.

IN REPORTING CO., INC. Issachusetts Avenue, N.E. Woon, D.C. 20062 146.6608 INTERVIEW

of

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM B. SAXBE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

with

HARRY REASONER

of

ABC-TV

WASHINGTON, D. C.,

THURSDAY, APRIL 18, 1974

PROCEEDINGS

MR. REASONER: Mr. Saxbe, you were a little reluctant to do this interview, on the grounds that it might encourage further terrorism and kidnapping. Is this kind of thing a contagion?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes, it's a contagion, and it involves irrational people, who feel obscure, and that they can achieve some prominence. And I base this on the recent attempted hijacking in Baltimore. Mr. Byck—an obscure, frustrated person, who thought that by hijacking this airplane, which resulted in three deaths and his own, that he was going to achieve some kind of prominence, You see what happens. There is a contagion.

MR. REASONER: It's more of a problem to deal with irrational criminals than what you might call a sensible crook, isn't it?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, an irrational person means just that, you can't figure what he's going to do, and you can't easily prepare to defend against it.

MR. REASONER: Would the Hearst kidnapping be the only so-called political kidnapping we've had yet in the country?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Oh, I think that it's the only prominent one. This follows a line that's set out by terrorist organizations worldwide, emanating from Cuba and

IER REPORTING CO., INC. Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Magton, D.C. 20002

2

1

3 4

5

6

8

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

from other places, that says that this Robin Hood type of approach, where the demand that you buy groceries for the poor, something like that. It's the only one. that we've had.

MR. REASONER: Do you think that it -- do you think that it's the beginning of more of that kind of thing?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't think so. Because there are so few people that would be capable of responding in the manner that they demanded, and certainly the government is not going to respond on this if I'm kidnapped or another prominent government official. And I think that people would realize now that you can't deal with them; no matter what you do, it's not going to make them happy.

MR. REASONER: American policy abroad has been to never pay ransom or give in to the demands; isn't that right?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes, and this is through the State Department, and I really believe that it should be domestic policy.

MR. REASONER: What kind of protection, if you're not going to deal with political terrorists, what kind of protection can you give the citizens?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, your protection is basically local. This is something that's hard to explain to people, because murder is a local crime, it is not a federal crime; and assault and robbery and most of the violent crimes are local crimes.

You must work with your local police department, and they're competent to handle these complaints.

However, when kidnapping occurs, or a kidnapping plot is made apparent, then it becomes federal crime, and we can move into it. But it's basically preparation in yourself, your family, your school. The FBI has put out some instruction sheets that are available to anybody that writes in. How to protect yourself as a parent. What to tell the children. How the school can protect you. How the school can help. What to do if there is an actual kidnapping. The response.

You must have confidence in the law enforcement people, and certainly the first thing that the kidnapper is going to tell you is, "If you tell the FBI, we're going to kill the victim."

The FBI is aware of this. They are not going to disclose that you contacted them. They can work with you, and certainly the Patricia Hearst kidnapping has indicated they even go the second mile, in following your wishes in trying to recover the victim.

And the first importance is not capturing the kidnapper, the first importance always of the law enforcement people is the safe return of the victim. And if more people knew this, I think that they would go directly to the FBI and

permit us to help them.

MR. REASONER: Should an individual pay ransom?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, but again there's no

law that says that you cannot pay ransom. And people are

desperate, and perhaps the best way to catch the kidnapper is

to pay ransom, and perhaps the FBI would, if you're determined

to do it, would show you the best way to do it so that they

can be apprehended.

There's been suggestions in Congress that you make it illegal to pay ransom. But I don't believe that would be effective, because a distraught family, a father and a mother are not going to pay much attention to a law when they think that that's the only way that they can get the kidnapped victim back.

MR. REASONER: What about the quixotic demands that were mentioned before, like a food distribution program; do you think they might come under some legislation? The Attorney General of California has suggested they should.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: There has been a suggested law in Congress that this could not be accomplished. It's not been passed at the present time. There is a provision in law at the present time that you can do nothing in the way of aiding a kidnap and sustaining it, and this might apply.

But there again, a distraught parent is a pretty hard

'ING CO., INC. ts Avenue, N.C. person to deal with.

. 19

MR. REASONER: In, I think it was the Minnesota kidnapping case recently, the press came in for some criticism. Do you have criticism of the press in the way it handled, for instance, the Hearst case?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. I think that to handle it any other way would certainly have not been more rewarding than the method.

And I think, too, you must recognize that Mr. Hearst, of a newspaper family, certainly is going to make open disclosure under any conditions. And I think that when you criticize the press on this, you have to question whether the people don't have a right to know what's going on.

But the kidnapping down in Mexico, which I disclosed some few weeks ago, I don't think that it benefitted them to keep it a secret for a week. I think that we have to make reasonable effort to keep the people informed.

MR. REASONER: Particularly overseas, in the case of some businessmen and diplomats, the kind of protection they have to have almost makes it impossible for them to do their job. Is this kind of protection worth it?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, when you talk about Argentina -- I presume -- and some other countries, there's no way that you can be entirely safe. And I think the example of paying fourteen million, allegedly, and not getting the

EPORTING CO., INC. Husetts Avenue, N.C. LD.C. 20002

victim back, gives you a pretty good indication of what you can expect in trying to deal with kidnappers wherever they are in the world.

