1	
_ 2	
3	ADDRESS
4	of
· 5	THE HONORABLE
6	BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI
7	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE U.S.
8	before the
9	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EMPLOYEES
10	_
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Wednesday, March 5, 198 Washington, D. C.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
· 2 2	
23	
24	

REPORTING CO., INC. Inchanges Avenue, N.E. 1800., D.C. 20002

PROCEEDINGS

(Applause.)

ATTORNEY GENERAL CIVILETTI: Thank you, and good morning.

Today I speak to you on a serious subject to the Department of Justice, and to each of you: The subject of leaks, the disclosure of confidential information material to the business of the Department of Justice.

It is a distasteful subject to me, and to all of you, but it's important to address it and discuss it.

Like my recent predecessors I have high regard for the professionalism of employees of our Department. I share the pride that you feel, as members of this great Department, in the very special work that we perform.

All of you, clerks and messengers, lawyers, investigators, paralegals, secretaries, and others, play a vital role in the essential and delicate work of the administration of justice.

This work, this special work--the administration of justice--is special not only to us but to the American people. And it is different. Our duties and responsibilities are different from other forms of government service, and from the other departments and agencies in the government.

I commend you, each of you, on the performance

_ ²

2

R REPORTING CO., INC. machineris Avenue, N.E. lgron, D.C. 20002

· 5

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

over the last three years, and in prior years, in the history and traditions of the Department of Justice and the administration of justice.

These duties have been performed well, and in our highest tradition.

From time to time in the past, there have been breaches of duty by individuals within the Department from the ranks and from the highest levels of positions and management in the Department.

Those have been, and will be, low points in the performance of the Department, and in the pride which we share.

The recent disclosure with regard to the ABSCAM investigation , and two other sensitive criminal investigations, is one of those low points. And I condemn severely those few who have caused these leaks, and have violated the trust that has been placed in them.

I would like to spend a few moments with you to reemphasize the importance of the close confidentiality of information developed in criminal investigations particularly.

First, and obviously, the disclosure of material facts jeopardize the very investigations we are charged with conducting. With knowledge of the government's activity, subjects of investigation may be able to maneuver and manipulate so as to destroy the purposes of the investigation. Evidence can be reconstructed, defenses artfully prepared, alibis established, and witnesses

Leaks jeopardize cooperating parties, informants, subjects, and our own agents, and workers, and expose all of them to a greater risk than the risks ordinarily faced in the course of difficult investigations.

Leaks jeopardize the process which is established by our system as a prime objective of investigation: fair trials for the government, and for defendants, in the prosecution of charges.

And leaks more than jeopardize--leaks wound the innocent cruelly, many times, beyond the hope of recovery, without the hope or expectation of fair process.

In short summary, the disclosure of material facts in a criminal investigation perverts the very purposes which we are sworn to achieve and to serve.

I am determined to find those who release such information, and, if they are Department employees, to take appropriate and severe action with regard to them. For these leaks serve no valid purpose. They corrupt and injure all of us.

There are many excuses and justifications suggested, and used, to explain why a Department employee

--

intimidated.

TER REPORTING CO., INC.

Gamchuseus Avenue, N.E.

Magnon, D.C. 20002

346-6666

would leak information. None of them is valid. All of them are forced, although they do demonstrate characteristics of human weakness. Some such justifications suggest that the press bears a major responsibility for the harm and evil caused by such disclosures. That is not true. The press' duties are distinct and separate from our duty. They are not government employees. They do not solemnly swear to conduct the business of this Department in accordance with the law and the constitution. They serve a different role under different masters.

The press' duty is to report events, to challenge official versions, to pursue the facts in search of the truth, and to seize the moment, and the interest of the reader; to try to inform; and to do it all within a few hours or moments under the constant pressures of an imminent deadline.

Their duty is to bring sense from confusion; to reduce a thousand details to essentials; and to express it within the constraints of column inches or television seconds.

To perform the press must be aggressive. They must use every means within their professional ethics to do their job, and they must use human nature to serve their important duty to the public's right and need to know.

But we are not partners with the press. We are

in the employ of the Department of Justice. The press is not.

We are not professional companions or professional friends or duty mates, although our paths coincide in the pursuit of truth, aggressive investigation, and serving the public interest.

Reporters and journalists have a right to ask tough questions, and to use their intelligence and techniques to inform the public, and to do it dramatically. Appeals to employees for the disclosure of information are proper.

But they also appeal to fear, to envy, to pride, to idealism, to patriotism, anger, unfairness, stupidity, laziness and other human emotions. And the methods are not without a certain aggressiveness, and a certain intelligence.

We all hear from reporters, "I want to get it straight. Please advise me with regard to where it's wrong or I'm going wrong. Can you help me out? Here are the facts that I have. Are they in the ballpark? I intend to go with this story unless you can show me where it is wrong. The explanations I have been given don't hold up. You're going to look dumb, and so is your Department. I understand that the Public Integrity section, or the Civil Rights Division, certainly isn't what it used to be. You're not

ER REPORTING CO., INC. Canachuseus Avenue, N.E. ington, D.C. 20002

_ 2

_

2

. doing anything these days. I hear the investigation you've been working on for two years fell apart, was bungled.

How did that happen? The people upstairs are going to kill this case, you know. It doesn't seem right to us.

What do you think? We'd like to hear your side of the story."

