INTERAGENCY CRIME AND DRUG ENFORCEMENT

(Dollars in thousands)

	Perm. Pos.	FTE	Amount
2002 Obligations	2,961	2,915	\$339,522
2003 President's Budget	3,027	2,952	362,131
Transfer of ATF, IRS, U.S. Customs, and U.S. Coast Guard OCDETF Programs to Department of Justice	783	783	108,201
2003 President's Budget as adjusted	3,810	3,735	470,332
Adjustments to Base			
Increases (see p. 30)		33	13,512
2004 Current Services	3,810	3,768	483,844
Program Improvements (detailed below)	275	139	58,000
2004 Request	4,085	3,907	541,844

	2003 President's Budget		2004 Current Services			2004 Request			Program Improvements			
	Perm			Perm			Perm			Perm		
Comparison by activity and program	Pos.	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Pos.	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Pos.	FTE	<u>Amount</u>	Pos.	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1. Investigations												
Department of Justice:												
Drug Enforcement Administration	1,062	1,033	121,064	1,062	1,062	126,210	1,213	1,138	149,337	151	76	\$23,127
Federal Bureau of Investigation	916	916	118,896	916	916	121,016	916	916	121,116			100
U.S. Marshals Service	13	13	2,126	13	13	2,149	13	13	2,149			
Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives				54	54	11,531	54	54	11,540			9
State and Local Overtime Program	1	1	8,136	1	1	8.150	1	1	8,154			4
Automated Investigative Technology			•••						22,017			22,017
Department of Homeland Security:												
Border & Transportation Security	117	117	16,413	388	388	48,037	388	388	48,076			39
U.S. Coast Guard						625			625			•••
Department of Treasury												
Internal Revenue Service				459	459	68,009	496	478	73,640	37	19	5,631
Subtotal	2,109	2,080	266,635	2,893	2,893	385,727	3,081	2,988	436,654	188	95	50,927
2 Prosecutions												
U.S. Attorneys	890	850	92,419	889	849	94,388	967	888	100,737	78	39	6,349
Criminal Division	18	14	2,095	18	18	2,734	27	23	3,458	9	5	724
Tax Division	10	8	982	10	8	995	10	8	995			
Subtotal	918	872	95,496	917	875	98,117	1,004	919	105,190	87	44	7,073
TOTAL	3,027	2,952	362,131	3,810	3,768	483,844	4,085	3,907	541,844	275	139	58,000

Note: The positions and workyears reflected for this appropriation are reimbursable for the participating agencies and are provided here for illustrative purposes.

Consistent with the Government Performance and Results Act, the 2004 budget proposes to streamline ICDE's decision unit structure from 4 program activities to 2 to align ICDE's budget more closely with the mission and strategic objectives contained in the DOJ Strategic Plan (FY 2001-2006). As part of this effort, the budget includes the consolidation of OCDETF funding for participating agencies to provide more effective resource management and accountability, as well as a more accurate picture of total activity costs. In this way, budget and performance are more closely linked, and provide a better basis on which to make budget decisions. Over time, agencies will be expected to identify effective outcome measures, monitor their progress, and accurately present the associated costs.

INTERAGENCY CRIME AND DRUG ENFORCEMENT

Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Program

Program Description

OCDETF was created in 1982 to mount a comprehensive attack against high-level drug trafficking and related criminal enterprises, including large-scale money laundering organizations, in order to destroy and dismantle these criminal enterprises. The OCDETF program combines the drug enforcement expertise of the Drug Enforcement Administration, Federal Bureau of Investigation, United States Marshals Service, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Division, the Bureau of Border and Transportation Security, and the United States Coast Guard Investigative Service, with that of the U.S. Attorneys, and the Department of Justice Criminal and Tax Divisions. Since its inception, it has become the model for coordinated counterdrug efforts in federal law enforcement. OCDETF investigations, by definition, are conducted by more than one investigative agency, one of which must be an OCDETF federal partner.

The OCDETF program is the centerpiece of the Attorney General's drug strategy to reduce the availability of drugs. As the only task force with nationwide reach that combines the talent of experienced federal agents and prosecutors with support from state and local law enforcement, OCDETF is uniquely positioned to conduct multiple, coordinated investigations across the country to root out and eliminate all pieces of a drug organization. Effective implementation of the Attorney General's drug strategy relies on targeting our largest drug supply networks by dismantling their entire infrastructure, from international supply through national transportation cells to regional and local distribution organizations. The objective is to curtail the availability of drugs through the disruption and dismantlement of drug trafficking organizations, forfeiting illegal proceeds and destroying their economic underpinnings at the national and international levels.

Program Improvements	Perm <u>Pos.</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Amount
Automated Investigative Technology			\$ 22,000
Expand Investigations Connected to CPOT	192	96	26,000
Expand Financial & Money Laundering Investigations	83	43	10,000
Total Program Improvements, Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement	275	139	58,000

<u>Decision Unit Restructuring Crosswalk</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces

Decision Units	2003 President's Budget Request			Performance-Based Realignment			Realigned 2004 Base			
Current Structure 1. Law Enforcement 2. Drug Intelligence 3. Prosecution 4. Administration Support 5. Investigations	Pos. 1,938 162 915 12	WY 1,909 162 869 12	Amount \$240,986 16,287 95,053 9,805 	Pos. (1,938) (162) 3 (12) 2,109	WY (1,909) (162) 3 (12) 2,080	Amount 240,986 (16,287) 443 (9,805) 266,635	Pos. 918 2,109	<u>WY</u> 872 2,080	Amount 95,496 266,635	
Total	3,027	2,952	362,131				3,027	2,952	362,131	

Explanation:

The OCDETF program's four decision units are collapsed into two decision units titled Investigations and Prosecutions.

Administrative support provided by the OCDETF Executive office, less one position and associated salary costs dedicated to the State and Local Program, is pro-rated and allocated among decision units based on the percentage of appropriated direct program funding for each agency. The one position and associated salary costs is allocated directly to the State and Local Program under the Investigations Decision Unit.