeff Sessions will deliver remarks on violent crime and opioids at the Major County Sheriffs of America 2018 Winter Conference

WHEN:
Thursday, February 15, 2018
10:05 a.m. EST

WHERE:
J.W. Marriott Hotel - Ballroom Level Salon #3
OPEN PRESS - AG REMARKS ONLY**

(Camera preset for K9 Sweep: 8:15 a.m. EST // Print media: Check-in 9:45 a.m.,
Final Access 10 a.m. EST)

**The rest of the conference is closed to press. Cameras must preset by 8:15 a.m. for K9 sweep and then leave gear turned off in the ballroom for the closed press first segment (8:30-10 a.m.) All media (including print) will be allowed to enter or re-enter the ballroom again at 10 a.m. immediately before AG speech start. All press will have to leave the ballroom level at the conclusion of remarks.

NOTE: All media must RSVP and present government-issued photo I.D. (such as a driver’s license) as well as valid media credentials. The RSVP and any inquiries regarding logistics should be directed to Kelly Laco in the Office of Public Affairs at (202) 305-5219 or kelly.laco@usdoj.gov. Please include the email address of the person(s) attending the event, so that we may reach them directly if details change.

Do not reply to this message. If you have questions, please use the contacts in the message or call the Office of Public Affairs at 202-514-2007.

---

From: Cameron, Christina [mailto:Christina.Cameron@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 11:54 AM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@umd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fox News Inquiry Regarding AG Sessions Remarks at Major County Sheriffs of America Conference

Hi Kelly,

I want to confirm that AG Sessions is returning to the Major County Sheriffs of America 2018 Winter Conference tomorrow, Thursday the 15th, to deliver remarks.

Please let me know if this is still in his schedule!

Thank you,
Christina

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 13, 2018, at 3:29 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Quote from me:

“Attorney General Sessions is committed to enforcing our laws and protecting all Americans across this country. He understands that for Puerto Rico to rebuild, people have to have safety and peace of mind. He recognizes that, to accomplish that, federal law enforcement has a special role to play. The people of Puerto Rico can be sure that Attorney General Sessions and the Department of Justice are working to help secure their communities so that they can recover and rebuild.”

Attached is a previous letter on this topic to Senators Rubio and Nelson. Please source as “acquired by Fox” not “provided by DOJ.”

Thx

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.


This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Dear Attorney General Sessions:

We write to express concern with regard to the security situation in Puerto Rico as the island struggles to recover from the devastation caused by Hurricane Maria.

It has been nearly four months since Hurricane Maria made landfall on Puerto Rico and parts of the island remain without power, leaving businesses to remain closed and leaving many residents unemployed. Meanwhile, Puerto Rico’s police department is facing an increase in absences among officers due to months of outstanding overtime payments.

Accounts from Puerto Rico have detailed an increase in violent crime. According to recent media reports, there were at least 46 homicides in Puerto Rico last month alone, twice the rate of this time last year. A number of these murders are reportedly attributed to drug gangs who are taking advantage of the decreased police presence and reestablishing territory in the wake of Hurricane Maria’s devastation.

It is crucial that the resources of the federal government are properly used to ensure the safety and security of Americans in Puerto Rico. We urge you to engage with the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to best determine how federal law enforcement can assist the territory in these trying times.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Marco Rubio
U.S. Senator

Bill Nelson
U.S. Senator
Hope soon!

On Feb 13, 2018, at 6:38 PM, Ross, Lee <lee.ross@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Our piece just ran. Lauren just flagged some fresher remarks than what I had from when the AG was out here in San Diego. So, thank you for that. Speaking of being out here. When are you coming back out this way?

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)  [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 1:41 PM
To: Ross, Lee
Cc: Gibson, Jake; O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Subject: Re: Hello From Marijuana Land

For sure! Adding devin!

On Feb 13, 2018, at 12:49 PM, Ross, Lee <lee.ross@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

I trust all is well and krazy back home in D.C.

Anyway...

I’m working on a story for tonight’s Special Report program (Jonathan Hunt is the reporter) about a resolution before the Berkeley city council tonight that will declare it a “sanctuary city” from federal law enforcement actions. Directly tied to the AG’s directive from last month. There is similar pending legislation in California statehouse too. AB 1578.

Two questions if I can:

#1) Is there an official reax statement that your office can offer on Berkeley?

#2) Has the AG spoken publically on marijuana enforcement since the new memo was put out on Jan 4th? If so, I’d like to include his words in our story. As balance to the California folks.

Thank you,

Lee

Lee Ross
Producer
For Name
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Decline comment

On Feb 13, 2018, at 5:28 PM, Julia Nista <jnlsta@dailycaller.com> wrote:

Hi Sarah,

Ok, thank you for the clarification.

Just one more thing, has the DOJ requested any other documents or video/audio from the Senate since November 2017, and has the DOJ called any Planned Parenthood officials or offices into question?

Best,

Julia

On Tue, Feb 13, 2018 at 5:12 PM Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:

I can confirm the letter to grassley but we don’t confirm the existence of investigations.

On Feb 13, 2018, at 5:05 PM, Julia Nista <jnlsta@dailycaller.com> wrote:

Hi Sarah,

I believe the DOJ took on an investigation of Planned Parenthood after the Senate referred an inquiry into the organization due to Sen. Grassley’s 2016 referral letter, then this past fall the DOJ requested documents from the Senate Judiciary committee regarding Planned Parenthood. That is at least according to previous reporting.

Is the DOJ not investigating Planned Parenthood, or at least did the DOJ not request certain documents from the Senate regarding Planned Parenthood or affiliates who had come under scrutiny for selling and acquiring fetal tissue for profit? Or did they request certain documents for to begin an inquiry into Planned Parenthood?

Best,

Julia
Hi Sarah,

I had a few questions about the DOJ’s involvement in the investigation into Planned Parenthood.

Firstly, does Cecile Richards' resignation have anything to do with the DOJ's investigation into Planned Parenthood?

Secondly, are there any developments or pending indictments of Planned Parenthood officials or investigations into clinics and/or regional or national headquarters due to Planned Parenthood's alleged selling of fetal tissue parts for profit?

Best, Julia Nista


---

Julia Nista

Associate Editor/Media Reporter  I The Daily Caller
McDonnell, Brigid Mary

From: McDonnell, Brigid Mary
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2018 5:38 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: AG Sessions w/ Shannon

Hi Sarah—

Hope all is well. Please let me know if AG Sessions has any availability in the upcoming weeks. Would love to have him on with Shannon again.

Thank you,
Brigid Mary

Brigid Mary McDonnell
Fox News @ Night w/ Shannon Bream
Cell: (b)(6)
@BrigidMaryMcD
BrigidMary.McDonnell@FoxNews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Here's a Democratic senator referencing the “traditions of the Anglo-American system” (and later using the word “heritage”) during a speech to a group of liberal lawyers.

Plenty of clean hits on Sessions out there. This isn’t one of them. https://t.co/tT2BbBrgt

Original Tweet: https://twitter.com/ryanireilly/status/963173679994753027

Sent via TweetDeck
Thanks. The hysteria over this is absurd.

On Feb 12, 2018, at 2:15 PM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:

CNN (and others on Twitter) apparently think our shared legal history with England should be erased from the books 1984 style.

My statement on it: "As most law students learn in the first week of their first year, Anglo-American law – also known as the common law – is a shared legal heritage between England and America. The sheriff is unique to that shared legal heritage. Before reporters sloppily imply nefarious meaning behind the term, we would suggest that they read any number of the Supreme Court opinions that use the term. Or they could simply put ‘Anglo-American law’ into Google."

A few Supreme Court opinions attached.

Might be worth noting that there is an actual book by a Harvard Law Professor entitled: The Anglo-American Legal Heritage: Introductory Materials: https://library.law.yale.edu/anglo-
Lastly, POAAG Baer used the same term in 2016 without any controversy: https://www.justice.gov/opa/speech/principal-deputy-assistant-attorney-general-bill-baer-delivers-remarks-us-china-judicial

More of the left hysterically crying racism over literally nothing more than a term of legal jurisprudence.

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.


This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Boumediene v. Bush

Supreme Court of the United States

December 5, 2007, Argued; June 12, 2008 *

(No. 06-1195), (No. 06-1196)

* Together with No. 06-1196, Al Odah, Next Friend of Al Odah, et al. v. United States et al., also on certiorari to the same court.

Ian Prior
Hoffa v. United States

Supreme Court of the United States

October 13, 1966, Argued; December 12, 1966, Decided *

No. 32

*Together with No. 33, Parks v. United States, No. 34, Campbell v. United States, and No. 35, King v. United States, also on certiorari to the same court.
North Carolina v. Pearce

Supreme Court of the United States

February 24, 1969, Argued; June 23, 1969, Decided *

No. 413

* Together with No. 418, Simpson, Warden v. Rice, on certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

Ian Prior
In the process of it. Thanks so much for the statement.

-----Original Message-----
From: "Prior, Ian (OPA)" <lan.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 4:24pm
To: 'Ian Mason' <imason@breitbart.com>
Subject: FW: this seems like a fun one to tee off on


CNN (and others on Twitter) apparently think our shared legal history with England should be erased from the books 1984 style.

My statement on it: “As most law students learn in the first week of their first year, Anglo-American law – also known as the common law – is a shared legal heritage between England and America. The sheriff is unique to that shared legal heritage. Before reporters sloppily imply nefarious meaning behind the term, we would suggest that they read any number of the Supreme Court opinions that use the term. Or they could simply put ‘Anglo-American law’ into Google.”

A few Supreme Court opinions attached.

https://library.law.yale.edu/anglo-american-legal-heritage-introductory-materials

Lastly, PDAAG Baer used the same term in 2016 without any controversy:

More of the left hysterically crying racism over literally nothing more than a term of legal jurisprudence.
Also, ACLU just put out this tweet:
https://twitter.com/ACLU/status/963155600380252160

Which is funny because I'm about to forward you a brief that they used the same language in

Ian D. Prior  
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs  
Department of Justice  
Office: 202.616.0911  
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
From: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Sent: Monday, February 12, 2018 4:25 PM
To: Ian Mason
Subject: FW: Email:LILLY v. VIRGINIA_ 1998 U.S. S. Ct. Briefs LEXIS 1011

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
**LILLY v. VIRGINIA**

No. 98-5881  
Supreme Court of the United States  
October Term, 1998  
December 22, 1998

**Reporter**  
1998 U.S. S. Ct. Briefs LEXIS 1011 *

BENJAMIN LEE LILLY, Petitioner, v. COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, Respondent.

**Type:** Brief

**Prior History:** ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA.
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Ian Prior
On the record: “As most law students learn in the first week of their first year, Anglo-American law — also known as the common law — is a shared legal heritage between England and America. The sheriff is unique to that shared legal heritage. Before reporters sloppily imply nefarious meaning behind the term, we would suggest that they read any number of the Supreme Court opinions that use the term. Or they could simply put ‘Anglo-American law’ into Google.”

Background:

Also, a few Supreme Court opinions attached where the term is used – there are dozens and dozens more.

Might be worth noting that there is an actual book by a Harvard Law Professor entitled: The Anglo-American
Lastly, PDAAG Baer used the same term in 2016 without any controversy:


Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
Speaking to the National Sheriffs' Association, Sessions said he wanted to "thank every sheriff in America."

"Since our founding, the independently elected sheriff has been the people's protector, who keeps law enforcement close to and accountable to people through the elected process," he said, according to CNN.

"The office of sheriff is a critical part of the Anglo-American heritage of law enforcement."

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
Haven't been sent yet almost

On Feb 12, 2018, at 1:22 PM, Dhanis, Marta <marta.dhanis@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hi again Kelly, just double checking I didn't miss the email with the speech.. thanks!

Marta Dhanis
Field Producer
Sent from my iPhone
Excuse me for typos

On Feb 11, 2018, at 7:21 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hi all-

You are receiving this email because you or a colleague RSVP'd for the Attorney General's remarks tomorrow, Monday, February 12th, 2018 at the Philadelphia Union League 1:10 p.m. EST. The contents of this email are off the record and for planning purposes only (unless otherwise specified).

**Location**
The Union League of Philadelphia
Lincoln Hall
140 South Broad Street (Please enter through doors on Sansom St.)
Philadelphia, PA 19102

**Check-in/Security**
All members of the press are to enter through the doors on Sansom St. You will be asked to present valid media credentials and a government issued ID at a check-in desk on the 2nd floor before entering into Lincoln Hall to set up in the back.

**Preset/Camera logistics**
Cameras may begin arriving at 10:45am for check-in. **ALL CAMERAS must be set IN PLACE in Lincoln Hall by 11:15am.** There will be a K9 sweep at 11:15am. Print media will have final access at 12:35pm and the program will begin at aprox. 12:45pm. Cameras will be located behind the attendees facing the podium centered in the front. There is a 100-125 foot throw from the camera location to the stage. Cameras will have access to a multi box. Cellular hotspots also...
the stage. Cameras will have access to a multi-box. Cellular boosters also recommended, although you will have access to WiFi. AG remarks will last aprox. 20-25 mins.

We will be sending the AG’s prepared remarks to you via email once he begins speaking.

If you haven’t RSVP’ed names yet, please send them over as soon as you have them.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to me by my cell (b)(6) or Kira Foley-Tuzman (Union League—cc’ed) at (b)(6).

Thanks,

Kelly

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)
From: Dhanis, Marta
Sent: Sunday, February 11, 2018 8:45 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Subject: Re: Fox News RSVP: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS AT LINCOLN DAY CELEBRATION

It will be (b)(6). Thanks

Marta Dhanis
Field Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone
Excuse me for typos

> On Feb 11, 2018, at 6:35 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> Please send name of photog over as well.
> On Feb 11, 2018, at 5:55 PM, Dhanis, Marta <marta.dhanis@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:
>> Hi,
>> Fox News would like to attend Lincoln day celebration. That would be me and our photographer, please.
>> Thanks,
>> Marta Dhanis
>> Field Producer
>> (b)(6)
>> Sent from my iPhone
>> Excuse me for typos
>> This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or authorized for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not open or deliver this
(or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

>>
Dhanis, Marta

From: Dhanis, Marta
Sent: Sunday, February 11, 2018 6:36 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA)
Subject: Re: Fox News RSVP: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS AT LINCOLN DAY CELEBRATION

Will do, just waiting to find out. Thanks

Marta Dhanis
Field Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone
Excuse me for typos

> On Feb 11, 2018, at 6:35 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:
>
Thank you.