But I don't think that we can have a platoon of soldiers around every American businessman. But there's no protection that we can provide after they go into these countries, except within our State Department group or within those government employees attached to an Embassy.

MR. REASONER: We've become aware of a wide variety of physical devices, sophisticated alarm systems and buzzers, personal wristwatch alarms; are the gadgets any help, do you think, in preventing a kidnapping?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Our Law Enforcement

Assistance Office is developing a gadget which would be very
helpful in this, it would sound an alarm, that's no bigger
than a wristwatch and goes on your wrist, it's kind of a

Dick Tracy kind of an arrangement, but it's designed primarily
for law enforcement people and not for people generally.

It could be used by someone in a critical position who thought
they might be criticized.

But terrorism extends to a great deal more than kidnapping. Terrorism extends to bombing and also to the indiscriminate shooting of people, such as we have in San Francisco. We had 1900 bombings in this country last year.

And this kind of terrorism, such as we see in

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

northern Ireland and in the Middle East, is really the most alarming, because it is so indiscriminate that people are killed without any concern of who they are.

Now, we had a -- just in the last day or two, a bomb exploded in Israel, presumably set by Arab terrorists, and yet almost all of the people killed were Arabs.

It's ridiculous. It's so indiscriminate. therefore very dangerous.

MR. REASONER: You said 1900 last year. a substantial increase? Is it substantially increasing year to year?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Somewhat, but we have fewer than we did during the Sixties when everyone was more or less involved -- not everyone, but a lot of people were involved in violence and a lot of black-powder bombs were put up in front of banks, that blew out a couple of windows, and were not the type of terrorism that we're really alarmed about.

MR. REASONER: Is there a possibility that we might over-react to kidnapping and other forms of terror in -first, by the restrictions we put on our own lives, or by the restrictions we put on our other citizens?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes, and this is a real danger, because we live in an open society, and we're extremely reluctant to change it to a closed society.

Children .

- 4

3 4 5

can get away with -- do away with terrorism in a closed society, where everyone is accounted for, the police are on every corner, the communities report if they see any suspicious activity. You don't have terrorism in China, you don't have terrorism in Russia, except government control. And this is something that we don't want to do in this country.

We rely on our Constitution and the freedoms of the individual. One of our great prides is we can travel around the country without any travel permits. We can tell our neighbors that it's none of their business what we do.

And to change this open society to defend against terrorism is a pretty high price to pay.

San Francisco is hard up against it right now, and some of the measures that they have taken are radical measures -- necessary. But I don't think we want to go a great deal further.

And certainly we don't want to say to people: Well, it's unsafe to be on the streets of your city.

This is one of the great luxuries enjoyed by our civilization, and -- or to say that, as in Roman times, that for a man to walk abroad he had to have six bodyguards with him. We can't have that.

MR. REASONER: You spoke of the Robin Hood syndrome in some of the crimes, and some of the terrorists do claim

李春 十二

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that they are fighting poverty and fighting injustice. you see any validity to what they say?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, I don't, because the worst kind of injustice is the kind that they dish out. And we have seen that their efforts generally extend to their own group. And they are -- when once you get beyond the law, they're not very tolerant with people who disagree with them. And when they says that "We're going to defend the people, the weak and oppressed", and so on, they're going to defend them and support them in a way that they say, But what they declare to be right not under the Constitution. and wrong. And we can't buy that.

MR. REASONER: This Symbionese Liberation Army came as a surprise, I think, to most of the public. Would it have been better to, to some extent, open your files and tell people about this kind of organization before they get in the news?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes. But the Symbionese Liberation Army is -- is not a large group. If it's grown at all, it's grown from irresponsibles who have joined since the original venture. It was formed in California prisons, and is not one that we could have anticipated to have been successful, except in some dramatic thing like this.

These people will be apprehended.

We don't think that it's something that's going to

. 19

ORTING CO., INC. usetts Avenue, N.C D.C. 20002 long survive. Most terrorist groups don't, because they're led by irresponsible people who, if they enjoy any success a all, are soon dissipated in -- while in irresponsible acts.

MR. REASONER: A final question. You reject the idea of changing our society. Is there anything within the framework of the society that you don't have that you wish you did have to fight terrorism?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: There's only one thing, and that's something I'm determined to work on, and that's the full and enthusiastic support of the people in this country.

We have to have belief in our institutions and in our police. The police are the servants of the community. They are the peace-keepers. They are not policy-makers, and they only respond to the laws that are passed for them to enforce.

I hope the people can understand this. The police are not agents of oppression; and our courts are manned by the people who, again, serve; and the statutes that are set out are set out for the purpose of making our society livable.

People must not get the idea that government is an oppressor. Government is the people's government, and justice must be fair and it must be fast. We've had delays that have led some people to believe that government is not

responsible. We're trying to eliminate these delays. Speedy justice, and fair justice, and competent, well-trained police. These are the best safeguards we have, that we can continue to have an open society. And this needs citizen support.

MR. REASONER: Thank you very much, sir.

TING CO., INC. tts Avenue, N.E. . 20002