# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15

1 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney 2 BRIAN K DELANEÝ ANGELA L. SCOTT NOV 1 9 2015 Assistant U.S. Attorney 3 U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 À (559) 497-4000 DEPUTY CLERK (559) 497-4099 FAX 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America 7 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. CASE NO. 13 1: 1 5 CR 00326LJOSKO Plaintiff. 14 **VIOLATIONS:** V. 15 21 U.S.C. §§846 & 841(a)(1) -CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE AND 16 POSSESS WITH THE INTENT TO DAMACIO DIAZ DISTRIBUTE METHAMPHETAMINE; 18 17 Defendant. U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) – FEDERAL PROGRAMS BRIBERY (3 Counts); 21 18 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) – POSSESSION AND ATTEMPTED POSSESSION WITH THE 19 INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE METHAMPHETAMINE (9 Counts); 18 20 U.S.C. § 2511 (1) (e) - INTENTIONAL DISCLÖSURE OF WIRETAP 21 INFORMATION IN ORDER TO OBSTRUCT, IMPEDE, OR INTERFERE 22 WITH A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION: 26 U.S.C. §7206(1) - MAKING AND 23 SUBSCRIBING À FALSE TAX RETURN (2 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C); 21 U.S.C. § 853; 28 U.S.C. § 2461 -24 CRIMINAL FORFEITURE 25 26 INDICTMENT

27

The Grand Jury charges:

DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

# **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

- 1. The Bakersfield Police Department ("BPD") was an agency of the City of Bakersfield, State and Eastern District of California. BPD was vested by Section 830 et. seq. of the California Penal Code with law enforcement powers, as well as the exercise and performance of all duties and obligations imposed on the agency by law.
- 2. In each calendar year, from 2011 through 2015, the BPD received more than \$10,000 in funds from the United States Government in the form of grants, contracts, subsidies, loans, guarantees, insurance, and other forms of federal assistance.
- 3. Defendant DAMACIO DIAZ was a sworn police officer with the City of Bakersfield. As a sworn police officer, the Defendant was entrusted with the investigation of criminal offenses, was authorized to carry a firearm, and was empowered to make arrests and to conduct searches and seizures in furtherance of his duty to enforce criminal laws.
- 4. At various times, Defendant DIAZ was assigned to the BPD's Narcotics Unit. As a narcotics officer, Defendant DIAZ was responsible for the investigation and arrest of individuals involved in the illegal sale, distribution, and possession of narcotics.
- 5. At various times, Defendant DIAZ was assigned as a BPD narcotics officer to the Drug Enforcement Administration Task Force (DEATF) and the Southern Tri-County Task Force of the Central Valley High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA). The HIDTA was a multi-jurisdictional drug task force made up of law enforcement officers from the BPD, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), the Department of Homeland Security, the Kern County

Sheriff's Office, the Tulare County Sheriff's Office, and the California Highway Patrol. The

HIDTA was responsible for investigating violators of both state and federal criminal narcotic laws. HIDTA received funding from the United States Government.

6. As a narcotics officer with the BPD, the DEATF, and the HIDTA, Defendant DIAZ worked with and oversaw the use of paid and unpaid criminal parcotic informants. Criminal

- worked with and oversaw the use of paid and unpaid criminal narcotic informants. Criminal narcotic informants were utilized by Defendant DIAZ to make controlled purchases of narcotics from individuals suspected of being involved in the drug trade. Controlled purchases of narcotics were made to obtain probable cause to seek search warrants. According to BPD policy, narcotics obtained by Defendant DIAZ from controlled purchases were to be marked and secured in the evidence room of the BPD. BPD officers were allowed to maintain possession of narcotics only during their initial seizure or recovery. BPD officers were to most promptly deliver the narcotics to the departmental evidence room.
- 7. Pursuant to BPD, DEATF, HIDTA and Kern County Sheriff's Department policy, criminal informants were not allowed to break any laws or commit any crimes. In addition, informants were not allowed to handle any drugs unless specifically authorized to do so.

  Informants were also not allowed to carry any weapon or firearm.
- 8. Pursuant to BPD policy, if an informant was arrested, was pending arrest, or was involved in a criminal investigation, the officer responsible for controlling or handling the informant was not allowed to attempt to dissuade officers from their proposed enforcement action.
- 9. Defendant DIAZ abused his position of trust and authority as a BPD Police Officer and as a HIDTA Agent in that on more than one occasion he secured narcotics in the course of his duties as a police officer, but intentionally failed to submit the seized narcotics to the BPD evidence room for secure and lawful retention. Instead, he retained possession of the seized

## Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 4 of 23

narcotics for his own unlawful gain and purpose.

