Case 2:16-cr-00071-APG-PAL *SEALED* Document 1 Filed 03/09/16 Page 2 of 24 FILED RECEIVED **ENTERED** DANIEL G. BOGDEN SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD United States Attorney District of Nevada JOSH BURKE MAR - 91016 Trial Attorney 3 Consumer Protection Branch CRANE M. POMERANTZ CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Assistant United States Attorney DISTRICT OF NEVADA BY: 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South DEPUTY Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-388-6336 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 -oOo-9 10 SEALED CRIMINAL INDICTMENT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Case No.: 2:16-cr-Plaintiff, 12 **VIOLATIONS:** VS. 13 18 U.S.C. § 1343 GARETH DAVID LONG, 18 U.S.C. § 1028A 14 18 U.S.C. § 1957 Defendant. 15 16 17 At times material to this Indictment: 18 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS 19 1. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG was a citizen of the United Kingdom 20 residing in Cedar Hill, Texas. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG owned and operated 21 V Internet Corp., LLC ("V Internet"), a Nevada corporation with offices in Las Vegas, 22 Nevada, and Cedar Hill, Texas. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG also incorporated 23 and controlled the Nevada corporation Pro Check, LLC. 24

- 2. V Internet was a third-party payment processor, which is an intermediary between banks and merchants. V Internet opened bank accounts in its own name and used these accounts to conduct banking activities on behalf of its merchant-clients. At least as early as 2009, V Internet operated under the name Altcharge. At least as early as December 2011, V Internet also operated under the name Check Process.
- 3. From December 2011 through July 2013, V Internet conducted business using bank accounts at CommerceWest Bank in Irvine, California.
- 4. V Internet specialized in a payment mechanism called a remotely-created check ("RCC"). An RCC was a check created not by the checking account holder but rather by a third party using the account holder's name, address, and bank account information. Unlike an ordinary check, an RCC was not signed by the account holder. In place of the account holder's signature, an RCC contained a statement claiming that the account holder had authorized the check.
- 5. An RCC could be deposited into the account of the payee and processed through the banking system in the same manner as an ordinary check.
- 6. From April 2012 until July 2012, V Internet created and deposited RCCs for a telemarketing company (Company A). In or around late July 2012, CommerceWest Bank refused to allow V Internet to deposit any more RCCs on behalf of Company A due to concerns that Company A was engaged in telemarketing fraud targeting the elderly.
- 7. From in or about April 2012 through in or about December 2012, V Internet created and deposited RCCs for a company that purported to assist consumers with finding a payday loan from an online lender (Company B). V Internet processed hundreds of

5

7

10

9

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

thousands of \$30 RCCs on behalf of Company B through V Internet's accounts at CommerceWest Bank. In or about late December 2012, V Internet stopped processing RC¢s for Company B.

- Because V Internet created and deposited RCCs for Company A and Company B, V Internet possessed the personal and financial information of each account holder charged by Company A and Company B, including names, addresses, bank account numbers, and bank routing numbers necessary to create RCCs drawn on those accounts.
- Many transactions V Internet processed for Company A and B were 9. returned. An RCC "return" refers to a transaction that was refused or reversed by the account holder's bank because the check was drawn upon: (i) a bank account that was nonexistent, closed, or contained insufficient funds; and/or (ii) a bank account of an individual who had not agreed to purchase any product or service and did not authorize a withdrawal from his or her account and alerted his or her bank in time to reverse the transaction (usually within 30-60 days) thereby effectuating a return of the money to the account.

WIRE FRAUD 18 U.S.C. § 1343

- The Introductory Allegations of this Indictment are realleged and 10. incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- Beginning in or about January 2013 and continuing through at least July 11. 2013, in the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendant,

GARETH DAVID LONG

did knowingly, and with intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a scheme and

artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and

fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses,

representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, and, for the purpose

of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, did knowingly transmit

and cause to be transmitted in interstate commerce by means of wire communications,

certain writings, signs, and signals.

Object of the Scheme to Defraud

12. The object of the scheme was, under the fraudulent pretext of providing assistance to consumers in securing a payday loan, to defraud account holders and financial institutions by debiting and attempting to debit millions of dollars from hundreds of thousands of bank accounts of unwitting account holders throughout the United States who did not authorize debits of their accounts.

The Scheme to Defraud

- 13. In or around late December 2012, GARETH DAVID LONG created the façade that he was operating a merchant business that offered assistance to consumers in finding payday loans.
- 14. In January 2013, Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG registered the website www.fastloanfast.com. In February 2013, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG registered the website www.loan4utoday.com. In April 2013, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG registered the website www.fastloan4me.com.

