

## **Standards for Injunction**

To obtain an injunction under 26 U.S.C. (IRC) § 7408, the United States must show that Defendant James A. Mattatall engaged in conduct subject to penalty under §§ 6700 or 6701, and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such conduct. To obtain an injunction under IRC § 7407, the United States must show that Defendant James A. Mattatall engaged in conduct subject to penalty under §§ 6694 or 6695, or engaged in any other fraudulent or deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws, and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such conduct. To obtain an injunction under IRC § 7402, the United States must show that Defendant James A. Mattatall engaged in conduct that interferes with the administration and enforcement of the Internal Revenue laws, and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such conduct pursuant to the Court's inherent equity powers.

## **Factual Findings**

Based on the evidence and argument by the parties, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. Defendant James A. Mattatall promotes and organizes an abusive tax shelter, plan or arrangement, recommending the misuse of business and family trusts, and advise customers to claim unallowable federal tax benefits.
- 2. Defendant James A. Mattatall prepared returns for his trust customers claiming unallowable deductions and resulting in substantial understatements. Mr. Mattatall charged fees for his

- 3. Defendant James A. Mattatall prepared returns for others claiming unallowable deductions and resulting in understatements of tax liabilities. Mr. Mattatall charged fees for his services.
- 4. Defendant James A. Mattatall attempts to delay and obstruct the IRS examination of others' tax liabilities by refusing to produce information of taxpayers for whom he prepared tax returns and by counseling his clients not assert frivolous arguments.
- 5. Absent this injunction, Defendant James A. Mattatall will continue to promote his abusive tax scheme, and will continue to prepare federal income tax returns based upon that scheme, and will continue to delay the IRS examination of others' tax liabilities.
- 6. If this injunction is not granted, the United States will suffer irreparable harm because the defendants' conduct is causing and will continue to cause substantial revenue losses to the United States Treasury. The IRS will have to devote substantial time and resources simply to detect future customers' returns, and may be unable to detect all of them. Further, considerable IRS resources are spent reviewing and dealing with these returns. This injunction will prevent the expenditure of some of those resources.
- 7. Defendant James A. Mattatall's apparent positions regarding tax deductions and the use of trusts are meritless. The Government, therefore, will likely prevail on the merits.

- 8. The injury to the United States caused by Richmond and Black's conduct outweighs any injury an injunction might cause.
- 9. The public is served by granting this injunction.

#### Conclusions of Law

Based on the evidence presented in this case, the Court finds that Defendant James A. Mattatall engaged in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6700 and 6701 and that injunctive relief under IRC § 7408 is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of that conduct. The Court also finds that Defendant James A. Mattatall engaged in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6694, and engaged in other fraudulent or deceptive conduct which substantially interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue laws and that injunctive relief under IRC § 7408 is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of that conduct. The Court further finds that Defendant James A. Mattatall engaged in conduct with interferes with the administration and enforcement of the Internal Revenue laws and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such conduct under the Court's inherent equity powers as provided in IRC § 7402(a).

#### Order

Following the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, it is hereby

ORDERED that Defendant James A. Mattatall is restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly:

a. Organizing or selling abusive tax shelters, plans, or programs that advise or encourage taxpayers to attempt to evade the assessment or collection of their correct federal tax;

- Instructing others to promote or sell abusive tax shelters, plans,
   or programs;
- c. Making false statements about the allowability of any deduction or credit, the excludability of any income, or the securing of any other tax benefit by the reason of participating in such tax shelters, plans, or programs;
- d. Instructing or advising taxpayers to understate their federal income tax liabilities;
- e. Further acting as return preparers or assisting in or directing the preparation of federal tax returns which knowingly will result in the understatement of any tax liability;
- f. Further engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under I.R.C. §§ 6694, 6700 or 6701 or injunction under IRC §§ 7408, 7407 or 7402; and
- g. Engaging in other similar conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

### It is further

ORDERED, that Defendant James A. Mattatall provide within 10 days a complete list of his clients (including names, addresses, phone numbers, and social security numbers or employer identification numbers) who have purchased trusts plans from him, for whom he has created one or more trust, or for whom he has performed trustee services; it is further

ORDERED, that Defendant James A. Mattatall is required to mail a copy of this Court's Order of Injunction to

a. All persons for whom the defendants prepared federal income tax returns or any other federal tax forms from January 1, 2000,

1	,	to the present; and
2	b.	All persons who purchased trust packages from him (or his
3		agents or designees).
4	c.	All persons whom he is currently acting in a representative
5		capacity before the Internal Revenue Service.
6		
7		Al
8	SO ORDERI	ED this <u>54</u> day of <u>APPIL</u> , 2004, at <u>10:15</u> , <u>A</u> , m
9		$\Lambda$ $\Omega$
10		then therson
11		United States District Judge
13	,	
14		,
15	Prepared by:	•
16	19. Gharles pen	
17	NORMA J. S	SCHROCK TO ALL
18	U.S. Departr	ey, Tax Division nent of Justice Box 683 a Station D.C. 20044 202) 305-0868
19	Ben Franklin	Sox 663 a Station D.C. 20044
20	Telephone: (	202) 305-0868
21	r I	
22		
23		
24		•

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAILING

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. I am employed by the Office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California. My business address is 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7211, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On, March 5, 2004 I served

#### [PROPOSED] INJUNCTION

on each person or entity named below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below and placing the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below 12 ||following our ordinary office practices. I am readily familiar 13 with the practice of this office for collection and processing 14 correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence 15  $\parallel$ is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the 16 |ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

Date of mailing: March 5, 2004

Place of mailing: Los Angeles, California;

See Attached.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing if true and correct.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on: March 5, 2004, Los Angeles, California.

1	USA vs. James A. Mattatall Case No. LA CV 03-7016 DDP(PJWx)	
2		
3	Service List:	
4	James A. Mattatall 23624 Kenworthy Avenue	
5	Harbor City, CA 90710	
6	,	
7	Courtesy Copy to:	
8	Debent C. Wetking Egguiro	
9	Robert S. Watkins, Esquire Chief, Civil Trial Section	
10		
11	Tax Division P.O. Box 683 Ben Franklin Station	
12	Washington, D.C. 20044-0683	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		