These openers, and a thousand more, are used daily, and properly, to pry information from you and from me, and they are met usually with good grace with an allegiance to duty and with the refusal, no matter the method, to breach the public professional responsibility which is yours and which is mine.

We do have a responsibility to the press and to the public. We are all involved in the public's business. The press has a full right to learn of policy, of process, of appointments; to learn of plans and goals; to learn of our methods and manner, and how we go about this delicate and difficult business of the administration of justice.

And with regard to those rights, we have a full and shared responsibility to explain, where possible, our decisions, to hold them up to public scrutiny, and to make available for comments and debate our views and our opinions and our judgments, and to listen, and to learn, how we can do our jobs better.

But that is an entirely different thing from

MER REPORTING CO., INC. Manachuseus Avenue, N.E. Mington, D.C. 20002 the disclosure of confidential information essential to a criminal investigation, done for the purpose of currying favor, or to inflate the ego, and not for the public good, but for some private personal—or some misguided institutional—desire or objective.

I want to make it clear that if a Department employee leaks information, he or she not only violates standards of common decency; he or she violates clear Department regulations as well, and I don't have to cite to you chapter and verse that when someone commits such obvious wrongdoing, they are wrong.

In this case, because of the flood of the leaks, their serious nature, I feel compelled at least to refer to volume 28 of CFR Section 50.2(b). It is entitled, "The Release of Information... Related to Civil and Criminal Proceedings." Part (b)(6) of the regulation makes the point I have made unambiguously: "the release of certain types of information generally tends to create dangers of prejudice without serving a significant law enforcement function." The regulation concludes: "...personnel of the Department" should not make available statements concerning evidence in a case, "whether or not it is anticipated that such evidence will be used in trial."

Of course, if the leaker was or is an attorney, he or she violated the code of professional responsibility.

REPORTING CO., INC., Schusets Avenue, N.E., 100a, D.C., 20002

_

•

If the leaker was an FBI agent or employee, he or she violated the Bureau's internal rules, found in the manual of administrative operations and procedures.

And any employee who leaks such information violated not only the prohibitions that I have quoted but other specific and general prohibitions against unprofessional behavior and misuse of official information.

No employee can protest they were unaware of these duties or responsibilities. The entire text of Section 50.2, plus an explanatory memorandum, was circulated throughout the Department by former Attorney General Bell as recently as July 23rd of last year.

At times it may be difficult to serve the duty of openness, which I wholeheartedly endorse, and the duty to explain and provide access to the press for information, and at the same time to distinguish and to serve the duty not to disclose confidential information.

The recent leaks about ABSCAM and other sensitive investigations do not present this difficult problem, and there is no policy of this Department, nor of any of our profession, which justifies these leaks.

For those closer questions, where there are conflicts or difficulties between responsibility and openness, and the public's right to know the public business, I suggest just two practical considerations.

1

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

One, refer inquiries to the Public Affairs Office or public information officers, whose job it is to respond day-in and day-out forthrightly to the press; who are familiar with all the rules; and who are experienced and careful in the performance of their twin duties of openness and confidentiality.

Secondly, to the extent that you communicate with the press, and it is proper to do so, do it on the record, for attribution, and you will get a quick sense of right and wrong when you begin to feel doubt as to whether you're comfortable with the answers being identified with you, or attributed to your name.

I am calling on you, as members of this Department, to continue to fulfill your responsibilities, and the responsibilities of your profession and craft, and to be committed to exercising the trust which is placed in you, as you have done in the past, and as is true with 99.9 percent of you, I am confident you will do so in the future.

But I am calling on you for more than that. calling on you to share my condemnation of any among you, whatever their position, or whatever their role or rank, where they breach their duty, where they stain the Department, where they lower the respect for your integrity and honesty, where they erode the confidence of the public

and corrupt the principles for which we all stand.

I am doing two things, one of which you are clearly aware of. I have appointed Richard Blumenthal to investigate the ABSCAM leaks under Mike Shaheen in the Office of Professional Responsibility, with the full cooperation and assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and one of its principal officers, John and with the assistance of other Otto, prosecutors and investigators drawn from the ranks of the Department and of the FBI. They are charged with the responsibility to conduct this investigation as intensely and as specifically, without limitations other than the law and our policies, to attempt to find the persons responsible for the leaks and, if they are within the Department of Justice's ranks, to find the causes, or at least some of the causes, which may have lead to the leaks.

Secondly, I am having our policies, as expressed in the regulations, and our practices as we conduct our business, and our standards, carefully reviewed, not in the intense heat of the reactions to the ABSCAM investigations, but carefully and coolly, to see whether they need adjustments or modifications so that we can all, not 99.9 percent of us, but all of us, better carry out our responsibilities to, and justify the faith of the American public.

If a Department employee leaks confidential

1

2

17

18

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTING CO., INC., Inschunetts Avenue, N.E., agron, D.C., 20002

information from an investigation, that employee, if found, will lose his or her position; if not found, at least that employee will lose his or her honor and self respect.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

ATTORNEY GENERAL CIVILETTI: This session--I'm meeting with two sessions of the Department today in an effort to talk directly to as many people as possible. And I will communicate to all of our fellow employees outside of Washington, both by videotape, and by a transcript of these addresses.

Thank you again.

(Scattered applause.)

(End of proceedings as recorded.)

R REPORTING CO., SMC. Machinetts Avenue, N.E. 1810n, D.C. 20002 16-6666