

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : **CRIMINAL NO. 11-00095**
v. : **DATE FILED: February 18, 2011**
ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS : **VIOLATION:**
a/k/a ALVIN GLASS : **18 U.S.C. § 641 (conversion of government**
: **funds – 1 count)**
: **18 U.S.C. § 981 (criminal forfeiture)**

I N F O R M A T I O N

COUNT ONE

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES THAT:

At all times material to this information:

1. The Social Security Administration (“SSA”), an agency of the United States, administered certain government benefit programs, including the Disability Insurance Benefit (“DIB”) program, pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Sections 401 - 433.
2. The DIB program was an earned-right program funded through Social Security wage taxes. When an individual worked, that individual paid taxes on his or her wages into the Social Security trust fund. If that individual became “disabled” and paid sufficient Social Security taxes to become “insured,” as those terms were defined for purposes of the Social Security Act, he or she was eligible to receive disability insurance benefits, which were designed to replace part of the individual’s earnings lost due to disability.
3. DIB payments continued unless the disabled individual’s disability improved, or until the disabled individual returned to work or died.

4. In or about March 1961, defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS applied for and received Social Security number (SSN) xxx-xx-4711.

5. In or about February 1973, defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS applied for another SSN under the name “Alvin Glass,” and indicated that he had never previously applied for a SSN. Alvin Glass was issued SSN xxx-xx-4101.

6. In or about November 1994, defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS (xxx-xx-4711) applied with SSA for DIB alleging that a foot injury and high blood pressure prevented him from being gainfully employed.

7. From in or about October 1995 through in or about July 2010, defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS (xxx-xx-4711) collected monthly DIB payments from SSA. Each month, SSA directly deposited a DIB check into Glass’s Wachovia bank account.

8. In or about 1991, while he was receiving DIB payments from SSA, defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS (xxx-xx-4711), using the name “Alvin Glass” and SSN xxx-xx-4101, began working for Shannon Transport Incorporated as a driver. Defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS worked continuously for Shannon Transport Incorporated from in or about 1991 through in or about October 2010 using the name “Alvin Glass” and SSN xxx-xx-4101.

9. Defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS did not notify SSA that he was employed and receiving income under SSN xxx-xx-4101 as “Alvin Glass.” Therefore, SSA continued to deposit monthly DIB checks into Glass’s Wachovia bank account.

10. The undisclosed employment and income received by defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS made him ineligible to receive SSA DIB payments.

11. Defendant ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS improperly received approximately \$241,119.20 in DIB payments despite that he was employed and receiving income and was therefore ineligible for such benefits.

12. From in or about October 1995 through in or about July 2010, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendant

ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS

knowingly embezzled, stole, purloined or converted to his own use money of the United States in excess of \$1,000, that is, approximately \$241,119.20 in DIB benefits payments, which he was ineligible to receive.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 641.

**ZANE DAVID MEMEGER
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY**

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES THAT:

1. As a result of the violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 641, set forth in this information, defendant

ANTHONY ALVIN GLASS

shall forfeit to the United States of America any property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of such offense, including, but not limited to the sum of approximately \$241,119.20.

2. If any of the property subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

- (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), incorporating Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant(s) up to the value of the property subject to forfeiture.

All pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and Title 18,
United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C).

ZANE DAVID MEMEGER
United States Attorney