- 10. Defendant DIAZ abused his position of trust and authority as a BPD Police Officer and as a HIDTA Agent in that he embezzled and converted for his own personal use funds of the BPD and the HIDTA that were intended to be used for the payment of informants and for the payment for narcotics in controlled purchases.
- Defendant DIAZ abused his position of trust and authority as a BPD Police

  Officer and as a DEATF and HIDTA Agent in that he wrongfully disclosed the names and identities of criminal informants of the BPD, HIDTA, and the Kern County Sheriff's Department to a convicted felon in violation of departmental policies, the disclosure of which potentially exposed the informants to danger and retribution for their cooperation.
- 12. Defendant DIAZ abused his position of trust and authority as a BPD Police Officer in that he wrongfully disclosed to a subject of a wiretap investigation that the subject had been intercepted on the wire, a disclosure which significantly compromised the criminal investigation.
- 13. Defendant DIAZ abused his position of trust and authority as a BPD Police Officer and as a HIDTA Agent in that in return for the unlawful payment of monies and bribes, he agreed to tip-off and protect a known drug dealer's illegal narcotic distribution business from law enforcement authorities.

**COUNT ONE:** [21 U.S.C. §§ 846 & 841(a)(1) - Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury charges:

DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

## Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 5 of 23

- 14. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 15. From on or about April 18, 2012 to on or about February 20, 2015 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally conspire with Guillermo Magallanes, to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute, methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846 and 841(a)(1).

# **OBJECTS, MEANS, AND METHODS**

- 16. The principal objects of the conspiracy were to make money from the sale and distribution of methamphetamine and to conceal this criminal activity from law enforcement.
- 17. It was further part of the conspiracy that Defendant DIAZ, by virtue of his position as a sworn police officer of the BPD, would assist and further Guillermo Magallanes' illegal drug trafficking activity by providing Magallanes with intelligence on law enforcement practices and activities, tip-off Magallanes to any potential or ongoing police investigations relating to him, and when possible provide protection to Magallanes from impending search, seizure, arrest, and prosecution. Magallanes was convicted of conspiring to distribute and possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine in United States District Court on September 17, 2015.
- 18. It was further part of the conspiracy that Guillermo Magallanes would pay monies and bribes to Defendant DIAZ in return for DIAZ's protection of Magallanes and his illegal drug business.
- 19. It was further part of the conspiracy that from time to time Defendant DIAZ would purchase methamphetamine from Guillermo Magallanes for his own personal gain or purpose.

20. It was further part of the conspiracy that Guillermo Magallanes, at the direction of Defendant DIAZ, purchased two "burner" cellular phones which were intended to be used solely to promote, facilitate, and conceal their illegal activity.

- 21. It was further part of the conspiracy that Defendant DIAZ and Guillermo Magallanes would meet secretly at clandestine locations, including the upper level of the Valley Mall parking garage located off of Wible Road in Bakersfield, CA, to discuss and facilitate their illegal activity.
- 22. It was further part of the conspiracy that when Guillermo Magallanes property was searched and seized or when law enforcement was seeking his arrest or prosecution,

  Defendant DIAZ would intercede on Magallanes' behalf and attempt to stop the investigations and return seized property.
- 23. It was further part of the conspiracy that Defendant DIAZ, by virtue of his position of trust and authority as a BPD Police Officer and as a DEATF and HIDTA Agent, wrongfully disclosed the names and identities of criminal informants to Guillermo Magallanes so that Magallanes would not conduct drug business with these informants and become a target of law enforcement.
- 24. It is further alleged that Defendant DIAZ conspired to distribute and possess with intent to distribute 500 grams and more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, and 50 grams and more of methamphetamine (actual) and that DIAZ knew or should have reasonably known that these quantities were involved.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846 and 841(b)(1)(A).

<u>COUNT TWO</u>: [18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) - Federal Programs Bribery]

The Grand Jury further charges:

DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 25. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 and 16 through 24 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. From on or about April 18, 2012 to on or about December 31, 2012 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, a sworn police officer and agent of the BPD, did corruptly solicit, demand, accept, and agree to accept something of value, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with the business, transaction, and series of transactions of the BPD involving something of value of \$5,000 or more, namely: Defendant DIAZ, accepted over \$5,000 in cash payments from Guillermo Magallanes, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with his official acts as a police officer of BPD.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 666(a)(1)(B).

**<u>COUNT THREE</u>**: [18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) - Federal Programs Bribery]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 27. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 and 16 through 24 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. From on or about January 1, 2013 to on or about December 31, 2013 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, a sworn police officer and agent of the BPD, did corruptly solicit, demand, accept, and agree to accept something of value, intending to be influenced and rewarded in

# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 8 of 23

connection with the business, transaction, and series of transactions of the BPD involving something of value of \$5,000 or more, namely: Defendant DIAZ, accepted over \$5,000 in cash payments from Guillermo Magallanes, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with his official acts as a police officer of BPD.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 666(a)(1)(B).

# **COUNT FOUR:** [18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) - Federal Programs Bribery]

The Grand Jury further charges:

## DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 29. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 and 16 through 24 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. From on or about January 1, 2014 to on or about December 31, 2014 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, was a sworn police officer and agent of BPD, did corruptly solicit, demand, accept, and agree to accept something of value, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with the business, transaction, and series of transactions of the BPD involving something of value of \$5,000 or more, namely: Defendant DIAZ, accepted over \$5,000 in cash payments from Guillermo Magallanes, intending to be influenced and rewarded in connection with his official acts as a police officer of BPD.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 666(a)(1)(B).

# <u>COUNT FIVE</u>: [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

DAMACIO DIAZ,

4 5

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 31. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. On or about March 22, 2011, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

# Substantial Step

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 33. On or about March 22, 2011, the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotics informant to make a controlled purchase of approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.
- 34. On or about March 22, 2011, after securing the methamphetamine from the controlled purchase, the Defendant agreed with the criminal informant to divide the methamphetamine into two separate portions and to dilute the portion to be returned to the BPD evidence locker with "Epsom Salts" so as it would weigh approximately one ounce.
- 35. On or about March 22, 2011, the Defendant checked the diluted portion of methamphetamine which now contained "Epsom Salts" into the BPD evidence room as if it were the true and actual narcotic purchased by the criminal narcotic informant on or about March 21, 2011.

- 36. On or about March 22, 2011, the Defendant agreed with the criminal narcotic informant that the informant would sell the undiluted portion of the purchased methamphetamine and split the proceeds from the sale with the Defendant.
- 37. On or about March 29, 2011, the criminal informant paid Diaz his share of the sale of the undiluted portion of the controlled purchase.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT SIX: [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 38. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. On or about April 29, 2011 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

# Substantial Step

- 40. In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:
- 41. On or about April 29, 2011, the Defendant, while acting in an undercover capacity, made a controlled purchase of approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from a

person known to the Grand Jury and referred to herein as Person 1, an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.

- 42. On or about April 29, 2011, in violation of BPD policy, the Defendant failed to book the methamphetamine obtained from the controlled buy into the BPD evidence room and maintained possession with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.
- 43. On or about July 14, 2011 after the Defendant learned that new criminal charges were to be filed against Person 1 based on the April 29, 2011 controlled buy, the Defendant caused an ounce of methamphetamine to be booked into the BPD evidence room related to that incident.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

<u>COUNT SEVEN</u>: [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

## DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 44. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. On or about September 15, 2011 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

## Substantial Step

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 46. On or about September 15, 2011 the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotic informant to make a controlled purchase of approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.
- 47. On or about September 15, 2011, after the controlled purchase of the methamphetamine, the Defendant took possession of the methamphetamine from the BPD criminal informant.
- 48. On or about September 15, 2011, in violation of BPD policy the Defendant failed to book the methamphetamine obtained from the controlled buy into the BPD evidence room and maintained possession with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

**COUNT EIGHT:** [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 49. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. On or about June 19, 2012 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II

controlled substance.

## **Substantial Step**

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 51. On or about June 19, 2012 the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotic informant to make a controlled purchase of approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.
- 52. On or about June 19, 2012, after the controlled purchase of the methamphetamine, the Defendant took possession of the methamphetamine from the BPD criminal informant.
- 53. On or about June 19, 2012, in violation of BPD policy the Defendant failed to book the methamphetamine obtained from the controlled buy into the BPD evidence room and maintained possession with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

**COUNT NINE:** [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 54. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. On or about August 7, 2012, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II

# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 14 of 23

controlled substance.