Kelly Laco  
Office of Public Affairs  
Department of Justice  
Office: 202-353-0173  
Cell: (b)(6)  

----Original Message-----  
From: Dhanis, Marta [mailto:marta.dhanis@FOXNEWS.COM]  
Sent: Sunday, February 11, 2018 5:55 PM  
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <laco@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Fox News RSVP: ATTORNEY GENERAL SESSIONS AT LINCOLN DAY CELEBRATION
It was rob hur

http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2018/02/09/rachel-brand-no-3-official-at-doj-is-stepping-down.html

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
(b)(6)
Yep

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

On Feb 9, 2018, at 6:37 PM, Ian Mason <imason@breitbart.com> wrote:

Is the Department planning on releasing a statement tonight?
If you have not eaten at Mintwood Place, it's a must try.

Devin M. O'Malley  
Department of Justice  
Office of Public Affairs  
Office: (202) 353-8763  
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Gurman, Sadie [mailto:SGurman@ap.org]  
Sent: Friday, February 9, 2018 4:07 PM  
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>; katie.benner@nytimes.com; laura.jarrett@turner.com  
Subject: RE: Join us for Fat Tuesday and all night Happy Hour

This is the most important email you've ever sent. See you all there.

From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) [mailto:Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov]  
Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 4:05 PM  
To: Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>; katie.benner@nytimes.com; laura.jarrett@turner.com; Gurman, Sadie <SGurman@ap.org>  
Subject: FW: Join us for Fat Tuesday and all night Happy Hour

Right on time!

(Yes, I get Mintwood Place emails)

Devin M. O'Malley  
Department of Justice  
Office of Public Affairs  
Office: (202) 353-8763  
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Devin M. O'Malley (b)(6)  
Sent: Friday, February 9, 2018 4:02 PM  
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: Join us for Fat Tuesday and all night Happy Hour

--------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Mintwood Place <hello@mintwoodplace.com>  
Date: Fri. Feb 9, 2018 at 3:55 PM
Subject: Join us for Fat Tuesday and all night Happy Hour

To: (b)(6)

Celebrate Fat Tuesday with our New Orleans Style Specials and All Night Happy Hour at the Bar

Tuesday February 13th, 2018.
Food Specials:
Oyster Po'Boy
Chicken & Shrimp Gumbo
Blackened Cod with Etouffee
Fried Okra
Beignets

Drink Specials:
French 75
Sazerac
Hurricane

Reservations
Thank you Devin. This is very helpful. Will do.

Here is some data on criminal denatization cases (different than civil):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Cases Filed</th>
<th>Defendants in Cases Filed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2017</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Off the record- Civil denatization cases (like the Hamed case) have not previously been tracked in a way that we can provide in a statistically significant manner.

On background- 25 civil denatization cases were filed in 2017

Here's also some additional information on the matter:
https://www.justice.gov/usao/page/file/984701/download

Let me know if you have any more questions after this.

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

Devin, 

Would love any background you might be able to provide here.  We're mainly looking at doing an overview...
Would love any background you might be able to provide here. We’re mainly looking at doing an overview story for FoxNews.com.

Thanks,
Alex

---

From: Ehrsam, Lauren (OPA) [mailto:Lauren.Ehrsam@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 08, 2018 1:02 PM
To: Pappas, Alex <Alex.Pappas@FOXNEWS.COM>
Cc: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: denaturalization

Alex,

Thank you for getting in touch. I’ve CC’d Devin O’Malley who now handles that portfolio.

Lauren

On Feb 8, 2018, at 10:00 AM, Pappas, Alex <Alex.Pappas@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Lauren,

I’ve been asked to do another story about the DOJ’s denaturalization efforts – piggybacking off the complaint filed by DOJ this week and the news last month that the DOJ secured its first denaturalization resulting from Operation Janus.

I know we discussed this a little bit last year – but I’m looking for some stats for the story: things like numbers of denaturalization complaints filed and how it compares to previous administrations.

Any chance you could point me in the right direction?

Thanks,

--

Alex Pappas
Politics reporter
FoxNews.com
@AlexPappas
Not confirming. Thanks!

On Feb 8, 2018, at 8:34 AM, Singman, Brooke <brooke.singman@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hi Sarah and Ian,

Schiff and Cummings said in a statement regarding FBI informant Douglas Campbell that the Justice Dept. said the following:

Justice Department officials confirmed that they do not plan to use the testimony of this individual in any future prosecution.

Most importantly, Justice Department officials explained that this individual never provided any evidence or made any allegations regarding Secretary Clinton or the Clinton Foundation in any of their interactions with him.

They stated unequivocally: “at no point did [the individual] provide any allegation of corruption, illegality, or impropriety on Clinton, the Clinton Foundation, President Clinton, the Uranium One deal, or CFIUS.” They also confirmed that there were “no allegations of impropriety or illegality” regarding Secretary Clinton in any of the documents they reviewed.

Can you confirm this is the DOJ’s stance?

Deadline ASAP – pre 9:10a

THANK YOU.

Brooke Singman
Politics Reporter, Fox News Channel
Here are the exhibits as filed.

Everything embargoed until 3:00 pm.

Let me know if you post sooner.
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is a civil action seeking to revoke the naturalization of Mubarak Ahmed Hamed ("Defendant") under 8 U.S.C. § 1451(a). Defendant was ineligible to naturalize because, prior to naturalizing, Defendant committed multiple crimes to which he pled guilty after naturalizing and that he concealed during the naturalization process. Those crimes include Defendant’s violation of, and conspiracy to violate, sanctions imposed against Iraq under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act ("IEEPA"), as well as obstruction of Internal Revenue laws.

This Court should revoke Defendant’s naturalization and cancel his naturalization certificate for four reasons. First, he lacked the statutorily required good moral character to naturalize because he falsely testified under oath during his naturalization interview about his prior criminal actions. Second, he lacked the statutorily required good moral character because he committed crimes involving moral turpitude ("CIMTs") during the period when he was required to demonstrate good moral character. Third, he committed unlawful acts adversely reflecting on his moral character, regardless of whether the acts also constitute CIMTs. Fourth, Defendant willfully misrepresented and concealed material facts during his naturalization proceedings.
You should!!! We can send a car to and from DC Bureau. We can do your make up...you would be great. Let me know.

Thanks!
K

---

Nah it's just me but I don't usually do tv. So may be a while:

---

On Feb 7, 2018, Kimberly Bennink wrote:

No problem, we would just love to have you on. What does next week look like? Or do you have a booker I should talk to?

---

Sorry! Can't this week.

---

Hello, My name is Kimberly and I produce "The Daily Ledger". I just message you on your twitter account. We wanted to see if you are available to come on our show on Friday at 2:45pm. I can arrange a car to and from our DC Bureau. Let me know if you are interested! We would love to have you on.

Best Regards,
Kimberly Bennink
Producer

(b)(6)
Hey Jake-

The information contained in the press release and the attached docs are embargoed until I send you the filings as submitted to the court. The documents attached are drafts and cannot be republished or reproduced. When I send the finalized complaint that is submitted to the court, you can use that document if you want.

Thanks

Devin

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FILES DENATURALIZATION COMPLAINT AGAINST DIVERSITY VISA RECIPIENT WHO TRANSFERRED NON-PROFIT FUNDS TO SPECIALLY DESIGNATED GLOBAL TERRORIST

WASHINGTON – The Department of Justice today filed a complaint to revoke the naturalization of a Sudan native—who entered the United States on an F-1 student visa and gained lawful permanent resident status through the diversity visa lottery program—for violating and conspiring to violate sanctions imposed against Iraq under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), as well as obstructing Internal Revenue laws.

According to the complaint, Mubarak Ahmed Hamed violated and conspired to violate sanctions imposed against Iraq under the IEEPA from 1997 through July 21, 2000, the date of his naturalization. As the CEO of a non-profit organization, the Islamic American Relief Agency (IARA), Hamed regularly authorized and transferred tax-exempt funds from IARA accounts in the United States to an account in Jordan controlled by Khalid Al-Sudanee, a/k/a Khalid Ahmad Jumah Al-Sudani, knowing that Al-Sundee would transport such funds into Iraq.

In 2004, both Al-Sudanee and IARA were designated by the U.S. Department of the Treasury’s Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) as Specially Designated Global Terrorists (SDGT). During this time, the IARA implemented projects that were funded by USAID, however there are no specific allegations that such funding was siphoned to Iraq or in violation of the IEEPA.

On June 25, 2010, Hamed pleaded guilty to conspiring to illegally transfer more than $1 million to Iraq in violation of federal sanctions, and to obstructing administration of the laws governing tax-exempt charities. Hamed was sentenced to four years and 10 months in federal prison on January 11, 2012.

On January 16, 2018, the Departments of Justice and Homeland Security jointly released the "Section 11
Report," which shined a light on the nation's current immigration system and how it can be used to undermine national security and public safety. The report revealed—among other statistics—that nearly three out of every four individuals convicted of international terrorism-related charges in U.S. federal courts between September 11, 2001 and December 31, 2016 were foreign-born.

"This alleged denaturalization case is indicative that America needs this reform to our broken immigration system now more than ever. Under the guise of running a non-profit to assist in the famine crises in Africa, a 'Diversity Visa' recipient allegedly transferred funds on a regular basis to a known terrorist, undermining our nation's lawful immigration system, public safety, and national security," said Attorney General Jeff Sessions. "Immigration is a national security issue, and a merit-based immigration system would better serve our national interest because it would benefit the American people."

"Every visa decision is a national security decision that affects individual Americans. We commend the work of the Department of Justice and look forward to continued coordination with the Department of Homeland Security and the intelligence and law enforcement communities to protect our nation's borders," said Assistant Secretary Carl Risch of the Department of State Bureau of Consular Affairs. "Continued efforts to improve interagency security vetting for visa applicants will enhance our ability to identify persons who mean us harm and prevent their entry into the United States."

The claims made in the complaint are allegations only, and there have been no determinations of liability.

Devon M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is a civil action seeking to revoke the naturalization of Mubarak Ahmed Hamed (“Defendant”) under 8 U.S.C. § 1451(a). Defendant was ineligible to naturalize because, prior to naturalizing, Defendant committed multiple crimes to which he pled guilty after naturalizing and that he concealed during the naturalization process. Those offenses include Defendant’s violation of, and conspiracy to violate, sanctions imposed against Iraq under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (“IEEPA”), as well as obstruction of Internal Revenue laws.

This Court should revoke Defendant’s naturalization and cancel his naturalization certificate for four reasons. First, he lacked the statutorily required good moral character to naturalize because he falsely testified under oath during his naturalization interview about his prior criminal actions. Second, he lacked the statutorily required good moral character because he committed crimes involving moral turpitude (“CIMTs”) during the period when he was required to demonstrate good moral character. Third, he committed unlawful acts adversely reflecting on his moral character, regardless of whether the acts also constitute CIMTs. Fourth, Defendant willfully misrepresented and concealed material facts during his naturalization proceedings.
Fanning, Elizabeth

From: Fanning, Elizabeth
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 6:40 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Subject: RE: Are you guys covering the Colts player at all tonight?

Copy

From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) [mailto:Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:22 PM
To: Fanning, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Fanning@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Are you guys covering the Colts player at all tonight?

Ok might have something for you as we get closer to the show.

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Fanning, Elizabeth [mailto:Elizabeth.Fanning@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 5:29 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Are you guys covering the Colts player at all tonight?

Hey
Yes, we are covering it included in an illegal immigration segment

From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) [mailto:Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 5:28 PM
To: Fanning, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Fanning@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Are you guys covering the Colts player at all tonight?

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made.
For Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
O’Malley, Devin (OPA)

From: O’Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 6:37 PM
To: Fanning, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: Are you guys covering the Colts player at all tonight?

Whenever you have a moment, can you give me a call?

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Fanning, Elizabeth [mailto:Elizabeth.Fanning@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 5:29 PM
To: O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Are you guys covering the Colts player at all tonight?
Spinato, Eric

From: Spinato, Eric
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 2:12 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Hi, Sarah

copy

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 2:11 PM
To: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Hi, Sarah

Not this week sorry!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Spinato, Eric [mailto:eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 2:10 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Spinato, Eric <eric.spinato@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Hi, Sarah

any chance to have AG Sessions on with Maria, for his first visit with her, as U.S. AG, this Sunday? If not, next week, on her FBN morning show?

We can discuss MS-13, DACA and anything else he is hot on to discuss with Maria

Thank you
Eric

Eric Spinato
Senior Story Editor, Fox Business Network

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Are you around for a phone call?

> On Feb 3, 2018, at 5:13 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> Why is my statement not included? This is ridiculous.

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2018 3:54 PM
To: Doherty, Brian
Subject: RE: Hi Sarah, would u be avail this evening in the 11pm hr on Shannon’s show to discuss the memo?

I’m going to pass—sorry!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Doherty, Brian [mailto:Brian.Doherty@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2018 12:38 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Hi Sarah, would u be avail this evening in the 11pm hr on Shannon’s show to discuss the memo?

Thanks,
Brian

Brian Doherty
Coordinating Producer, News @ Night w/ Shannon Bream
Wk: [b](6)
Cell: [b](6)
brian.doherty@foxnews.com

AMERICA has chosen....
FOX NEWS is #1 in ratings, #1 with viewers.

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Conti, Andrew

From: Conti, Andrew
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2018 2:40 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: Re: Doj official for Ingraham Angle tonight?

Got it. Thanks

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2018 2:33:29 PM
To: Conti, Andrew
Subject: RE: Doj official for Ingraham Angle tonight?

We'll pass—sorry!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Conti, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.conti@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2018 2:23 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Doj official for Ingraham Angle tonight?

Request- 10p hour tonight for an interview with Laura Ingraham on Fox. Any chance?
Thanks

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Congress has made inquiries concerning an issue of great importance for the country and concerns have been raised about the Department’s performance. I have great confidence in the men and women of this Department. But no Department is perfect.

Accordingly, I will forward to appropriate DOJ components all information I receive from Congress regarding this. I am determined that we will fully and fairly ascertain the truth.

We work for the American people and are accountable to them and those they have elected. We will meet that responsibility.