6

4

9

17

19

How LONG Obtained Victims' Account Information 18.

- Other than the different names, these three websites were largely identical. 15. The websites purported to allow consumers to apply for a payday loan and match applicants with lenders.
- From on or about January 8, 2013 through on or about July 12, 2013, 16. defendant GARETH DAVID LONG, through his company, V Internet, created and deposited thousands of \$30 RCCs nearly every business day. These RCCs withdrew money from victims' bank accounts.
- But, these RCC's were not generated by visits to these websites. Rather, 17. defendant GARETH DAVID LONG charged the accounts of hundreds of thousands of individuals who had never visited these websites or authorized a charge by defendant GARETH DAVID LONG's company.

- Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG learned of many of these account holders from "lead lists." From January 2013 through July 2013, using the company name Pro Check LLC, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG purchased lead lists, which were electronic files that each contained detailed personal and financial information of thousands of bank account holders. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG paid approximately \$0.25 to \$0.35 per account holder for these lead lists.
- 19. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG created and deposited hundreds of thousands of \$30 RCCs using the personal and financial information contained on these lead lists.

20. In addition to the RCCs created from the lead lists, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG created and deposited hundreds of thousands of \$30 RCCs drawn on the bank accounts of account holders who had previously been charged by V Internet's prior merchants, Company A and Company B.

Additional, Repeat Charges Against Victims' Accounts

- 21. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG created and deposited multiple, additional \$30 RCCs drawn on the accounts of hundreds of thousands of his unwitting victims, whether he knew the account holders' information by way of lead lists or by way of Companies A and B. For tens of thousands of these account holders, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG created and deposited four or more RCCs drawn on each account.
- 22. V Internet's RCCs contained false statements that allowed the RCCs to be processed through the banking system. Despite the fact that hundreds of thousands of account holders had not authorized a payment to defendant GARETH DAVID LONG's company, V Internet's RCCs contained the following statement, "NO SIGNATURE REQUIRED. This payment has been authorized by your depositor," followed by the account holder's typed name. Account holders' banks relied on this statement to accept the RCCs as valid withdrawals from their customers' accounts.
- 23. V Internet's RCCs were made payable to one of four entities or websites associated with defendant GARETH DAVID LONG. Numerous RCCs were made payable to defendant GARETH DAVID LONG's payment processing entity, Check

Process. Numerous RCCs were made payable to the name of one of defendant GARETH DAVID LONG's three websites: FastLoanFast, Loan4uToday, and FastLoan4me.

- 24. From January through July 2013, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG created and deposited more than 750,000 RCCs, totaling more than \$22 million.

 Approximately 50% of the RCCs created and deposited by V Internet were returned by account holders' banks. Many RCCs were returned because the account holder saw the debit and realized that an unauthorized charge was being imposed on his or her account. Other RCCs were returned because they were drawn on accounts that were nonexistent, closed or did not contain sufficient funds to cover the debit. Many victims, however, did not notice the charge and therefore did not dispute it.
- 25. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG operated a call center in Cedar Hill, Texas. Call center employees received calls from account holders who had been charged one or more times. Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG provided instructions to call center employees on how to respond to complaints. Among other instructions, defendant GARETH DAVID LONG instructed call center employees to falsely tell account holders that they must have applied for a payday loan and authorized the \$30 fee; otherwise, V Internet would not have their information.
- 26. When account holders called and complained about the charges on their accounts, at times V Internet employees promised to refund account holders' money.

 Defendant GARETH DAVID LONG had authority over whether an account holder would receive a refund. V Internet rarely refunded account holders' money, even after V Internet employees had promised such a refund.

Executions of the Scheme

27. On or about the dates specified as to each Count below, the defendant,

GARETH DAVID LONG

for the purpose of executing and in furtherance of the aforesaid scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate commerce by means of wire communications, certain writings, signs, and signals, as specified in each count below:

COUNT	APPROXIMATE	WIRE
	DATE OF WIRE	
1	January 25, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
		\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
		account of V.M., transmitted from V Internet's
		server in Las Vegas, Nevada
2	February 4, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
	THE PARTY OF THE P	\$30 RCCs, transmitted from V Internet's server in
		Las Vegas, Nevada
3	February 5, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
		\$30 RCCs, transmitted from V Internet's server in
		Las Vegas, Nevada
4	February 6, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
		\$30 RCCs, transmitted from V Internet's server in
		Las Vegas, Nevada
5	February 7, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
		\$30 RCCs, transmitted from V Internet's server in
		Las Vegas, Nevada
6	February 8, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
		\$30 RCCs, transmitted from V Internet's server in
		Las Vegas, Nevada
7	February 12, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
		\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
		account of J.M., transmitted from V Internet's server
		in Las Vegas, Nevada
8	February 15, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
	<u></u>	\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the

