Findings from the Recent Census of Publicly Funded Forensic Crime Laboratories
Project history

• National Survey of DNA Crime Laboratories
  • 1998 & 2001

• Census of Publicly Funded Forensic Crime Laboratories*

• Recent findings available in two reports (www.bjs.gov)
  • Publicly Funded Forensic Crime Laboratories: Resources and Services, 2014

*Includes agencies that employ one or more full-time scientists with a minimum of a bachelor’s degree in a natural science or closely related field whose principal function is examining physical evidence in criminal matters and providing reports and testimony to courts regarding such evidence.
Data collection

• Census administered to 409 federal, state, and local crime labs in 2015.

• Questions focused on workload and operations of crime labs during 2014.

• 88% (360) crime labs provided responses.

• Statistical weights developed to adjust for non-response and produce national estimates.

• Project included a separate pilot study of federal and state digital evidence labs.
Census of Publicly Funded Forensic Crime Laboratories

Resources and Services

- Staffing
- Annual operating budget
- Number of requests for forensic services received, completed, and backlogged
- Procedures used to complete work
- Outsourcing of forensic services
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Quality Assurance Practices

• Lab accreditations
• Analyst certifications
• Written code of ethics
• Written standards for performance expectations
• Proficiency testing
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**Number of full-time employees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of jurisdiction</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All labs</strong></td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>12,200</td>
<td>13,100</td>
<td>14,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of employees per lab</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of labs</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Estimates include both full-time and part-time employees, with a weight of 0.5 assigned to part-time employees.
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**Staffing and Budgets**

- Of the 14,300 full-time employees in 2014 –
  - 60% forensic analysts/examiners
  - 13% managers
  - 9% clerical support
  - 7% technical support
  - 6% crime scene technician
  - 5% other employees.

- Total budget for all crime labs in 2014 was $1.7 billion, compared to $1 billion in 2002.

- 4 in 10 crime labs charged fees for completing forensic services.
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Measuring workload

• Number of requests for forensic services
  • New requests received in 2014
  • Requests completed in 2014
  • Pending requests at yearend 2014
  • Backlogged requests at yearend 2014

Request - Submission of physical evidence from a criminal case. A case can involve multiple requests for different types of services.

Backlogged request – A pending request not completed for 30 days or more.
Nearly 4 million requests for forensic services received in 2014

Note: “Other requests” include trace evidence, firearms/toolmarks, forensic biology casework, latent prints, digital evidence, questioned documents, and impressions.
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570,100 requests backlogged at yearend 2014, down from 895,500 at yearend 2009

Reduction in backlog of offender/arrestee DNA samples led to overall decline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of request</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All requests</td>
<td>895,500</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled substances</td>
<td>139,200</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital evidence</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearms/toolmarks</td>
<td>48,300</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic biology casework</td>
<td>103,500</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic biology from convicted offender/arrestee samples</td>
<td>502,500</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impressions</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent prints</td>
<td>49,500</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questioned documents</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicology</td>
<td>27,600</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace evidence</td>
<td>14,700</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-- Less than 0.5%.
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*Percent of crime labs that outsourced forensic requests*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of jurisdiction</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All labs</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of labs</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--Percentage not presented for federal labs in 2005 due to low response rate.
88% of crime labs accredited in 2014, up from 70% in 2002

- Percentage for 2005 includes federal labs.
- Percentage not presented for federal labs in 2005 due to low response rate.
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**Types of lab accreditations in 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of jurisdiction</th>
<th>Any accreditation</th>
<th>ASCLD/LAB, Legacy&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ASCLD/LAB, International&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FQS-International&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All labs</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Detail does not sum to total because a lab could be accredited by more than one organization.

<sup>a</sup> American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board.

<sup>b</sup> Forensic Quality Services.

-- Less than 0.5%.
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7 in 10 crime labs employed at least one externally certified analyst in 2014
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*Written code of ethics and standards*

- Among the 409 publicly funded crime labs in 2014 –
  - 94% maintained a written code of ethics
  - 75% had written standards for performance expectations.
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Percent of crime labs that conducted proficiency testing

- **Any testing**: 97% (2009), 98% (2014)
- **Declared examination**: 93% (2009), 95% (2014)
- **Random case reanalysis**: 34% (2009), 35% (2014)
- **Blind examination**: 10% (2009), 10% (2014)
Contact Information

Matthew Durose
Supervisory Statistician
Bureau of Justice Statistics
Matt.Durose@usdoj.gov
202-307-0765
Upcoming Data Collections:

2017 LEMAS Forensic Science Supplement and Census of Medical Examiner and Coroner Offices
Overview

2017 LEMAS Forensic Science Supplement (FSS)
  • Purpose
  • Key estimates
  • Schedule

Census of Medical Examiner and Coroner Offices (CMEC)
  • Purpose
  • Key estimates
  • Schedule
2017 LEMAS Forensic Science Supplement (FSS)-
Purpose

• Understand the scope of forensic activity carried out by law enforcement agencies.

• Explore staffing and agency resources committed to forensic services.

• Learn about the accreditation and certification standards for those engaged in providing forensics services.
2017 LEMAS Forensic Science Supplement (FSS)-
Key estimates

• Agency characteristics
• Scope of forensic activity undertaken within the agency
• Staffing
  • Number
  • The use of sworn and non-sworn personnel
• Standards
  • General - agency accreditation
  • Individual - training, certifications, continuing education
• Process for submitting forensic evidence
  • Identify crime labs used for analysis
• For agencies that do not have any forensics units
  • Who handles collecting and analyzing forensic evidence
2017 LEMAS Forensic Science Supplement (FSS)-
Schedule

• Pretesting
  • Feasibility – January 2017
  • Cognitive interviews – February 2017

• OMB
  • Clearance - August 2017

• Data collection
  • October 2017 to April 2018

• Data processing
  • April 2018 to June 2018

• Analysis and reporting
  • June 2018 to November 2018
Census of Medical Examiners and Coroner Offices (CMEC)- Purpose

- 2,400 ME/C Offices are responsible for determining the cause and manner of death and operate at the State and Local level (e.g. county, city).

- ME/C offices investigate deaths that are sudden and unexpected, and all suspicious/violent deaths.

- 2009 NAS report *Strengthening Forensic Science in the U.S.: A Path Forward*
  - Several recommendations to improve the quality and practice of ME/C activities.

- Survey will provide updated ME/C data for national MDI activities that will inform policy and decision-making at the Federal, State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial levels.
BJS conducted a Census of 2,000 ME/C offices in 2004.

- ME/C systems
- Workload
- Personnel
- Types of deaths investigated
Census of Medical Examiners and Coroner Offices (CMEC)- Key estimates

• Collecting data similar to the 2004 BJS census- trends analysis
  • Personnel
  • Budgets
  • Workload
  • Number of unidentified human decedents handled by ME/C offices
• Number of examinations performed and autopsies conducted, and the number of cremations.
• Forensic capabilities: DNA testing, toxicology, fingerprinting, advanced imaging techniques
• Functions outsourced
• Frequency of testing and case circumstances
• National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs)
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• Distance to nearest autopsy facility
• Rationale regarding why certain deaths were referred to ME/C offices, but were not accepted, investigated or autopsied
• Number of certified personnel
• Accredited and whether non-accredited offices will pursue accreditation
• Co-located with medical schools/other agencies and whether resources are shared regionally
• Records and evidence retention policies
Census of Medical Examiners and Coroner Offices (CMEC)- Schedule

• Publish solicitation
  • Winter 2017

• Award Grant
  • Spring 2017

• Develop information collection materials
  • Summer 2017

• Data collection
  • Summer – Winter 2017

• Data Processing
  • Winter 2018 – Spring 2018

• Analysis and reporting
  • Spring 2018 – Fall 2018
Contact Information

Anthony Whyde
Statistician
Bureau of Justice Statistics

Anthony.Whyde@usdoj.gov
202-307-0765