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

(b)(6)
FYI-

Subject: ****MEMO BEING TRANSMITTED BACK TO HPSCI NOW******

WH is transmitting the memo and accompanying letter from the President to HPSCI now. Release to come later today

John Roberts
Chief White House Correspondent
Fox News Channel
john.robertsatfoxnews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2018 10:21 AM
To: Jarrett, Laura; Gibson, Jake; Tanfani, Joseph
Subject: FW: members or staff

Goodlatte
Nadler
Gowdy
Schiff
(Ryan)
(Pelosi)
Burr
Warner
Grassley
Feinstein
Graham
Whitehouse
(Nunes)

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

(b)(6)
Ehrsam, Lauren (OPA)

From: Ehrsam, Lauren (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2018 10:29 PM
To: Martin, Lynne Jordal
Subject: Re: For tomorrow tied to Super Bowl-DOJ’s Rachel Brand Op Ed

Thank you for getting back to me so late and for considering! I’ll be at (b)(6) Looking forward to speaking with you.

On Feb 1, 2018, at 10:18 PM, Martin, Lynne Jordal <Lynne.JordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hi Lauren,
I may want to run this. Not able to give you a firm decision tonight but look forward to talking with you in the morning. What’s a good number to reach you?

Lynne Jordal Martin
Senior Editor, Opinion
Fox News
1211 Ave. of the Americas
New York NY 10036
(b)(6) (o)
(b)(6) (m)

From: Ehrsam, Lauren (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2018 9:10 PM
To: Martin, Lynne Jordal
Subject: For tomorrow tied to Super Bowl-DOJ’s Rachel Brand Op Ed

Hi Lynn,

Hope you’re well! I want to see if you would be interested in this op-ed for tomorrow on the Department of Justice’s efforts to combat the human trafficking. It discusses efforts around the Super Bowl and calls for the public to stay vigilant, and is timed perfectly with that and the human trafficking summit that DOJ is hosting tomorrow and Fox News is covering all day.

If you could let me know first thing in the morning either way, I would be grateful. Thank you for considering!

All the best,
Lauren

As National Human Trafficking Awareness month draws to a close, it’s a fitting time for everyone to think about what he or she can do to put a stop to this terrible crime.
Traffickers force their victims to work without pay, imprison them against their will, or force them to perform commercial sex acts. Sometimes they do all three. Through violence, fraud, threats, and other forms of coercion, human traffickers turn human beings into profit centers against their will. They view their victims as commodities—commodities that can be sold not just once, but over and over again.

Human trafficking is big business. It’s believed to be the third-largest criminal activity in the world. By one estimate, traffickers make over $9.5 billion annually in the United States alone. This is not a crime that affects just one region of the country; it is a nationwide problem.

Many of the victims are brought into the country from abroad, but the vast majority of victims trafficked in the United States come from our own communities. They are often young girls from foster homes, runaway youth, or adults who are vulnerable due to disability, drug dependency, or a history of abuse.

Just a few weeks ago, a defendant in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, was sentenced to 21 years in prison for sex trafficking. After recruiting young women and girls to dance at clubs using false promises of money and a better life, he used a combination of physical violence, isolation, emotional manipulation, sexual assault, and threats to harm the victims’ families to force them into commercial sex acts. On one occasion, he used a heated wire hanger to brand a “P” on a victim’s buttock to demonstrate his ownership of her. When another victim considered leaving, he put a gun in her mouth and threatened to “blow her head off.”

Bringing traffickers like this one to justice is one of the top priorities of the U.S. Department of Justice. We are using every tool at our disposal to eradicate human trafficking. We vigorously investigate and prosecute offenders. We fund training for local law enforcement officers in how to identify trafficking victims. We provide millions of dollars in grants to organizations that assist trafficking victims. And we take every opportunity to raise public awareness of the problem.

But we know that law enforcement cannot win this fight alone. We rely on the help of other government agencies, non-profit victim services providers, and industry. Today we are hosting a summit on this issue, where all representatives from all of these fields will share perspectives on how we can all better engage in our fight against trafficking.

We also need the help of the public. If everyone from hotel clerks to flight attendants to ER doctors learn how to spot the signs of human trafficking, we will rescue more victims and bring more traffickers to justice. Those signs could include, for example, an older man traveling with
young girls not related to him, or a young woman carrying many hotel keys. If you’re a teacher or a parent, look for a sudden drop in school performance or the sudden appearance of expensive things that a child simply could not afford. We urge everyone to be vigilant, particularly in places frequented by traffickers, such as hotels, casinos, truck stops, and major sporting events like this weekend’s Super Bowl.

If you see something suspicious, you should immediately contact local law enforcement. You can also contact the National Human Trafficking Hotline toll-free at 1-888-373-7888 or at https://humantraffickinghotline.org/, where specialists are available at any time to answer trafficking-related questions. It will take only a few minutes of your time. And it might just set someone free.
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From: Gibson, Jake
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2018 9:18 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: Op-ed

Lynne.JordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM

S

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Does the Associate AG's office have a comment on the supposed closure of Office for Access to Justice? Is it really "closing?" Can the DOJ point to any concrete accomplishment's the OAJ achieved in the last 8 years?


Thanks so much,
Ian Mason
Breitbart News
Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 4:52 PM
To: DeVito, Andrea
Subject: RE: Fox News Sunday with Chris Wallace

Will have to decline. Thanks!

---

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

---Original Message-----
From: DeVito, Andrea [mailto:Andrea.DeVito@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 4:48 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fox News Sunday with Chris Wallace

Hi Sarah--hope you are doing well. I would like to put in a request for an exclusive interview with Rod Rosenstein for this coming Sunday, live at 9am to discuss the possible release of the memo. Thanks for considering us. Let me know what you think.

Andrea

Andrea DeVito

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 12:09 PM
To: Gibson, Jake; Jarrett, Laura
Subject: FW: Filing in US vs Robert Menendez and Salomon Melgen
Attachments: 322 - 2018.01.31_US Mot to Dismiss.pdf; 322-1 - 2018.01.31_US Proposed Dismissal Order.pdf
Importance: High

Good morning,

Attached is the government’s motion to dismiss superseding indictment. Given the impact of the Court’s Jan. 24 Order on the charges and the evidence admissible in a retrial, the United States has determined that it will not retry the defendants on the remaining charges.

Thank you,

Nicole Navas Oxman
Spokesperson/Public Affairs Specialist
U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
UNIVERS STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ROBERT MENENDEZ and

SALOMON MELGEN,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cr-155

UNITED STATES' MOTION TO DISMISS SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

In light of the Court's January 24, 2018 Opinion and Order and pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 48(a), the United States of America moves to dismiss the Superseding Indictment.

Respectfully submitted this 31st day of January, 2018.

ANNALOU TIROL
ACTING CHIEF
PUBLIC INTEGRITY SECTION

By: /s/ Peter Koski
Deputy Chief
J.P. Cooney
Deputy Chief
Monique Abrishami
Trial Attorney
Amanda Vaughn
Trial Attorney
Public Integrity Section
1400 New York Ave. NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 514-1412
Facsimile: (202) 514-3003
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing pleading with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the attorneys of record for the defendants.

Dated: January 31, 2018

/s/
Peter Koski
Deputy Chief
J.P. Cooney
Deputy Chief
Monique Abrishami
Trial Attorney
Amanda Vaughn
Trial Attorney
Public Integrity Section
Criminal Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Lecroy, Lillian

From: Lecroy, Lillian
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 11:13 AM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA); Maltas, Mike; Rizzolo, Lisa; Anderson, Kimberly
Cc: 
Subject: Hi BJ - Kelly at DOJ can meet you at 1:30pm - she will meet you in the visitors center thanks!

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 10:56 AM
To: Lecroy, Lillian; 531-DCDeskOps
Cc: Maltas, Mike
Subject: RE: Hi Kelly. Fox would love to come to a Great Hall live test this afternoon.

Hi Lillian —

1:30pm will work great, I will pick him up from the Visitors Center then so we can run the tests.

Thanks!

Kelly

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: 

From: LeCroy, Lillian [mailto:Lillian.LeCroy@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 10:49 AM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>; 531-DCDeskOps <DCdeskops@foxnews.com>
Cc: Maltas, Mike <Mike.Maltas@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Hi Kelly. Fox would love to come to a Great Hall live test this afternoon.

Hello Kelly,
This is Lillian LeCroy with Fox News Channel. We would love to send our photographer over this afternoon to test a liveu from the Great Hall.
Is there a time that works for you? I was wondering if 1:30pm would work?

Thanks so much.
Lillian

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or
responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Hi Mike—

Not sure who on your team would best handle this, but I wanted to reach out to see if one of your technicians would like to come out to DOJ this afternoon or tomorrow at some point to test the connection to livestream from our Great Hall. I know at the last Great Hall event had a few technical difficulties with this, and I want to make sure that it is resolved ahead of a future event in that location!

If they also want to bring a Live U kit to see what the signal looks like in the space, that would be great.

We want to be prepared to help you on all fronts!

Thanks,

Kelly

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)
We got the boring one approved. Although I would not push back if you guys hypothesized that we were thumbing our nose at the judge by pointing out that SCOTUS is the final decision maker (Garaufls made our attorney affirm that Garaufls gets to make the decisions).

“We remain confident in our argument that the Department of Homeland Security had the lawful authority to rescind DACA, and we have asked the Supreme Court to ultimately decide on the issue.”

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
In w boss

> On Jan 30, 2018, at 4:01 PM, Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:
> 
> > This is creating a bit of confusion.
> > 
> > Do you have a sec?
> > 
> >
> >> On Jan 30, 2018, at 3:42 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> >>
> >> Ok
> >>
> >>> On Jan 30, 2018, at 3:41 PM, Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> A Department of Justice Spokeswoman tells Fox News, Senior DOJ Officials, “Dispute the characterization,” that Department officials could not point out any factual inaccuracies in the Nunes Memo.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or
taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
I don't but we wouldn't confirm or talk about anyway,

If you need something:

The Department of Justice general does not confirm, deny, or otherwise comment on the existence of investigations.

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gibson, Jake [mailto:Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 3:17 PM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <lPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Hey

Know anything about this?

----

BBG: U.S. to Probe Apple Over Updates That Slow Older iPhones

The U.S. Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission are investigating whether Apple Inc. violated securities laws concerning its disclosures about a software update that slowed older iPhone models, according to people familiar with the matter.

The government has requested information from the company, according to the people, who asked not to be named because the probe is private. The inquiry is in early stages, they cautioned, and it's too soon to conclude any enforcement will follow.
Spokesmen for Apple and the government didn’t immediately respond to a request for comment.

The news comes several weeks after Apple admitted to slowing down the performance of older iPhones models as a way to make their internal batteries last longer. Apple released a software update early in 2017 to start throttling older iPhones, but didn’t specify that the action slowed the devices. In December, Apple apologized for not communicating this information and vowed to release another update to mitigate the concern.

The slowdowns only occur when the iPhone’s battery reaches a certain, unspecified point of low health and can be fixed if a user replaces the old battery with a new one. As part of its public apology, Apple cut the prices of battery replacements in its stores to $29, a $50 discount.

Apple plans to release an iPhone software update, called iOS 11.3, in the spring with new features that allow users to monitor the health of their batteries and protect against slowdowns. If consumers turn off the throttling, older iPhones will be more prone to randomly rebooting, Apple has said. The system affects iPhone 7 models and older, but not the iPhone 8 and iPhone X, according to the company.

After apologizing to customers in December, Apple was sued by consumer advocacy groups and individual iPhone users in a global backlash. U.S. government officials, including Senator John Thune have also questioned Apple about the slowdowns.

The situation is a self-inflicted black eye on the company, based in Cupertino, California. The company has been wrestling with some other software-related issues, including processor vulnerabilities that have affected other technology companies and a login flaw that allows intruders to access files on Mac computers without a passcode. Apple is delaying some key iPhone and Mac software features planned for release this year to focus on quality improvements, Bloomberg News reported earlier today.

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Ian –

Any comment from DOJ on this?
https://twitter.com/RepGosar/status/958407946060300288

Thanks,

Alex

--
Alex Pappas
Politics reporter
FoxNews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Two Senior FBI officials, one from the bureau’s counter intelligence division, the other from legal, have now reviewed the republican staff memo, alleging abuses of government surveillance programs during the 2016 election, a source familiar with the matter tells Fox News, adding that the officials “could not point to any factual inaccuracies.”

The two bureau officials followed up, after an initial review of the memo, in a rare Sunday trip to Capitol Hill, by FBI Director Christopher Wray. The FBI offered no comment today for Fox’s reporting about the officials, and their findings.

After the contentious House Intelligence Committee vote Monday night, the source confirms that House staffers physically took the memo over to the White House for the President, who has five working days to voice reservations or objections.

While the Justice Department, in a January 24 letter from Assistant Attorney General Stephen E. Boyd, called the republican staff memo’s release, “unprecedented” and “reckless,” Fox News is told that the memo was “drafted deliberately to eliminate national security information” that could be harmed if viewed by individuals outside Congress. Boyd also stated “Though we (Justice Department) are currently unaware of any wrongdoing relating to the FISA process, we agree that any abuse of that system cannot be tolerated.”

The source said the FBI and Justice Department had “fought tooth and nail” for close to a year to avoid providing the surveillance related records to Congress, including the handling of the Trump dossier and whether it was used, as recently as last year, to secure or extend surveillance warrants for US persons. The source said additional records provided this month, after the Republican committee chairman Devin Nunes threatened to move forward with contempt of congress citations, backed up investigators’ earlier findings.

The committee is in the process of lining up the remaining FBI and DOJ interviews which are expected in early February. The House Intelligence Committee Republicans have two outstanding records requests: additional Strzok/Page texts, and records documenting an April 2017 meeting between DOJ lawyer/house senior Special Counsel prosecutor Andrew Weissman and a major media organization. The Weissman deadline was January 11th.
In a January 4th letter, documenting his agreement with Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein to avoid contempt citations, Nunes wrote “I understand that your office is researching records related to the details of an April 2017 meeting between DOJ Attorney Andrew Weissman (now the senior attorney for Special Counsel Robert Mueller) and the media which will be provided to this Committee by close of business Thursday January 11, 2018.”

The source said the FBI and Justice Department said they wanted additional time to gather all of the Weissman records, to provide them at once. Fox understands the committee will make a new push for the remaining Weissman records as early as this week.

