

MANNER AND MEANS

It was a part of the conspiracy that:

2. Defendant BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD was a member of a small and loosely affiliated drug organization that distributed cocaine base (“crack”) from various locations in and around Coatesville, Pennsylvania.

3. The organization used a “stash house” located in Coatesville, to store the cocaine and cocaine base (“crack”) that was distributed by this defendant and others in Coatesville, to store proceeds from the drug sales, and to maintain records from those sales.

4. Defendant BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD, among other duties for the organization, conducted street-level drug sales in Coatesville.

5. Buyers contacted defendant BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD or one of his co-conspirators by telephone and made arrangements to meet either at the Lincoln Highway location or another location, often a restaurant, in the Coatesville area.

6. Defendant BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD dispatched to the Lincoln Highway in Coatesville to retrieve the needed quantity of cocaine. He also met with the buyer directly, at the instruction of his co-conspirator, or met with his co-conspirator first, and then one or the other met with the buyer.

OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish its object, the following overt acts, among others, were committed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, elsewhere:

1. On or about March 2, 2006, defendant BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD, at the direction of Jose Martinez, sold approximately 52.5 grams of cocaine base (“crack”) to a customer, for which he was paid approximately \$1,500 in cash.

2. On or about March 20, 2006, defendant BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD at the direction of Jose Martinez, sold approximately 51.8 grams of cocaine base (“crack”) to a customer, for which he was paid approximately \$1,500 in cash. .

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

COUNT TWO

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about March 2, 2006, in South Coatesville, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD

knowingly and intentionally distributed, and aided and abetted the distribution of, 50 grams or more, that is, approximately 52.5 grams, of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (“crack”), a Schedule II controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THREE

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about March 20, 2006, in Caln, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania,
defendant

BRIAN DOUGLAS HOGGARD

knowingly and intentionally distributed, and aided and abetted the distribution of, 50 grams or more, that is, approximately 51.8 grams, of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (“crack”), a Schedule II controlled substance.

In violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1),(b)(1)(A), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

A TRUE BILL:

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

PATRICK L. MEEHAN
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY