U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

DEC 0 8 2008

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN OPEN COURT
JAMES W. McCQRMACK, CLERK

v. NO. 4:08CR^{3Y:-}

:08CRY: 1/20 Diacksn

BRENT D. GEELS

INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS CHARGES THAT:

COUNT 1

- A. It is material to this Information that:
- 1. Twin City Bank is a financial institution whose deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
- 2. The defendant, BRENT D. GEELS, was an employee of Twin City Bank and held the positions of Vice President, Senior Vice President of Deposit Operations, and later Senior Vice President of Lending. The defendant had, in part, responsibility for maintaining customer relations as to deposits and addressing problems and concerns raised by customers regarding accounts. To perform these tasks, the defendant had access to bank records and customer accounts records.
- B. From in or about October 2000 through in or about June 2008, in the Eastern District of Arkansas and elsewhere,

BRENT D. GEELS

devised and executed a scheme and artifice to defraud Twin City Bank in that, the defendant, from time to time:

- 1. Transferred funds totaling \$1,237,037.65 from customer's accounts to his own account and entered records to conceal the source and ultimate destination of the funds;
- 2. Transferred funds totaling \$172,188.39, from customer's accounts to the account of another customer for the benefit of the other customer;
- 3. Transferred funds totaling \$705,336.34, from customer's accounts that were either recorded as interest paid by the bank to other customers or as fees and expenses paid to the bank by other customers.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344.

COUNT 2

On or about the dates listed below, in the Eastern District of Arkansas and elsewhere,

BRENT D. GEELS

knowingly engaged in a monetary transaction in an amount exceeding \$10,000 which effected interstate commerce with funds obtained by bank fraud, that is, the defendant withdrew funds from his account which he had derived by bank fraud, and transmitted the funds to others as set forth below:

FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS		MONETARY TRANSACTIONS			
DATE	AMOUNT	DATE	ACCOUNT	PAYEE	AMOUNT
12/23/04	\$90,000.00	12/24/04	0602	Citibank	\$15,242.81
8/19/05	\$50,000.00	8/19/05	9449	American Express	\$10,097.25
12/07/05	\$75,933.00	12/7/05	9449	American Express	\$10,321.61
				Citibank	\$14,000.00
5/23/06	\$33,902.00	5/23/06	9449	Citibank	\$13,737.93
7/12/06	\$20,000.00	7/13/06	9449	Citibank	\$10,502.36
12/27/06	\$35,000.00	12/27/06	9449	Citibank	\$10,192.03
				Chase Credit	\$12,830.31
11/30/07	\$23,000.00	11/30/07	9449	Citibank	\$10,678.34

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.

By MICHAEL D. JOHNSON Senior Legal Advisor

P. O. Box 1229

Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 501-340-2600