Republican congressman Jim Jordan recently told Fox “I am interested in anything the FBI did to leak information to further their narrative.”
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Chakraborty, Barnini

From: Chakraborty, Barnini
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 11:00 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: RE: Fox News

Got it. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Prior, Ian (OPA) [mailto:lan.Prior@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 10:59 AM
To: Chakraborty, Barnini <Barnini.Chakraborty@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Fox News

DOJ spox

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs Department of Justice Office: 202.616.0911 Cell:
(b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

-----Original Message-----
From: Chakraborty, Barnini [mailto:Barnini.Chakraborty@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 10:57 AM
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <lPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Fox News

Ian, May I attribute the quote to you or to a spokesman at the DOJ?

-----Original Message-----
From: Prior, Ian (OPA) [mailto:lan.Prior@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 10:53 AM
To: Chakraborty, Barnini <Barnini.Chakraborty@FOXNEWS.COM>; Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
<Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Fox News

Please update with this statement:
“Civil asset forfeiture is a key tool that helps law enforcement defund organized crime, take back ill-gotten gains, and prevent new crimes from being committed, and it weakens the criminals and the cartels. Even more importantly, it helps return property to the victims of crime. Civil asset forfeiture takes the material support of the criminals and instead makes it the material support of law enforcement, funding priorities like new vehicles, bulletproof vests, opioid overdose reversal kits, and better training. In departments across this country, funds that were once used to take lives are now being used to save lives.”

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

-----Original Message-----
From: Chakraborty, Barnini [mailto:Barnini.Chakraborty@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 9:36 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Fox News

Absolutely. I have removed the line.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jan 30, 2018, at 9:32 AM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> My number is (b)(6) but as you can imagine it’s a busy day around here and now that your piece has already been published without comment—it would really make more sense to simply email me or Ian. As you are aware, you have a reporter who works 2 doors from my office every day so please work through Jake if you don’t know who to reach out to here.

> On Jan 30, 2018, at 9:13 AM, Chakraborty, Barnini <Barnini.Chakraborty@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:
> What’s the best number I may reach you at?

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be
taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Ok

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jan 30, 2018, at 10:53 AM, Prior, Ian (OPA) <ian.prior@usdoj.gov> wrote:
Hi Devin-

Thank you for your response.

Best,

Emerald

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 29, 2018, at 1:53 PM, O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hi Emerald-

The deadline is in the letters attached to that press release, and then on background as DOJ official- the department will review and respond on a rolling basis.

Devin

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 29, 2018, at 1:51 PM, Ehrsam, Lauren (OPA) <lehrsam@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hi Emerald,

Sorry I didn’t respond yesterday. The email just came through to me. It’s on my end, not yours.

Cc’d Devin O’Malley who handles these questions for OPA.

Thank you,

Lauren

From: Emerald Robinson [mailto:Emerald.Robinson@oann.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 28, 2018 12:42 PM
To: Ehrsam, Lauren (OPA) <lehrsam@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Story of the Day

HI Lauren-
I'm working on a story concerning Justice & I thought I'd reach out to you (even though it's Sunday) to see if you'd like to make a statement about.


How long does the DOJ plan to give jurisdictions to comply with the production of documents before issuing official subpoenas? How seriously is AG Sessions about going after these jurisdictions that continue not to comply? Is there anything that we should know about it from the DOJ’s perspective that's not currently being made clear in the media?

Thanks so much!

Best,

Emerald Robinson, Political Correspondent
One America News Network
Email: Emerald.Robinson@oann.com
Twitter: @emeraldrobinson
Instagram: @Emerald_OANN
<image001.jpg>
kwong@breitbart.com

From: kwong@breitbart.com
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2018 2:40 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Quick question re: McCabe

Thank you Sarah!

-----Original Message-----
From: "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Sent Monday, January 29, 2018 2:02pm
To: "kwong@breitbart.com" <kwong@breitbart.com>
Subject: RE: Quick question re: McCabe

As a source familiar, yes

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
(b)(6)

From: kwong@breitbart.com [mailto:kwong@breitbart.com]
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2018 12:59 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Quick question re: McCabe

Hi Sarah,

Can you please confirm that Deputy FBI Director Andrew McCabe is stepping down, to retire in the Spring?

Thank you,
Kristina

-----
Kristina Wong
Pentagon reporter, Breitbart News
(b)(6)
@kristina_wong
Subject: Fox News College Associate Program

Hi Jake,
Great seeing you today. Here is the link for the College Associate program. The application for the summer program is currently open and will close on Friday, March 23.

http://careers.foxnews.com/students

Nicolle Campa  She | Her | Hers
Director of Human Resources, News Bureaus
Nicolle.Campa@foxnews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2018 2:07 PM
To: Murray, Andrew
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Tuesday) LIVE?

Looks like not—sorry!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Murray, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2018 2:02 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Tuesday) LIVE?

Are you available to join us?

Andrew Murray
Producer, Politics
"Fox & Friends"
Fox News Channel
1211 Avenue of the Americas, 2nd Floor
New York, NY 10036
Office: (b)(6)
Cell # 1 (b)(6)
Cell # 2 (b)(6)
FAX: (212) 301-3421
Email: andrew.murray@foxnews.com
@andrewmurray1

On Jan 29, 2018, at 1:53 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hes traveling—so cant tomorrow. Sorry!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Murray, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2018 1:29 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Hi Sarah,

I'm sure you are a wee bit busy...but I wanted to follow up with you about our discussion of possibly scheduling Attorney General Sessions to appear on "Fox and Friends" tomorrow (Tuesday) before the SOTU...would you please let us know if he can join us at 101 Constitution Avenue, NW?

Thanks in advance,

Andrew Murray
Producer, Politics
"Fox & Friends"
Fox News Channel
1211 Avenue of the Americas, 2nd Floor
New York, NY 10036
Office: (b)(6)
Cell #1: (b)(6)
Cell #2: (b)(6)
FAX: (212) 301-3421
Email: andrew.murray@foxnews.com
@andrewmurray

On Jan 18, 2018, at 7:05 PM, Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Attorney General Sessions available tomorrow?

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2018 2:14 PM
To: Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Friday) LIVE at 6:20am ET?

Yes—and we will have a big sanctuary city announcement I can make on air. Will send you details tomorrow if that works.

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
(b)(6)

From: Murray, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2018 1:51 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Friday) LIVE at 6:20am ET?

Sounds good, do you want to confirm that for Monday?
On Jan 18, 2018, at 1:40 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Ok turns out I can't do tomorrow am. BUT I think I have a good immigration announcement to pitch you for next week that I'd love to come on about. Getting timing details so I can pitch it to you!

***

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Murray, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2018 12:42 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Friday) LIVE at 6:20am ET?

Hi Sarah,

Please let us know if you are available to appear on "Fox & Friends" tomorrow (Friday) for a LIVE interview at 6:20am ET on this topic: OAKLAND MAYOR SAYS SHE'LL GO TO JAIL TO PROTECT SANCTUARY CITY POLICY http://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2018/01/17/oakland-bans-cooperation-federal-immigration-agents-braces-for-ice-raids/

Thanks in advance,

Andrew Murray
Producer, Politics
"Fox & Friends"
Fox News Channel
1211 Avenue of the Americas, 2nd Floor
New York, NY 10036
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 7:53 PM
To: Murray, Andrew
Subject: RE: Details for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Wednesday) LIVE at 8:20am ET

Sounds good. No car needed.

xxx
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Murray, Andrew [mailto:Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 7:52 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Details for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Wednesday) LIVE at 8:20am ET

Hi Sarah,

Here are the details for tomorrow’s LIVE interview at 8:20am ET. Please let me know if you need transportation to the bureau.

"Fox & Friends"

DATE: Wednesday, January 24th

CAR PICK UP: TBD

CAR SERVICE: Regal Service: 703-450-0200 Resv. # TBD

ARRIVAL: 7:45 am ET (for make-up)

LIVE INTERVIEW: 8:20 am ET with Brian Kilmeade, Steve Doocy, and Ainsley Earhardt

ADDRESS: FNC DC Bureau: The Hall of the States Building: 400 North Capitol Street, NW, Suite 500

WEDNESDAY DC BUREAU GUEST GREETER Erenia Michelle Phone: (b)(6) (Main) of (b)(6) Bureau
Main Number: (b)(6) email: Erenia.Michell@FOXNEWS.COM

WEDNESDAY AM EMERGENCY CONTACT: Kyle Nolan Cell: (b)(6) GREENROOM PHONE: (b)(6)
email: kyle.nolan@foxnews.com

Instructions for Tomorrow: Sign in at the guard desk in lobby and receive security pass. Then take the elevators on the left side of the lobby up to the 5th floor, suite is on the left side of the hall.

Thanks in advance,

Andrew Murray
Yes—earlier would be a little better but I can make it work. Can I confirm our sanctuary city announcement will start things off?

On Jan 23, 2018, at 3:53 PM, Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

8:20am ET works?

Sorry—had a crazy morning as you can guess. Working to figure out where we are on that and should be able to get back to you asap!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

Hey,

Do you think we can talk about immigration tomorrow (Wednesday) LIVE on “Fox & Friends”?

Andrew

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2018 2:14 PM
To: Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Wednesday)
To: Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Friday) LIVE at 6:20am ET?
Murray, Andrew

From: Murray, Andrew
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 1:49 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Wednesday)

Indeed...thanks for getting back to me.

Andrew

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 1:48 PM
To: Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Wednesday)
From: Murray, Andrew
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2018 2:15 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Friday) LIVE at 6:20am ET?

Great, thanks!

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2018 2:14 PM
To: Murray, Andrew <Andrew.Murray@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Available for "Fox & Friends" Tomorrow (Friday) LIVE at 6:20am ET?
Thanks!

I think this will work. Running a few traps here.

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

Duplicative Material
Got it, thanks!

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173

From: Chavez, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Chavez@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2018 4:24 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Jeff Sessions event on opioid epidemic RSVP

Hi,

I would like to RSVP for the following event:

ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFF SESSIONS WILL TRAVEL TO PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA, TO DELIVER REMARKS ON THE FIGHT AGAINST THE OPIOID EPIDEMIC AND VIOLENT CRIME

Thank you,
Michelle Chavez
Fox News

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Here is one link


On Jan 26, 2018, at 1:49 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaco@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Were not live-streaming but other networks are

On Jan 26, 2018, at 1:48 PM, Pettit, Mark T. (OPA) <mtpettit@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Adding Kelly - Did we have any livestream access?

Adding Mark

Ian D. Prior
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Office: 202.616.0911
Cell: (b)(6)

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.
On Jan 29, 2019, at 1:45 P.M., Ian Mason <mason@breitbart.com> wrote:

Will there be a livestream for this afternoon's speech in Norfolk?
Really not sure. This all seems old.

On Jan 26, 2018, at 1:42 PM, Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

More

BREAKING: Attorney general orders investigation of texts between FBI officials accused of anti-Trump bias - House Judiciary Chairman Goodlatte

From: "Becker, Bruce" <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Making sure you've seen this...

More

BREAKING: Attorney general orders investigation of texts between FBI officials accused of anti-Trump bias - House Judiciary Chairman Goodlatte
Good Afternoon Ian!

My name is Jezzamine, and I’m a producer for the Primetime Fox News show “The Story with Martha MacCallum”. Hope all is well. I am reaching out because Martha was wondering if Attorney General Sessions could join her for an interview sometime next week?

Martha loved having him on April of last year, and it would be great to have him back on with us.

Please let me know how we can work together to make this possible!

Thank you,

Jezzamine Wolk
Booking Producer, The Story with Martha MacCallum
jrezame.Wolk@foxnews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Thanks mang. Are you a dead fan? Try this out to ease you in. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EcJ5np8io

On Jan 26, 2018, at 12:05 AM, O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Yes. Realized also I sent you an earlier version of our statement with a typo. Use this:

Attributable to DOJ spokesman Devin O'Malley:

“This is yet another example of a dangerous practice that has caught little attention, but that seems to be occurring with increasing frequency in certain jurisdictions across the country—in a case we have seen recently in another so-called 'sanctuary city,' Santa Clara, California, a prosecutor was guided by county leadership to seek a lesser charge for a man accused of brutally assaulting his wife so the man wouldn’t have to face deportation. Justice is not served when American citizens are subjected to higher charges and stricter sentences for the same crimes committed by similarly situated illegal aliens. This is the type of abandonment of the rule of law and unequal enforcement of the law that this Justice Department diametrically opposes.”

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 25, 2018, at 11:48 PM, Pfeiffer, Alex <Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Hey fam, was this a press release from the DA?

On Jan 25, 2018, at 5:57 PM, O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

If you end up doing something on this ever:

Attributable to DOJ spokesman Devin O'Malley:

“This is yet another example of a dangerous practice that has caught little attention, but that seems to be occurring with increasing frequency in certain jurisdictions across the country—in a case we have seen recently in another so-called 'sanctuary city,' Santa Clara, California, a prosecutor was guided by county leadership to seek a lesser charge for a man accused of brutally assaulting his wife so the man wouldn’t have to face deportation. Justice is not served when American citizens are subjected to lesser charges and
lighter sentences for the same crimes committed by similarly situated illegal aliens. This is the type of abandonment of the rule of law and unequal enforcement of the law that this Justice Department diametrically opposes."

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: O’Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 3:48 PM
To: 'Fanning, Elizabeth'
    <Elizabeth.Fanning@FOXNEWS.COM>; 'Pfeiffer, Alex'
    <Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: Something related to my email yesterday

Hi Liz and Alex-

I wanted to flag this release for you; check out the highlighted parts. It sort of relates to that Daily Beast article I sent you yesterday, Liz. There’s the potential that DOJ may comment, so let me know if you are thinking of squeezing it into the show and I’ll get you what we have. Thanks! Devin

Larry Krasner Announces Appointment of Immigration Counsel for Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office

PHILADELPHIA (January 25, 2017) – Philadelphia District Attorney today announced the appointment of Caleb U. Arnold as Immigration Counsel to the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office (DAO). Arnold, who has over ten years of legal experience working on immigration issues, will advise the District Attorney and DAO staff on best practices to protect the rights of immigrants interacting with the criminal justice system. (PLEASE NOTE: Caleb Arnold uses the pronouns They / Them)

District Attorney Larry Krasner said, “I am thrilled today to announce the appointment of Caleb Arnold as Immigration Counsel to the Philadelphia District Attorney. Caleb is the perfect person to help implement my agenda of equal justice and civil rights for all. Together, we will ensure that all people are treated fairly by the justice system regardless of their immigration status. This is also part of our overall effort to protect the most vulnerable and ensure they are able participate as witnesses or complainants in the criminal justice system.”