## Substantial Step

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 56. On or about August 7, 2012, the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotic informant to make a controlled purchase of approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.
- 57. On or about August 7, 2012, after the controlled purchase of the methamphetamine, the Defendant took possession of the methamphetamine from the BPD criminal informant.
- 58. On or about August 7, 2012, in violation of BPD policy the Defendant failed to book the methamphetamine obtained from the controlled buy into the BPD evidence room and maintained possession with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code Sections, 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

**COUNT TEN:** [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

# DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 59. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. On or about September 20, 2012, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and

intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

# Substantial Step

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 61. On or about September 20, 2012 the Defendant, in his capacity as BPD narcotics officer assigned to the HIDTA, caused a uniformed BPD dog handler officer to make a stop of a vehicle operated by two individuals from Yakima, Washington. In conducting an initial search of the vehicle, the BPD dog handler uncovered an ice chest containing multiple bags of methamphetamine. The BPD dog handler did not seize any evidence from the vehicle.
- 62. On or about September 20, 2012, after the initial search of the vehicle, the BPD dog handler left the scene leaving the Defendant with the responsibility of securing the methamphetamine and booking it promptly into the BPD evidence room.
- 63. On or about September 27, 2012, in violation of BPD policy, the Defendant booked approximately one pound of methamphetamine from the September 20, 2012 vehicle stop into evidence. The methamphetamine booked into evidence was only a portion of the methamphetamine contained in the ice chest. Defendant maintained possession of the remainder of the methamphetamine seized from the vehicle with the intent to sell it for his own personal gain.
- 64. It is further alleged that Defendant DIAZ did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute 500 grams and more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, and that DIAZ knew or should have reasonably known that these quantities were involved.

# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 16 of 23

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(A) and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

# COUNT ELEVEN: [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury charges:

## DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 65. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 66. On or about December 6, 2012, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

## Substantial Step

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 67. On or about December 6, 2012, the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotic informant to make a controlled purchase of approximately one half ounce of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.
- 68. On or about December 6, 2012, after the controlled purchase of the methamphetamine, the Defendant took possession of the methamphetamine from the BPD criminal informant.

# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 17 of 23

69. On or about December 6, 2012, in violation of BPD policy, the Defendant failed to book the methamphetamine obtained from the controlled buy into the BPD evidence room and maintained possession with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18 United States Code, Section 2.

<u>COUNT TWELVE</u>: [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 70. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. On or about December 7, 2012, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

# **Substantial Step**

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

72. On or about December 7, 2012, the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotic informant to obtain approximately a quarter pound of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine. The criminal informant obtained the methamphetamine without charge from the individual, based upon the promise that he would

return the narcotics after he showed them to the presumed interested party, as the narcotics were only to be used for show.

- 73. On or about December 7, 2012, the Defendant took possession of the quarter pound of methamphetamine from the BPD criminal informant, and refused initially to return the narcotics to the criminal informant. Defendant made the criminal informant leave after taking possession of the methamphetamine.
- 74. Later, on or about Decembert 7, 2012, Defendant met again with the criminal informant and agreed to return the methamphetamine. Unknown to the criminal informant at the time, the Defendant removed approximately one ounce of the methamphetamine and maintained possession of that methamphetamine with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, and Title 18 United States Code, Section 2.

**COUNT THIRTEEN:** [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 - Possession and Attempted Possession with the Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 75. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. On or about March 18, 2013 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, DAMACIO DIAZ, Defendant herein, did knowingly and intentionally possess and attempt to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

## Substantial Step

# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 19 of 23

In attempting to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and to accomplish the object thereof, the Defendant committed, among others, the following substantial steps in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California:

- 77. On or about March 18, 2013, the Defendant caused a BPD criminal narcotics informant to make a controlled purchase of approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from an individual involved in the illegal sale of methamphetamine.
- 78. On or about March 18, 2013, after the controlled purchase of the methamphetamine, the Defendant took possession of the methamphetamine from the BPD criminal informant.
- 79. On or about March 18, 2013, in violation of BPD policy, the Defendant failed to book the methamphetamine obtained from the controlled buy into the BPD evidence room and maintained possession with the intent to sell the narcotics for his own personal gain.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), and 846, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

**COUNT FOURTEEN:** 

[18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)(e) - Intentional Disclosure of Wiretap Information in Order to Obstruct, Impede, and Interfere with a Criminal Investigation]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ,

Defendant herein, as follows:

- 80. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 and 16 through 24 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- That in or about June 20, 2014 through on or about July 10, 2014 in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, Defendant DIAZ, while a sworn police officer

## Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 20 of 23

with the City of Bakersfield, intentionally disclosed to another person the contents of a wire, oral, and electronic communication intercepted pursuant to a wiretap authorized by the Superior Court of Kern County upon the submission of a DEA affidavit on June 5, 2014, Wiretap Number 14-04 and in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §2511(2)(a)(ii), knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of such communication in connection with a criminal investigation, having received and obtained the information in connection with a criminal investigation, and with the intent to improperly obstruct, impede, and interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation. Specifically, on or about June 20, 2014, Defendant DIAZ was advised by fellow BPD Detective 1 that DIAZ's informant - Guillermo Magallanes - was intercepted on a DEA wiretap involved in the purchase of pounds of methamphetamine and would no longer be a viable informant to Detective 1. Shortly thereafter, but no later than on or about June 30, 2014, Defendant DIAZ intentionally disclosed to Guillermo Magallanes that Magallanes was intercepted on a DEA wire and that he should be careful and lay low.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2511(1)(e).

COUNT FIFTEEN: [Making and Subscribing a False Income Tax Return - 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)]

The Grand Jury further charges:

#### DAMACIO DIAZ

Defendant herein as follows:

- 82. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 and 16 through 24 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. On or about April 4, 2013, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, Defendant DIAZ, a resident of McFarland, California, did willfully make and subscribe a joint U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, for the calendar year 2012, which was

# Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 21 of 23

verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That income tax return, which was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, reported total income in the amount of \$168,485, whereas, as he then and there knew and believed, he received total income in addition to the amount stated in the return.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT SIXTEEN: [Making and Subscribing a False Income Tax Return - 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)]

The Grand Jury further charges:

## DAMACIO DIAZ

Defendant herein as follows:

- 84. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 and 16 through 24 of this Indictment are hereby repeated, re-alleged, and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 85. On or about February 13, 2014, in the County of Kern, State and Eastern District of California, Defendant DIAZ, a resident of McFarland, California, did willfully make and subscribe a joint U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, for the calendar year 2013, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That income tax return, which was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, reported total income in the amount of \$143,275 whereas, as he then and there knew and believed he received total income in addition to the amount stated in the return.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: [18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 21 U.S.C. §853, and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture]

## Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 22 of 23

The Grand Jury further alleges:

The allegations set forth in the above Indictment are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C); 21 U.S.C. § 853; and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

Pursuant to one or more of the following: 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C); 21 U.S.C. § 853; and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged as Counts 1 through 14 in this Indictment, any property, real or personal, which constitutes, or is derived from, or is traceable to the proceeds obtained directly or indirectly from the commission of the criminal conduct, or scheme, or conspiracy, alleged in this Indictment; or any property traceable to such property, shall be forfeited to the United States, including but not limited to the following:

- 1. 2011 Toyota Sequoia SR5, Vehicle Identification Number 5TDZY5819BS037141;
- 2. Approximately \$49,000.00 seized from Valley Republic Bank account number 01802925, held in the name of DAMACIO Diaz;
- 3. Real Property located at 157 Famoso Hills Road, McFarland, California, Kern County, APN: 074-020-72-00-4; and
- 4. A sum of money equal to the total amount of proceeds obtained as a result of the offenses of which the defendant is convicted.

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, and upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged as Counts 1 and 5-14 in this Indictment, any property, real or personal, that is used or is intended to be used to facilitate or used commit the criminal conduct, or scheme, or conspiracy alleged in this Indictment; or is involved in or intended to be involved in the criminal conduct, or scheme, or conspiracy alleged in this Indictment; or any property traceable to such property, shall be forfeited to the United States, including but not limited to the following:

- 1. 2011 Toyota Sequoia SR5, Vehicle Identification Number 5TDZY5819BS037141;
- 2. Approximately \$49,000.00 seized from Valley Republic Bank account number 01802925, held in the name of DAMACIO Diaz; and
- 3. Real Property located at 157 Famoso Hills Road, McFarland, California, Kern

5

\_\_\_

## Case 1:15-cr-00326-LJO-SKO Document 1 Filed 11/19/15 Page 23 of 23

County, APN: 074-020-72-00-4.

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853 and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), if any property subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of Defendant(s) or agents of Defendant(s) or upon direction by the Defendant(s):

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot

be divided without difficulty, the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of any other property of the Defendants, up to the value of the property subject to forfeiture, including but not limited to a personal forfeiture money judgment, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL.

/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA

**FOREPERSON** 

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney

Mark E. Cullers

22 By:

MARK E. CULLERS, Assistant U.S. Attorney Chief, Fresno Office

2425

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26

27