

## Department of Justice

## FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2004 WWW.USDOJ.GOV

AT (202) 514-2007 TDD (202) 514-1888

## **BAYER AG AGREES TO PLEAD GUILTY AND PAY \$4.7 MILLION CRIMINAL FINE FOR PARTICIPATING IN SYNTHETIC RUBBER PRICE-FIXING CONSPIRACY**

WASHINGTON, D.C. – Bayer AG, a German corporation, has agreed to plead guilty and to pay a \$4.7 million criminal fine for participating in a conspiracy to fix the prices of synthetic rubber which is used to manufacture a variety of products including automotive parts, the Department of Justice announced today.

The rubber, acrylonitrile-butadiene, which is also known as NBR, is also used to manufacture hoses, belting, cable, o-rings, seals, adhesives, and sealants. Today's charge is the first in an ongoing investigation of price fixing in the NBR industry.

According to the one-count felony charge filed in the U.S. District Court in San Francisco, Bayer AG conspired from May, 2002 through December, 2002 with unnamed coconspirators to suppress and eliminate competition for NBR in the United States and elsewhere. Under the plea agreement, which must be approved by the court, Bayer AG has agreed to assist the government in its ongoing investigation.

"The Antitrust Division will continue to be vigilant in combating illegal cartel activity," said R. Hewitt Pate, Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Department's Antitrust Division.

The Department charged that Bayer AG and unnamed co-conspirators carried out the conspiracy by:

- participating in conversations and meetings to discuss prices of NBR to be sold in the United States and elsewhere;
- agreeing, during those conversations and meetings, to raise and maintain prices of NBR to be sold in the United States and elsewhere; and
- issuing price announcements and price quotations in accordance with the agreements reached.

Bayer AG was charged with violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act, which carries a

maximum fine of \$10 million for corporations and a maximum penalty of three years

imprisonment and a fine of \$350,000 for individuals for violations occurring before June 22,

2004. The maximum statutory fine may be increased to twice the gain the conspirators derived

from the crime or twice the loss suffered by the victims of the crime, if either of those amounts is

greater than the statutory maximum fine.

Today's charge is the result of an ongoing investigation being conducted by the Antitrust

Division's San Francisco Field Office and the Federal Bureau of Investigation in San Francisco.

###

04-694