Part of Arnold’s work will be to help build relationships with immigrant communities to ensure that witnesses and victims feel
safe participating in the process regardless of immigration status. They will also advise prosecutors on minimizing the impact of criminal convictions on immigration status, especially for low-level offenders who pose no threat to public safety.

Immigration Counsel Caleb Arnold said, “I am so excited for this opportunity to help develop systematic changes that recognize the collateral consequences to someone’s immigration status as we determine just outcomes. I am also eager to build alliances and trust with immigrant communities in Philadelphia, and develop policy and practices so that all witnesses and victims are able to safely get the help they need and safely participate in the criminal justice process.”

DA Krasner was first introduced to the concept of appointing immigration counsel when visiting with prosecutors in the Brooklyn District Attorney’s Office back in June of 2017. Brooklyn DA Eric Gonzalez has already implemented a policy that aims to prevent collateral consequences of convictions by ensuring that Assistant District Attorneys (ADOs) are aware of immigration status.

Brooklyn District Attorney Eric Gonzalez said, “I commend DA Larry Krasner for his leadership and courage in adopting a policy that protects immigrants from disproportional consequences of criminal convictions and for sending a message that the justice system must treat everyone fairly, regardless of status. The success of a similar policy in Brooklyn—where our special counsels advised in over 200 cases so far to reach immigration-neutral outcomes—proves that greater equity does not jeopardize public safety. In the face of misguided federal policies, we need more local prosecutors who are willing to take a stand and do what’s right, and I’m pleased that DA Krasner is helping lead this growing movement.”

Erika Almiron, Executive Director of Juntas said, “The hiring of Caleb Arnold as the first ever immigration counsel for the District Attorney’s office marks an important milestone in the way that our city’s criminal justice system interacts with our immigrant communities. Far too often an interaction with our city’s criminal justice system has been the catalyst for many of our loved ones to be entered into deportation proceedings due to the overcharges given by previous administrations and overzealous former DAs who believed more in punishment rather than restorative justice. We recognize this new position as a first step and look forward to working with Caleb Arnold, District Attorney Larry Krasner and the rest of the DA’s office to ensure that threat of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) is purged from all aspects of our criminal justice system.”

Catheryn Miller-Wilson, Executive Director of Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society of Pennsylvania said, “We must allow the criminal justice system to do its job. If victims, witnesses and defendants are afraid to participate in the criminal justice system then all of our communities suffer. Immigration status questions have no place in
the criminal justice system and we applaud the DA for working to take immigration status out of the conversation."

Golnaz Fakhimi, Immigrant Rights Attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union said, "We commend District Attorney Krasner for his sensitivity to community fears about immigration consequences for non-citizen participants in the criminal justice system. When an accused person, complainant, or witness is a non-citizen, criminal justice contact can carry risk of devastating immigration consequences. And the effects can extend into the family and community at large. With this appointment, District Attorney Krasner is demonstrating good faith to his community."

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
Thanks

On Jan 25, 2018, at 5:57 PM, O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:
O’Malley, Devin (OPA)

From: O’Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 8:04 PM
To: Gibson, Jake
Subject: DOJ on Philly Immigration Counsel

Attributable to DOJ spokesman Devin O’Malley:

“This is yet another example of a dangerous practice that has caught little attention, but that seems to be occurring with increasing frequency in certain jurisdictions across the country—in a case we have seen recently in another so-called ‘sanctuary city,’ Santa Clara, California, a prosecutor was guided by county leadership to seek a lesser charge for a man accused of brutally assaulting his wife so the man wouldn’t have to face deportation. Justice is not served when American citizens are subjected to higher charges and stricter sentences for the same crimes committed by similarly situated illegal aliens. This is the type of abandonment of the rule of law and unequal enforcement of the law that this Justice Department diametrically opposes.”

Larry Krasner Announces Appointment of Immigration Counsel for Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office

PHILADELPHIA (January 25, 2017) – Philadelphia District Attorney today announced the appointment of Caleb U. Arnold as Immigration Counsel to the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office (DAO). Arnold, who has over ten years of legal experience working on immigration issues, will advise the District Attorney and DAO staff on best practices to protect the rights of immigrants interacting with the criminal justice system. (PLEASE NOTE: Caleb Arnold uses the pronouns They / Them)

District Attorney Larry Krasner said, “I am thrilled today to announce the appointment of Caleb Arnold as Immigration Counsel to the Philadelphia District Attorney. Caleb is the perfect person to help implement my agenda of equal justice and civil rights for all. Together, we will ensure that all people are treated fairly by the justice system regardless of their immigration status. This is also part of our overall effort to protect the most vulnerable and ensure they are able participate as witnesses or complainants in the criminal justice system.”

Part of Arnold’s work will be to help build relationships with immigrant communities to ensure that witnesses and victims feel safe participating in the process regardless of immigration status. **They will also advise prosecutors on minimizing the impact of criminal convictions on immigration status, especially for low-level offenders who pose no threat to public safety.**

Immigration Counsel Caleb Arnold said, “I am so excited for this opportunity to help develop systematic changes that recognize the collateral consequences to someone’s immigration status as we determine just outcomes. I am also eager the build alliances and trust with immigrant communities in Philadelphia, and develop policy and practices so that all witnesses and victims are able to safely get the help they need and safely participate in the criminal justice process.”

DA Krasner was first introduced to the concept of appointing immigration counsel when visiting with prosecutors in the Brooklyn District Attorney’s Office back in June of 2017. Brooklyn DA Eric Gonzalez has already implemented a policy that aims to prevent collateral consequences of convictions by ensuring that Assistant District Attorneys (ADAs) are aware of immigration status.

Brooklyn District Attorney Eric Gonzalez said, “I commend DA Larry Krasner for his leadership and courage in adopting a policy that protects immigrants from disproportional consequences of criminal
convictions and for sending a message that the justice system must treat everyone fairly, regardless of status. The success of a similar policy in Brooklyn – where our special counsels advised in over 200 cases so far to reach immigration-neutral outcomes – proves that greater equity does not jeopardize public safety. In the face of misguided federal policies, we need more local prosecutors who are willing to take a stand and do what’s right, and I’m pleased that DA Krasner is helping lead this growing movement.”

Erika Almiron, Executive Director of Juntos said, “The hiring of Caleb Arnold as the first ever immigration counsel for the District Attorney’s office marks an important milestone in the way that our city’s criminal justice system interacts with our immigrant communities. Far too often an interaction with our city’s criminal justice system has been the catalyst for many of our loved ones to be entered into deportation proceedings due to the overcharges given by previous administrations and overzealous former DAs who believed more in punishment rather than restorative justice. We recognize this new position as a first step and look forward to working with Caleb Arnold, District Attorney Larry Krasner and the rest of the DA’s office to ensure that threat of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) is purged from all aspects of our criminal justice system.”

Catheryn Miller-Wilson, Executive Director of Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society of Pennsylvania said, “We must allow the criminal justice system to do its job. If victims, witnesses and defendants are afraid to participate in the criminal justice system then all of our communities suffer. Immigration status questions have no place in the criminal justice system and we applaud the DA for working to take immigration status out of the conversation.”

Golnaz Fakhimi, Immigrant Rights Attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union said, “We commend District Attorney Krasner for his sensitivity to community fears about immigration consequences for non-citizen participants in the criminal justice system. When an accused person, complainant, or witness is a non-citizen, criminal justice contact can carry risk of devastating immigration consequences. And the effects can extend into the family and community at large. With this appointment, District Attorney Krasner is demonstrating good faith to his community.”

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 7:15 PM
To: Gibson, Jake
Subject: no question time tomorrow--sorry
From: Prior, Ian (OPA)  
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 4:52 PM  
To: Gibson, Jake  
Subject: FW: Human Trafficking Stats  
Attachments: Human Trafficking Super Bowl Stats.docx

Ian D. Prior  
Principal Deputy Director of Public Affairs  
Department of Justice  
Office: 202.616.0911  
Cell: (b)(6)  

For information on office hours, access to media events, and standard ground rules for interviews, please click here.

From: Laco, Kelly (OPA)  
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 11:50 AM  
To: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Human Trafficking Stats

Kelly Laco  
Office of Public Affairs  
Department of Justice  
Office: 202-353-0173  
Cell: (b)(6)
Human Trafficking—Super Bowl Stats

News Clips

2018 Super Bowl Plans to combat trafficking

Minnesota police, led by Minneapolis, prepare to crack down on sex trafficking during the Super Bowl (Star Tribune)

- Law enforcement will conduct stings 10 days before the Super Bowl and throughout the 10-day event.
- A new report analyzed Minnesota sex buyers this year and said that, based off a national study, an estimated 380,000 men 14 percent may have bought sex at least once.

Minneapolis says it’s ready to tackle Super Bowl sex trafficking (MPR News)

- Target demand in order to combat super bowl trafficking

Group unveils $1M campaign to fight Super Bowl sex trafficking (Star Tribune)

- Emergency shelter beds, increased street outreach and a hot line for tips on possible trafficking.

Officials launch awareness blitz on human trafficking ahead of 2018 Super Bowl (Star Tribune)

- More than 50 digital billboards across Minnesota will aim to counter a surge in human-trafficking cases this year.

Past Super Bowl Trafficking Stats

(2017) U.S. police arrest record 750 suspects in Super Bowl sex-trafficking stings (Reuters)

- More than 100 arrests made in sting operations leading up to 2017 Super Bowl in TX
- 86 adults and six minors rescued in the three-week operation

“The nationwide sweep produced the most arrests since operations began 13 years ago with 29 pimps detained and a further 723 people arrested for buying sex across 15 states, according to the Cook County Sheriff’s Office in Illinois, which takes the lead on the annual sex trafficking crackdown.”

108 arrested in Illinois in sex sting; 752 arrested across US (ABC 7)

- In Houston, where Super Bowl 51 was held, 178 people were arrested by the Harris County Sheriff’s police and Houston police as part of the multi-state sex sting.

Super Bowl known as 'largest human trafficking event' (ABC 12)

Sex Trafficking Hype Surrounding The Super Bowl Does More Harm Than Good (HuffPo)

(2015/2016) FBI tries new approach in Super Bowl sex trafficking fight (AP)

“The FBI and local law enforcement agencies announced the arrests in February of 360 sex buyers and 68 traffickers and the recovery of 30 juvenile victims in a six-month operation in anticipation of the 2015 Super Bowl...”

- The year before that, the FBI said authorities recovered 16 children between the ages of 13 and 17 and arrested more than 45 pimps and their associates in Super Bowl-related operation
- Arizona State study found more than 60 percent of the 1,300 prostitution ads researchers flagged showed significant signs the sex provider was a trafficking victim.”

Over 570 Arrested in Super Bowl Sex Traffic Sting (TIME)

- A total of 570 would-be “johns” men who hire prostitutes and 23 pimps were arrested during the annual “National Day of Johns” sting orchestrated by Cook County, Ill. Sheriff Thomas Dart, which involved 37 law enforcement agencies in 17 states.

Studies and Reports

Report by the Global Alliance against Traffic in Women (2011)
"The hype around large sporting events and increases in trafficking for prostitution is often based on misinformation, poor data and a tendency to sensationalize. There is no evidence that large sporting events cause an increase in trafficking for prostitution"

**FBI Report (2015)**
Beginning in June 2014, agencies conducted monthly operations and engaged in three weeks of continuing operations in January 2015. The goal of these joint operations was to attack the three facets of sex trafficking, including recovering victims, demanding reduction of customers soliciting sex, and arresting traffickers. The unified effort of local and federal agencies was to discourage and disrupt trafficking in all cities surrounding the greater Phoenix area leading up to the Super Bowl.

“The past six months of operations were a tremendous success, led by the long hours and dedication of Arizona law enforcement agencies. Through their continuing efforts, hundreds of victims were recovered and/or offered services that provide them a way out of the life of prostitution.”

- 360 customers of commercial sex were arrested
- 68 traffickers were arrested
- 30 juvenile victims were recovered

**FBI Human Trafficking broadcast transcript**
- In fiscal year 2014, FBI victim specialists provided more than 31,000 direct services including on-scene response, crisis intervention, accompanying victims to interviews, and providing referrals for counseling, medical, legal, and financial assistance to more than 5,000 victims involved in 800 ongoing investigations.

**Arizona State Study (2015)**
“While there is no empirical evidence that the Super Bowl causes an increase in sex trafficking compared to other days and events throughout the year, there was a noticeable increase in those activities intended to locate victims from both law enforcement and service provision those activities intended to locate victims from both law enforcement and service provision about sex trafficking, as well as training for hotels, airport staff, and other tourism focused groups. Elected officials joined activists, student groups and members of the community to increase the overall public knowledge about the issue of sex trafficking, with media coverage in print, radio and billboards across the Greater Phoenix Metropolitan Area.”

**Carnegie Mellon University Study (2016)**
“There has been no discernible evidentiary basis for the claim that the Super Bowl is the biggest day for human trafficking in the United States each year.”

- Though past Super Bowls had drawn sex workers to host cities, other events including industry conferences were bigger potential magnets for sex-trafficking victims.

**Women’s Foundation of Minnesota (2017)**
“While the Super Bowl may temporarily increase the likelihood for trafficking in a host city, the event is no different than other large public events”

Women’s Foundation of Minnesota
“MN Girls Are Not For Sale” Campaign
Thanks much!

On Jan 25, 2018, at 4:29 PM, Laco, Kelly (OPA) <Kelly.Laco@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Glad you're making the trek! I will add you + crew.

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----
From: Gibson, Jake [mailto:Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 4:28 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klacl@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RSVP - Norfolk

Hello Kelly-

I'd like to RSVP to cover the AG's speech in Norfolk tomorrow.

I will also have a crew with me.

(b)(6) and (b)(6).

Thanks!
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Great, thank you.

Kelly Laco
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
Office: 202-353-0173
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Maltas, Mike [mailto:Mike.Maltas@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 1:57 PM
To: Laco, Kelly (OPA) <klaaco@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fox News RSVP: AG Sessions Nat. Sec/Immigration speech, Norfolk, VA, Jan 26

Hi Kelly,

Fox News would like to RSVP to send a crew for Attorney General Session’s remarks on national security and immigration priorities of the Administration, tomorrow, Jan 26 at 2pm at Slover Library, 6th Floor, 235 E. Phne Street in Norfolk, VA. I gather that we need to be preset by 1230pm.

Our crew will be (b)(6) and (b)(6). Best email to reach them is our DC.Desk@foxnews.com (b)(6)

Thanks

Mike Maltas
Fox News
400 N. Capitol St., NW
Washington, DC 20002
Office: (b)(6)
Cell: (b)(6)
Mike_maltas@foxnews.com

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
No problem. How about tomorrow or once day next week in the 4pm est. hour?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 25, 2018, at 2:24 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:

I've got a meeting at that time. Ugh! I'm so sorry I haven't been able to make this work.

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

From: Karcher, John [mailto:John.karcher@FOXBUSINESS.COM]
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 2:37 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Cc: (b)(6) Sarah Flores; Avino, Keegan
Subject: Re: Fox Business Interview Request - TODAY - After the Bell

Hello Sarah,
I wanted to reach out again and see if you can join Fox Business today in the 4pm est. hour on After the Bell? Please let me know if we can work something out. Thank you.

From: Karcher, John
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 9:38 AM
To: 'Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov' <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Cc: (b)(6) Sarah Flores; (b)(6) Sarah Flores; Avino, Keegan <keegan.avino@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: Fox Business Interview Request - TODAY - After the Bell

Hello Sarah,
I wanted to reach out again and see if you can join Fox Business today in the 4pm est. hour on After the Bell? Please let me know if we can work something out. Thank you.
attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content or this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
O'Malley, Devin (OPA)

From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 2:07 PM
To: Pfeiffer, Alex
Subject: Re: Response

I don’t

Sometime between 2009 and 2014 is my best guess

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 25, 2018, at 1:55 PM, Pfeiffer, Alex <Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Okay thanks. Do you know when full announcement that mentioned “healthy masculinity” was crafted?

On Jan 25, 2018, at 1:48 PM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Attributable to a DOJ spokesperson:

“In the 2013 reauthorization of the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), Congress directed the Executive Branch to use grant funds for ‘engaging men as leaders and role models.’ The original ‘Grants to Reduce Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Stalking on Campus Programs’ solicitation cited a third party document that goes beyond that statutory authority. When we learned about the existence of this guidance document, it was removed and the solicitation was amended. Any type of violence against women is a reprehensible and cowardly act, and when it occurs in the federal government’s jurisdiction, the Attorney General has committed to prosecuting those crimes to the fullest extent allowable.”

I just want to reiterate on deep background (not for attribution) that the technical assistance document does not reflect the views of the DOJ, and I hope that the show might make mention of that and the fact that the creation of that document was from a 2009 grant.

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (6)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee
indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Decline comment—this type of announcement would come from the IG first.

---

Chuck Ross

Hi Sarah,

I wanted to see if you're able to give any confirmation and other background on the report from Hannity last night that DOJ has started recovering some of the missing Strzok-Page text messages.

Is that accurate? If so, is DOJ recovering missing texts from all FBI employees affected by the glitch or just Strzok and Page?

Thank you for any help,
Chuck Ross
The Daily Caller

(b)(6)
No worries, another time!

Michael Robinson
Fox Business Network

Sent from my iPad

On Jan 24, 2018, at 8:24 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:

I'm so sorry I didn't get to do this. It was a very hectic day over here!

***
Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

------------------

From: Robinson, Michael [mailto:Michael.Robinson@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 8:39 AM
To: Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov
Subject: RE: Fox Business Interview Request

Any luck with this request?

------------------

From: Robinson, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 8:39 AM
To: Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov
Subject: Fox Business Interview Request
Importance: High

Any time today for a one-on-one on the sanctuary city announcement and the text message investigation during our 5 PM ET hour?

Michael Robinson
Fox Business Network

Michael.Robinson@FOXBUSINESS.COM

21st Century Fox
News Corporation Headquarters
1211 Avenue of the Americas
12th Floor
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
That’s a good thought, and I’ll remember that for future op-eds, rollouts, etc. Thank you!

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)  

Lynne Jordan Martin
Senior Editor, Opinion
Fox News
1211 Ave. of the Americas
New York NY 10036
(b)(6) (c)
(b)(6) (m)

Negative; I know we thought about offering that, but there were scheduling conflicts.
From: Martin, Lynne JordaI (mailto:Lynne.JordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM)
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 11:21 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Debelle Duplan, Morgan <Morgan.DeabelleDuplan@FOXNEWS.COM>; DeMarche, Eddie <Eddie.deMarche@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: From Lynne, Fox News Sr Opinion Editor - Re: Rachel Brand's piece -- Will be live tomorrow morning by 6am

Thank you, Devin! Is she a guest on F&F in the morning??

Lynne Jordal Martin
Senior Editor, Opinion
Fox News
1211 Ave. of the Americas
New York NY 10036

--- (o)
--- (m)

From: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 11:20 PM
To: Martin, Lynne JordaI
Cc: Debelle Duplan, Morgan; DeMarche, Eddie
Subject: Re: From Lynne, Fox News Sr Opinion Editor - Re: Rachel Brand's piece -- Will be live tomorrow morning by 6am

Cool, thanks for being so on top of it!
Let me know what you guys need, if anything.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 24, 2018, at 11:13 PM, Martin, Lynne JordaI <Lynne.JordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Devin,
Nice to meet you! We are happy to run Rachel Brand's piece tomorrow morning before 6am. We are aiming to include it in our morning newsletter. Thanks very much for reaching out. Eddie DeMarche, copied here, will take over the process now.
In the meantime, please don't hesitate to reach out again.

My best,
Lynne

Lynne Jordal Martin
Senior Editor, Opinion
Fox News
1211 Ave. of the Americas
New York NY 10036

--- (o)
--- (m)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this...
It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Martin, Lynne Jordal" <Lynne.JordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM>
Date: January 24, 2018 at 11:09:54 PM EST
To: "Gibson, Jake" <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>
Cc: "Egner, David" <David.Egner@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Debelle Duplan, Morgan" <Morgan.DebelleDuplan@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Kotch, Noah" <Noah.Kotch@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Wilson, Greg" <Greg.wilson@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Dooley, Peggy" <peggy.dooley@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Robinson, Bryan" <bryan.robinson@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: FROM LYNNE - DOJ OP-ED SUBMISSION - Yes, happy to run

Thank you, Jake. This is underway. Piece will be live before F&F tomorrow morning...

Lynne Jordal Martin
Senior Editor, Opinion
Fox News
1211 Ave. of the Americas
New York NY 10036

From: Gibson, Jake
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 10:41 PM
To: Martin, Lynne Jordal
Cc: Egner, David; Debelle Duplan, Morgan; Kotch, Noah; Wilson, Greg; Dooley, Peggy; Robinson, Bryan
Subject: Re: FROM LYNNE - DOJ OP-ED SUBMISSION - Yes, happy to run

Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov

On Jan 24, 2018, at 10:34 PM, Martin, Lynne Jordal <Lynne.JordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Jake,
We would be happy to run this tomorrow morning. I will publish it at 4 AM so that it can be included in our Fox News First morning newsletter. Do you have an email contact for Devin O'Malley? We will get to work on this now.

Lynne Jordal Martin
Sr Editor, Opinion
Fox News

On Jan 24, 2018, at 9:20 PM, Gibson, Jake <jake.gibson@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

From DOJ:

Begin forwarded message

Subject: DOJ Op-Ed for Fox News

Love for this to run tomorrow morning at 6:00 am as F&F starts.

**Where Free Speech Should Be Promoted, Free Speech Is Under Attack**

By **Associate Attorney General Rachel Brand**

Free speech is under attack at college campuses across the country. The problem is not limited to a few colleges barring radical speakers to avoid a riot. Universities large and small, public and private, are restricting students' and professors' speech or enabling others to silence speech with which they disagree.

These restrictions take a variety of forms. For example, speech codes at many colleges ban speech that is "offensive," a subjective standard that allows college administrators to arbitrarily ban speech they find disagreeable. For example, Georgia Gwinnett College stopped a student from speaking about his religious faith because it “disturbed the comfort of persons” – even after he had gotten a permit from the school to speak.

Other schools claim they allow free speech but impose so many rules and procedures that it is almost impossible for speakers to reach an audience. Pierce College in Los Angeles, for example, limited students’ “free speech” to a space the size of a couple parking spots and required a permit to speak even there. At
and required a permit to speak even there. At a community college in Michigan, a student was arrested and jailed for handing out copies of the U.S. Constitution because they didn’t have a permit.

Even where they don’t limit speech directly, schools’ actions often enable students to silence others’ speech through shouting, threats of violence, or actual violence. Sometimes schools fail to prevent students from intimidating and even attacking speakers, as happened at Middlebury College, where student protesters violently shut down a debate and physically assaulted one of the school’s own professors. In other cases, schools’ policies effectively encourage this behavior by imposing special limitations on speakers they deem controversial. A new policy at Berkeley, for example, imposes a curfew, security measures, and location restrictions for events that administrators decide are likely to “interfere with other campus functions or activities.” It doesn’t require much creativity to turn this policy into a heckler’s veto. If you disagree with a speaker about to visit campus, simply declare his views offensive and threaten to riot, and the speaker will be sidelined.

The net result of these policies has been a narrowing of the views expressed on campuses and therefore the range of views students hear. The heart of a university education used to be exposure to a wide range of ideas and the opportunity to debate their merits in order to inform one’s own positions and learn to articulate them persuasively. This has apparently taken a backseat to students’ desire to be comfortable and affirmed. University administrators, faculty, and students – not to mention the parents and taxpayers who are footing the bill – should be concerned that the quality of higher education is diminished by this change.

And everyone should be concerned about threats to free speech, regardless of their political beliefs. It should not give anyone comfort that she disagrees with the speech
that is being silenced at the moment. Viewpoints that are mainstream now may quickly become minority views, and vice versa, as has happened repeatedly throughout history. That is why protecting even unpopular speech in the short run benefits everyone in the long run.

When public universities restrict speech, it has constitutional implications as well. The First Amendment prevents government institutions from imposing speech restraints such as arduous permitting restrictions or arbitrary curfews, particularly if the school discriminates against certain viewpoints. Yet this is precisely what many university speech policies do.

The U.S. Department of Justice is not standing on the sidelines while public universities violate students’ constitutional rights – we are backing free speech lawsuits against universities that violate the First Amendment. Today, we are filing a brief supporting a group of Berkeley University students who allege that the University’s policy imposing stricter rules on controversial speakers violates the First Amendment. This is the third suit in which we have filed such a brief, and it will not be the last.

Defending the fundamental constitutional rights of all Americans is a core part of the Department’s mission, and defending free speech rights is particularly important. Free speech is not only a fundamental right, but, as James Madison said, the “effectual guardian of every other right.” Free speech enables citizens to advocate for all their other civil rights and is the single most powerful bulwark against government tyranny. This is perhaps why our Founders protected it in the very first amendment in our Bill of Rights. It is also why the Department of Justice is working so hard to protect it - free speech is too important for the Department of Justice not to speak on its behalf.
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Awesome, I'm around.

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: [512] 555-1234

From: Gibson, Jake [mailto:Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 10:43 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fwd: FROM LYNNE - DOJ OP-ED SUBMISSION - Yes, happy to run

Just gave her the op-ed

I think she will reach out to you shortly.

From: "Martin, Lynne Jordal" <LynneJordalMartin@FOXNEWS.COM>
Date: January 24, 2018 at 10:34:32 PM EST
To: "Gibson, Jake" <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM>
Cc: "Egner, David" <David.Egner@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Debelle Duplan, Morgan" <Morgan.DebelleDuplan@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Kotch, Noah" <Noah.Kotch@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Wilson, Greg" <Greg.wilson@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Dooley, Peggy" <peggy.dooley@FOXNEWS.COM>, "Robinson, Bryan" <bryan.robinson@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: FROM LYNNE - DOJ OP-ED SUBMISSION - Yes, happy to run
Where Free Speech Should Be Promoted, Free Speech Is Under Attack

By Associate Attorney General Rachel Brand

Free speech is under attack at college campuses across the country. The problem is not limited to a few colleges barring radical speakers to avoid a riot. Universities large and small, public and private, are restricting students’ and professors’ speech or enabling others to silence speech with which they disagree.

These restrictions take a variety of forms. For example, speech codes at many colleges ban speech that is “offensive,” a subjective standard that allows college administrators to arbitrarily ban speech they find disagreeable. For example, Georgia Gwinnett College stopped a student from speaking about his religious faith because it “disturbed the comfort of persons” — even after he had gotten a permit from the school to speak.

Other schools claim they allow free speech but impose so many rules and procedures that it is almost impossible for speakers to reach an audience. Pierce College in Los Angeles, for example, limited students’ “free speech” to a space the size of a couple parking spots and required a permit to speak even there. At a community college in Michigan, a student was arrested and jailed for handing out copies of the U.S. Constitution because they didn’t have a permit.

Even where they don’t limit speech directly, schools’ actions often enable students to silence others’ speech through shouting, threats of violence, or actual violence. Sometimes schools fail to prevent students from intimidating and even attacking speakers, as happened at Middlebury College, where student protesters violently shut down a debate and physically assaulted one of the school’s own professors. In other cases, schools’ policies effectively encourage this behavior by imposing special limitations on speakers they deem controversial. A new policy at Berkeley, for example, imposes a curfew, security measures, and location restrictions for events that administrators decide are likely to “interfere with other campus functions or activities.” It doesn’t require much creativity to turn this policy into a heckler’s veto. If you disagree with a speaker about to visit campus, simply declare his views offensive and threaten to riot, and the speaker will be sidelined.

The net result of these policies has been a narrowing of the views expressed on campuses and therefore the range of views students hear. The heart of a university education used to be exposure to a wide range of ideas and the opportunity to debate their merits in order to inform one’s own positions and learn to articulate them persuasively. This has apparently taken a backseat to students’ desire to be comfortable and affirmed.
apparently taken a backseat to students’ desire to be comfortable and affirmed. University administrators, faculty, and students – not to mention the parents and taxpayers who are footing the bill – should be concerned that the quality of higher education is diminished by this change.

And everyone should be concerned about threats to free speech, regardless of their political beliefs. It should not give anyone comfort that she disagrees with the speech that is being silenced at the moment. Viewpoints that are mainstream now may quickly become minority views, and vice versa, as has happened repeatedly throughout history. That is why protecting even unpopular speech in the short run benefits everyone in the long run.

When public universities restrict speech, it has constitutional implications as well. The First Amendment prevents government institutions from imposing speech restraints such as arduous permitting restrictions or arbitrary curfews, particularly if the school discriminates against certain viewpoints. Yet this is precisely what many university speech policies do.

The U.S. Department of Justice is not standing on the sidelines while public universities violate students’ constitutional rights – we are backing free speech lawsuits against universities that violate the First Amendment. Today, we are filing a brief supporting a group of Berkeley University students who allege that the University’s policy imposing stricter rules on controversial speakers violates the First Amendment. This is the third suit in which we have filed such a brief, and it will not be the last.

Defending the fundamental constitutional rights of all Americans is a core part of the Department’s mission, and defending free speech rights is particularly important. Free speech is not only a fundamental right, but, as James Madison said, the “effectual guardian of every other right.” Free speech enables citizens to advocate for all their other civil rights and is the single most powerful bulwark against government tyranny. This is perhaps why our Founders protected it in the very first amendment in our Bill of Rights. It is also why the Department of Justice is working so hard to protect it - free speech is too important for the Department of Justice not to speak on its behalf.

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
Do I submit a headline, or do you all take care of that?

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Lapidos, Juliet (mailto:Juliet.Lapidos@latimes.com)
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 12:08 PM
To: O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@imd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: McGough, Michael <MMcGough@tronc.com>; Tanfani, Joseph <Joseph.Tanfani@latimes.com>
Subject: Re: DOJ Op-Ed for LA Times

Hi Devin,

I’d be happy to review but I can’t promise anything beyond that.

Best,
Juliet

On Jan 23, 2018, at 8:29 AM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.OMalley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hi Juliet-

Joe Tanfani, our DOJ beat reporter, gave me your name and email after I asked him who best to reach out to about placing an op-ed in the LA Times.

Off the record, DOJ plans on filing an amicus brief in a California campus free speech case, and we’d like to accompany the filing with an op-ed in the LA Times. The author would be Associate Attorney General Rachel Brand, the third ranking official at the Department and the highest ranking woman here.

Please let me know if that’s something that interests the Times. The earliest we would file is tomorrow, so I’m not sure if you’d currently have space for it in tomorrow’s paper.

Interested to get your thoughts!

Devin

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
O’Malley, Devin (OPA)

From: O’Malley, Devin (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 11:04 PM
To: Gibson, Jake
Subject: DOJ Free Speech Actions Tomorrow

Some background information for you below. Here is the original lawsuit: https://www.yaf.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/20170424-YAF-Complaint_condensed1.pdf. You’ll also have the Associate’s op-ed that will go live at 4:00 am.

**JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FILES STATEMENT OF INTEREST IN CALIFORNIA COLLEGE FREE SPEECH CASE**

The Department of Justice will file a Statement of Interest in *Young America’s Foundation and Berkeley College Republicans v. Janet Napolitano* on Thursday, January 25, 2018. The plaintiffs, Berkeley College Republicans (BCR) and Young America’s Foundation (YAF), allege that the University of California, Berkeley, enforced a double standard when applied to free speech. BCR alleges that UC Berkeley applied a more rigorous and highly discretionary set of rules to their organization compared to other campus groups, especially with respect to “high-profile” campus speakers.

The plaintiffs filed the lawsuit as a result of excessive hurdles BCR faced in bringing speakers of their choice onto campus. They allege that UC Berkeley’s High Profile Speaker Policy and Major Events Policy violated their rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments.

In their lawsuit, the plaintiffs allege that Berkeley’s “High-Profile Speaker Policy” granted administrators unbounded discretion to decide which speakers are subject to arduous curfews, prohibitive security costs, or undesirable venues. In one instance, administrators—who had full discretion to determine who constituted a “high-profile speaker”—established a 3:00 pm “curfew” that conflicted with class times.

While the plaintiffs attempted to book speakers under the restrictions of the “High-Profile Speaker Policy,” a former president of Mexico and a former White House adviser were hosted at the University, but University administrators did not apply the High-Profile Speakers Policy to those events.

This is the third Statement of Interest filed by the Department of Justice in a First Amendment case under Attorney General Jeff Sessions. The first was filed on September 26, 2017 in *Uzuegbunam v. Preczewski*, and the second was filed on October 24, 2017 in *Shaw v. Burke*.

Attorney General Sessions reestablished the Department’s commitment to protecting First Amendment rights—especially campus free speech—in a *speech* at Georgetown Law School in 2017.

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)
We texted ☺ all good@thank you!

---

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

Hi!
All good to join Harris on the show tomorrow at 115p? From the DC bureau? Just making sure it works 100% on your end!
Thank youuuu.

Christina Svolopoulos Robbins
DC Booking Supervisor / Producer
Fox News Channel

Great to see ya today!
Let’s do Thurs at 1p from the DC bureau – pretty please!
You’ll be on with Harris Faulkner and the show is “Out Numbered Overtime”.
Thanks!

Christina Svolopoulos Robbins
From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) [mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 7:38 PM
To: Robbins, Christina <Christina.Robbins@FOXNEWS.COM>
Subject: RE: can you join us on "Out Numbered OT"??

I was previously scheduled to do F&F in the am. Not sure what yalls policy is on doubling up in a day?

---

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs

---

From: Robbins, Christina [mailto:Christina.Robbins@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 11:04 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: can you join us on "Out Numbered OT"??

Hey Sarah!
Would you be able to come on tomorrow to talk about the latest news? LOTS to discuss!
1) Sessions interviewed by Mueller.
2) AG calling for investigation into FBI texts

You know we’d love to have you!!

Christina Svolopoulos Robbins
DC Booking Supervisor / Producer
Fox News Channel

---

From: Robbins, Christina
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2018 10:34 PM
To: SARAH ISGUR FLORES <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Maybe a good topic for you to join us and discuss??

Hi!
Saw this story break and thought it would be great for you to discuss with us tomorrow in the 1p.
Or if the AG wants to that would be awesome 😊

130p tues?? With Harris Faulkner on Fox News’ show “Out Numbered Overtime”?


Christina Robbins
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely
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the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather,
you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail.
Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or
Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made
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Sure

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 24, 2018, at 9:30 PM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O’Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

2:30?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 24, 2018, at 9:14 PM, Pfeiffer, Alex <Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Can I get a statement on all this by say 11:30 am?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 24, 2018, at 3:39 PM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O’Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Tried calling you

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Pfeiffer, Alex [mailto:Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 1:51 PM
To: O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@imdo.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Press inquiry on grant

Following up here.

On Jan 23, 2018, at 10:27 PM, Pfeiffer, Alex <Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

Thanks.

On Jan 23, 2018, at 10:27 PM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O’Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:
I am working on it, yup.

Devin M. O’Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Pfeiffer, Alex
[mailto:Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 10:03 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
<domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Press inquiry on grant

Can I get a response on this tomorrow? Just want to know why DOJ thinks grant money should go towards correcting "harmful masculinity."

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 23, 2018, at 4:43 PM, Pfeiffer, Alex
<Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

The full announcement doc at the bottom, which I just noticed also mentions "healthy masculinity." The PDF I emailed about is in the tab "related documents."

On Jan 23, 2018, at 4:38 PM, Pfeiffer, Alex
<Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:

https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/view-opportunity.html?oppId=299933

On Jan 23, 2018, at 4:34 PM, O’Malley, Devin (OPA)
<Devin.OMalley@usdoj.gov> wrote:
Also, can you provide the actual link? Or a path to how you got to this place? I'm searching the website, but I'm not coming up with what you came up with.

Devin M.
O'Malley
Department
of Justice
Office of
Public Affairs
Office:
(202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Pfeiffer, Alex
mailto:Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXXNEWS.COM

Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 3:58 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
<domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>

Subject: Re: Press inquiry on grant

Duplicate Material, see next page
Are you running something tonight on this?

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8-63
Cell: (b)(6)

From: Pfeiffer, Alex [mailto:Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 3:58 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Press inquiry on grant

Sike. I forgot to attach it. Here it is

On Jan 23, 2018, at 3:57 PM, Alex Pfeiffer <Alex.Pfeiffer@Foxnews.com> wrote:

Yo Devin - Glad we could chat for a little on the phone. So grant opportunity is estimated to be $20 million.

Its title is "OVW FY 2018 Grants to Reduce Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence and Stalking on Campus Program."

Attached to the funding opportunity is a PDF outlining what a comprehensive response to these issues is. That is also attached to this email!

Part of it includes addressing "harmful masculinity."

Here are the highlights.

"It is equally important that campuses proactively address the societal and cultural underpinnings that perpetuate these crimes. Accepted social norms regarding harmful masculinity, male privilege, and patriarchy are embedded in both men's and women's views of survivors and perpetrators."

"Campuses must address unhealthy, violent masculinity and its impact on how these crimes are viewed and perpetrated by: 1) recognizing unhealthy aspects of masculinity that are harmful, 2) supporting gender equity; and 3) addressing the intersection of masculinity with race, ethnicity, class, orientation, etc. This work requires intentional efforts that prioritize prevention and intervention and an analysis of how harmful masculinity affects attitudes and behaviors associated with these crimes."

Was this PDF attached by accident or is the DOJ going after "harmful masculinity?"
Implementation of a Comprehensive Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence and Stalking Program
This document was created by the Campus Program Technical Assistance Team to provide colleges and universities with effective strategies when implementing a sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking program.

MISSION: The mission of the United States Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women’s Campus Program is to develop effective, culturally-relevant, campus-based programming that builds upon strong campus and community partnerships with the goals of strengthening services for victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking and promoting multifaceted prevention strategies within the campus community. To that end, the Campus Program provides comprehensive, responsive, and well-informed Technical Assistance to campus grantees and other colleges and universities to ensure that survivor-centered responses are holistic, while holding offenders accountable.

This project was supported by Grant No. 2009-TA-AX-K472 awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.
Components of a Comprehensive Program

The ultimate objective of the Campus Program is to help colleges and universities create effective, comprehensive responses to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking. A comprehensive approach includes both prevention and intervention and requires a multi-faceted, coordinated effort that engages key stakeholders from the surrounding community and throughout the campus, including students, faculty, staff, and administrators. A successful prevention and intervention strategy is informed by research and promising practices, and effectively communicates to the entire campus body that sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking will not be tolerated.

To meet this objective, the Campus Program has identified three overarching program goals that include several core elements. These goals and elements are designed to implement the statutory requirements for the Campus Program, in addition to supporting the Program’s overarching statutory goals of developing and strengthening comprehensive and holistic victim services, effective strategies for holding offenders accountable, and prevention education and awareness programs.

GOAL #1: Broad Campus and Community Engagement

An effective comprehensive strategy requires buy-in and participation from key stakeholders from the community and throughout the campus. Research and practice confirm that the broad-based changes needed to effectively respond to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking cannot be accomplished when efforts are confined to one or two entities (e.g., counseling center, a women’s center, or law enforcement) acting independently. However, even broad scale efforts are not successful if they are not coordinated or are implemented within isolated silos. As such, the foundation for a comprehensive strategy is the utilization of a Coordinated Community Response Approach.

ELEMENT #1: Develop a Coordinated Community Response (CCR) Approach:

The CCR Approach ensures a timely, culturally relevant and respectful response to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking committed on or off campus. Implementing such an approach requires establishing a coordinated community response team. This team coordinates all prevention and intervention efforts; facilitates communication between key campus departments and community partners; ensures messages across efforts are consistent and reinforced; and ensures the system’s response to victims is seamless, consistent, and supportive. Without shared oversight and inter-agency/office/department cooperation, responses to victims can be inconsistent, unnecessarily duplicative, and insensitive; and prevention efforts can be contradictory, exclusive and ineffective. An effective CCR team requires representation from key-stakeholders across campus and the community, including, those who:

1. Directly respond to these crimes (e.g., law enforcement officers, judicial officers, counseling center staff, prevention office staff, community based victim service providers and advocacy program staff, civil/legal agency staff, etc.);
2. Set campus norms, policies and practices (e.g., student leaders, administrators, faculty and staff); and,
3. Represent groups who have been historically marginalized and/or excluded (e.g., LGBTQ individuals, communities of color, international students, individuals with disabilities, etc.).

The partners of the CCR team should meet on a regular basis, and participation on the CCR Team should be integrated into the job responsibilities of key campus positions.

The roles of the CCR team include (but are not limited to):

• Engaging key partners (from on and off campus) to develop and oversee all aspects of the campus response to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.
- Developing, implementing, reviewing, and revising protocols, policies, and procedures for addressing these crimes.
- Prioritizing policy development and systems change as goals to institutionalize efforts to effectively address sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.
- Ensuring all prevention and intervention efforts are consistent and cross-reinforcing.
- Assessing and improving strategies, policies and procedures developed and correcting any aspects that proves ineffective. Regular assessment and evaluation of efforts are critical.
- Involving community partners on an ongoing basis (e.g. state, tribal or territorial domestic violence and/or sexual assault coalition) in program planning, policy, training, curriculum development and event sponsorship.
- Coordinating opportunities for cross-training to improve the CCR team’s knowledge in responding to these crimes.
- Ensuring both prevention and intervention strategies are culturally relevant and inclusive of historically marginalized or under-represented groups.
- Developing and overseeing a communications strategy that maximizes engagement with the campus community across different departments, offices, and organizations.

**ELEMENT #2: Develop Shared and Consistent Messaging, Goals and Strategies for the CCR team:**

Shared goals and strategies ensure that efforts to address these crimes provide similar and supportive responses to survivors and promote a message that all university departments and entities take these crimes seriously. This approach requires the CCR team to determine its shared values, mission, and goals for addressing sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking on campus before implementation strategies are developed. Creating a mission statement or charter can support this approach. In addition, the CCR team should determine how each partner can promote similar messaging, but tailor their messaging to their role within the university or community so that it strengthens the system wide responses to these crimes. Consistent strategies ensure that no matter which entity the survivor accesses, a supportive and coordinated response is implemented.

**ELEMENT #3: Ensure CCR efforts are rooted in a Culturally Relevant, Survivor Centered Approach:**

In light of the sensitive and unique nature of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking, any responses to survivors cannot be implemented in a one size fits all strategy. These responses should take into account the unique circumstances, cultural contexts, and experiences of each survivor. A comprehensive program:
- Is grounded in the experiences of all survivors on campus. This requires the campus to understand not only the dynamics of the crimes, but the nuances that each crime presents and how these crimes are experienced by diverse groups of survivors on campus;
- Takes into account cultural contexts in order to better understand the survivor’s experience and how this may affect such actions like a survivor’s decision not to report or seek services;
- Is flexible and adaptable to the needs of survivors so they are not re-traumatized by the campus’s efforts; and
- Prevents the creation of processes, protocols and systems that support institutional interests over survivor’s needs.

**ELEMENT #4: Infuse a Healthy Masculinity Framework into CCR team efforts**

It is equally important that campuses proactively address the societal and cultural underpinnings that perpetuate these crimes. Accepted social norms regarding harmful masculinity, male privilege, and patriarchy are embedded in both men’s and women’s views of survivors and perpetrators. Campuses must address unhealthy, violent masculinity and its impact on how these crimes are viewed and perpetrated by: 1) recognizing unhealthy aspects of masculinity that are harmful; 2) supporting gender equity; and 3) addressing the intersection of masculinity with race, ethnicity, class, orientation, etc. This work requires intentional efforts that prioritize prevention and intervention and an analysis of how harmful masculinity affects attitudes and behaviors associated with these crimes.
Widespread engagement of men is necessary to advance the work of changing culture by replacing negative, unhealthy masculine social norms with positive, healthy masculine social norms on campus. Since no one strategy (an awareness-raising event, for example) is effective for engaging men, multiple strategies need to be combined together into integrated, comprehensive efforts. The responsibility for developing the strategies and plans for infusing a healthy masculinity framework into the campus intervention and prevention efforts begins with the CCR team. A CCR team of women and men sends a message to the campus that sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking are important issues for everyone.

The “engaging men” responsibilities of the CCR team include (but are not limited to):

• Assessing and engaging any expertise on masculinity and violence against women that exists on campus and in the community;
• Becoming trained or educated on intersections between masculinity social norms, violence against women, male survivors, and primary prevention of sexual assault, domestic and dating violence, and stalking;
• Conducting assessments or having campus or/and community experts conduct assessments to learn about men and masculine social norms in the campus community;
• Developing a logic model specifically for engaging men;
• Infusing engaging men strategies into all phases of the strategic planning process;
• Using knowledge learned from the campus assessments to oversee the development of persuasive messaging, branding, and clear roles to engage men as allies;
• Strategically building a broad spectrum of campus male allies that can speak to the issue of engaging men.

**ELEMENT #4: Ensure CCR efforts create systemic changes in responses to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking**

Comprehensive campus programs should be designed to create institutional and/or systemic changes in its responses to these crimes. This requires the CCR teams to: 1) develop and implement effective policies and protocols; 2) conduct ongoing campus wide assessments, strengths and gap analyses and evaluation of its efforts so that programs can adapt to the changing needs of its community; 3) ensure campus leadership is engaged, knowledgeable about and supports the efforts of project; and 4) ensure all levels of the campus community received extensive ongoing training in sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.

**GOAL #2: The Reduction of Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence and Stalking**

While the prevention and education activities of the Campus Program include elements of awareness building and information dissemination, the ultimate goal of prevention and education is to reduce the number of students who are victimized by sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking. Therefore, prevention and education programming should reflect multi-tiered prevention approaches including primary prevention strategies, in addition to, education and awareness efforts. Research and practice indicates that effective prevention efforts must include more than one-time programming and awareness events. They must be consistent, comprehensive and ongoing. Strategies should include:

• Conducting prevention and education efforts across the campus (e.g., students, staff, faculty and administrators)
• Determining the amount of programming and content offered
• Assessing audience readiness for content
• Providing repeated exposure to programming and
• Creating developmentally and culturally appropriate content and programming.

While creating a strategic plan for prevention, it is critical that the CCR Team ensures campaigns and educational content are: (1) coordinated; (2) complementary, not contradictory; and (3) mindful of the readiness of the intended audience. As the CCR Team develops its comprehensive prevention strategy, campuses should focus on the following three necessary elements in their prevention and education programs:

ELEMENT #1: Ensure that all incoming students receive information about campus policies and campus and community resources

It is vital that initial programming for incoming students accomplish three important objectives. First, programming should contain information about policies and resources in a way that is clear and accessible to all students. Second, the information about resources for victims should be presented in a way that works toward overcoming the significant barriers to reporting that have kept these crimes dramatically under-reported. Third, the information should support help-seeking behaviors and be presented in a manner that is inclusive of individuals who have been historically under-represented.

ELEMENT #2: Ensure prevention strategies are comprehensive and culturally relevant

Strategies should include universal and targeted approaches and activities that engage a range of campus community members. Culturally specific strategies should be employed to effectively engage communities of color, underserved and international communities.

Prevention activities may include, but are not limited to:
• Social norms campaigns
• Public service announcements
• Training for faculty and staff on recognizing the dynamics of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking and responding appropriately
• Public education campaigns on identifying and responding to abusive relationships and/or healthy relationships
• Targeted prevention efforts with athletic teams, Greek organizations, and other student organizations, etc.
• Peer theatre productions
• Social media campaigns
• Development of student organizations to combat this violence
• Community awareness events, etc.

It is important that the CCR Team notes gaps in engagement and develop course-correction strategies to move toward a critical mass working together to reduce these crimes.

ELEMENT #3: Include bystander intervention strategies and approaches

Informed by current research, and in consultation with experts on bystander intervention, campuses should implement educational and training efforts that seek to mobilize the community to action, and equip bystanders to get involved before, during and after a potential act of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.

The bystander model focuses both on increasing the campus community members’ receptivity to prevention messages and training and supporting bystander behaviors – helping community members become more sensitive to issues of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking and teaching skills to intervene with the intent to prevent assaults from occurring. This conceptual model
provides community members with a specific role that can be adopted in preventing and interrupting incidents of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking. This role includes interrupting situations that could lead to assault before it happens or during an incident, speaking out against social norms that support sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking and having skills to be an effective and supportive ally to survivors. Prevention programs will also provide skill-building opportunities for both direct and indirect intervention in order to increase helping behavior without placing bystanders’ own safety in jeopardy. A bystander approach can work within broader community models of change by providing a perspective that shows how all community members have a direct stake and role to play in sexual violence and domestic violence prevention, including community attitude change.

Campuses should develop and/or utilize bystander education programs that have well-researched approaches (e.g., Socio-Ecological Model) and/or are evidence-based. A bystander intervention program that incorporates components such as recognizing inappropriate behavior, skill building, requesting a commitment to intervene, and role modeling is highly recommended. Campuses should also consider in the development or utilization of existing programs whether the program’s strategies are effective across all demographics (e.g., gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, etc.) to meet the unique characteristics of each campus. Campuses should think carefully about which agency will house the grant funded project in order to maximize its visibility and accessibility to the larger community (e.g., student affairs vs. law enforcement).

**ELEMENT#4: Address healthy masculinities and implement robust engaging men strategies**

Efforts aimed at engaging college-age men as influencers of other young men and as allies with survivors of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking is critical to comprehensive prevention efforts. Men have historically been excluded from preventing sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking. Many people, for example, still misconstrue risk reduction (i.e., taking a self-defense course, watching your drink, never going out alone at night and more) as prevention, which places the burden of responsibility on women and girls. Comprehensive prevention programming should include both women and men. Some of the programming should address the relationship between masculinity and primary prevention. Many of the risk factors for individual perpetration of sexual assault identified by researchers are linked to masculinity such as having coercive sexual fantasies; having hostility towards women; and adhering to societal norms supportive of sexual violence, male superiority, and male sexual entitlement. When focused on masculinity, then, primary prevention can be described as stopping sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking by replacing harmful attitudes and behaviors associated with unhealthy masculinity with pro-social norms associated with healthy masculinity.

Because engaging men prevention programming involves challenging and changing social norms, it requires robust, comprehensive, and coordinated strategies over time. Elements of the engaging men programming include (but are not limited to):

- Developing or adapting theory-informed engaging men programming based on positive, healthy masculine social norms;
- Creating and using an engaging men brand(s) across programming;
- Including multiple strategies to engage men, such as introductory workshops, peer theater, events, conferences, panels, retreats, social media, trainings, classes, public education campaigns, pledges, and men’s groups;
- Implementing programming and campaigns that address campus men’s diverse social identities and circumstances;
- Developing or adapting programming that takes into account stages of change that men and communities experience and uses techniques appropriate for motivating that change at different stages of readiness;
• Conducting training or preparing students, administrators, faculty, staff, and community members to either conduct engaging men workshops and trainings or be spokespersons for the engaging men programming and campaigns; and
• Strategically and consistently implementing engaging men programming throughout a school year.

GOAL #3: Effective Intervention

In addition to the goal of reducing sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking, the Campus Program also focuses on ensuring effective interventions for survivors of these crimes. This goal encompasses two prongs: (1) creating and maintaining effective systems for enforcement and accountability and, (2) providing survivor-centered services.

ELEMENT #1: Create Effective Systems for Enforcement and Accountability

Campuses should create systems and implement responses that move the campus towards fair and consistent offender accountability. It is necessary that they include comprehensive policies and protocols that strengthen security and investigative strategies on and off campus, support appropriate disciplinary actions that hold offenders accountable and enhance the prosecution of these crimes.

Campuses should focus on three necessary elements:

1. Developing coordinated and effective policies and procedures that are rooted in research and best practices in the field;
2. Implementing specialized training for campus law enforcement officers, first responders, faculty, staff, health care providers, university housing personnel, disciplinary/conduct board members and other campus staff whom victims will turn to for help; and,
3. Developing procedures that ensure all campus and community partners are providing consistent, timely responses that meet the needs of victims.

Activities can include but are not limited to:
• Forming sexual assault or domestic/dating violence response teams
• Supporting sexual assault forensic medical exams
• Enhancing investigation techniques including trauma informed forensic interviewing of sexual assault victims
• Establishing effective timely warning procedures
• Ensuring fairness for victims and offenders in judicial processes and proceedings
• Reviewing, revising and updating campus judicial policies including the current code of student conduct and institutional sanctions to ensure that the code defines a clear and concise disciplinary process
• Developing uniform and consistent penalties for infractions of the student code of conduct
• Ensuring that all judicial/disciplinary cases are pursued in the same manner, regardless of “who” the victim and/or offender may be
• Increasing awareness of campus accommodations and services available to victims
• Increasing awareness of civil/legal remedies for victims
• Enhancing and establishing confidentiality protocols and information sharing
• Integrating these crimes into emergency management protocols
• Engaging in lethality/danger assessments
• Improving the tracking and enforcement of protective orders
• Creating databases to better track incidents and arrests on campuses
• Coordinating campus response teams (i.e. Sexual Assault Response Team (SART), Behavioral Intervention teams, Crisis Intervention teams and Emergency Management Teams), etc.
ELEMENT #2: Victim Services and Advocacy

It is critical that campuses tailor their efforts to provide interventions that put the needs of survivors first, and are comprehensive, culturally relevant, flexible, and accessible for all victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking. In light of the unique dynamics and experience of the survivors of each crime, victim services and advocacy should be distinct and when needed intersect to fully address the needs of the survivors. Victim services and advocacy offered should not be identical. Collaborative referral relationships between campus and community based victim service providers should ensure accessibility to relevant victim services and advocacy. Services should be offered by partnering with community victim service providers with expertise in sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking (i.e. rape crisis center, domestic violence shelter, etc.). Victim services and advocacy programs must be made available 24 hours a day/7 days a week and should be staffed by individuals trained to respond to these crimes. Please note that having University Counseling Center or Women’s Center services only, or as the primary resource for victims, is not sufficient to meet this requirement unless a wide range of comprehensive victim services is provided by these entities and accessibility is not limited.

Campuses must provide, at minimum, the following array of services:

- **On-Campus Victim Services and Advocacy** should include but is not limited to: victim advocacy services, crisis intervention, community referral services, access to culturally relevant services or referrals, housing advocacy, specific counseling that addresses sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking, educational advocacy, accompaniment and advocacy at disciplinary hearings, health/medical care advocacy and accompaniment, etc.

- **Off-Campus Victim Services and Advocacy** should include: 24 hour crisis intervention including shelter services, court accompaniment, access or provide culturally relevant services or referrals, specific counseling that addresses sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking, victim compensation, victim advocacy services, legal advocacy, community referrals, health/medical care and advocacy and accompaniment including access to forensic sexual assault medical exams, etc.

This can be demonstrated by:

- Partnering with community victim service providers that have expertise in sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking to develop a referral process, response protocols and supports that ensure 24 hr. accessibility to confidential victim services and advocacy for all survivors. (Note: a partnership primarily focused on providing training to the campus and participation in its CCR team meetings does not meet this requirement); **AND/OR**

- Developing a campus based victim services and advocacy program that includes advocacy services, crisis intervention, referral to community services, access or provide culturally relevant services or referrals, university housing advocacy, specific counseling that address sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking, educational advocacy, judicial/disciplinary advocacy and accompaniment, health/medical care advocacy and accompaniment, etc. (Note: *This should not be developed without also having a partnership with a community-based victim service organization to ensure survivors have access to confidential services and options outside of campus resources*)
Pfeiffer, Alex

From: Pfeiffer, Alex
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 4:07 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA)
Subject: Re: Press inquiry on grant

I attached it in my followup!

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 23, 2018, at 3:59 PM, O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <Devin.O'Malley@usdoj.gov> wrote:

No attachment.

Devin M. O'Malley
Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs
Office: (202) 353-8763
Cell: (b)(6)...

From: Pfeiffer, Alex [mailto:Alex.Pfeiffer@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 3:57 PM
To: O'Malley, Devin (OPA) <domalley@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Press inquiry on grant
Hi Sarah,

Did DOJ send out its Boyd letter to Nunes to press?

Thank you,
Kristina

---

Kristina Wong
Pentagon reporter, Breitbart News

@kristina_wong
Gibson, Jake

From: Gibson, Jake
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 7:22 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: Re: Letter?

He got it to me.
Thanks!

> On Jan 24, 2018, at 7:19 PM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov> wrote:
> 
> Ian
> 
> >> On Jan 24, 2018, at 6:13 PM, Gibson, Jake <Jake.Gibson@FOXNEWS.COM> wrote:
> >> 
> >> Would you provide this letter?
> >>
> >> ----
> >>
> >> Sources familiar with the letter confirm to Fox that a top Justice Dept official today sent a letter to House Intelligence Chairman Devin Nunes urging him to let department officials see the memo and warning it could be dangerous to release it publicly.
> >>
> >> The letter was written by Stephen Boyd, assistant attorney general for legislative affairs, per sources who have seen the letter.
> >>
> >> The letter, first reported by ABC News, charges it would be “extraordinarily reckless” and “unprecedented” to release the info
> >>
> >>
> >> This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
its attachments are without effect.