1			account of C.K., transmitted from V Internet's server
			in Las Vegas, Nevada
2	9	March 5, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
ا ء			\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
3			account of F.N., transmitted from V Internet's server
4			in Las Vegas, Nevada
7	10	March 12, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
5			\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
			account of T.W., transmitted from V Internet's
6			server in Las Vegas, Nevada
İ	11	March 18, 2013	File containing thousands of \$30 RCCs, including
7			\$30 RCCs drawn on the accounts of M.V., M.D.,
			M.W., and C.P., transmitted from V Internet's server
8			in Las Vegas, Nevada
9	12	March 19, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
			\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
10			account of M.D., transmitted from V Internet's
		<u> </u>	server in Las Vegas, Nevada
11	13	March 20, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
			\$30 RCCs, including \$30 RCCs drawn on the
12			accounts of L.M. and H.H., transmitted from V
			Internet's server in Las Vegas, Nevada
13	14	March 21, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
14			\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
14			account of L.G., transmitted from V Internet's server
15		N. 1.00.0012	in Las Vegas, Nevada
	15	March 29, 2013	Interstate transfer of a file containing thousands of
16			\$30 RCCs, including a \$30 RCC drawn on the
			account of J.M., transmitted from V Internet's server
17			in Las Vegas, Nevada

All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COUNTS 16-29 AGGRAVATED IDENTITY THEFT 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1)

28. Paragraphs 1-27 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

On or about the following dates, in the District of Nevada, and elsewhere,

29.

the defendant,

GARETH DAVID LONG

did knowingly transfer, possess, and use, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person; namely, the bank account number, routing number, name, and address of the individuals identified below, during and in relation to a felony violation of a provision contained in chapter 63, United States Code, that is, wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, charged in Counts One through 15 of this Indictment:

	COUNT	APPROXIMATE DATE OF	ACCOUNT INFORMATION
11		WIRE FRAUD OFFENSE	
12	16	January 25, 2013	RCC containing the name and address of
			V.M., account number and bank routing
13			number for V.M.'s account at New Generation
, ,			Federal Credit Union
14	17	February 12, 2013	RCC containing the name and address of J.M.,
15			account number and bank routing number for
1	1.0	T-1 15 2012	J.M.'s account at Chase Bank
16	18	February 15, 2013	RCC containing name and address of C.K., account number and bank routing number for
			C.K.'s account at Chase Bank
17	19	March 5, 2013	RCC containing name and address of F.N.,
18		141aren 3, 2013	account number and bank routing number for
10			F.N.'s account at First U.S. Community Credit
19			Union
	20	March 12, 2013	RCC containing name and address of T.W.,
20			account number and bank routing number for
			T.W.'s account at USAA
21	21	March 18, 2013	RCC containing name and address of M.V.,
22			account number and bank routing number for
			M.V.'s account at TD Bank
23	22	March 18, 2013	RCC containing name and address of M.D.,
			account number and bank routing number for
24			M.D.'s account at Sovereign Bank

1	Case 2:16-cr-00071-APG-PAL *SEALED*	Document 1	Filed 03/09/16	Page 12 of 24	1
l					
l					
Ш					

ļ			
1	23	March 18, 2013	RCC containing name and address of M.W.,
			account number and bank routing number for
2			M.W.'s account at Woodforest National Bank
٠. ا	24	March 18, 2013	RCC containing name and address of C.P.,
3			account number and bank routing number for
4			C.P.'s account at Palm Beach County Credit
4			Union
5	25	March 19, 2013	RCC containing name and address of M.D.,
ا ر			account number and bank routing number for
6			M.D.'s account at USAA
	26	March 20, 2013	RCC containing name and address of L.M.,
7		,	account number and bank routing number for
			L.M.'s account at Roma Savings and Loan
8	27	March 20, 2013	RCC containing name and address of H.H.,
_			account number and bank routing number for
9			H.H.'s account at Liberty Bank
,,	28	March 21, 2013	RCC containing name and address of L.G.,
10			account number and bank routing number for
11			L.G.'s account at One Nevada Credit Union
11	29	March 29, 2013	RCC containing the name and address of J.M.,
12		1	account number and bank routing number for
			J.M.'s account at Chase Bank
- 1	U		

All in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028A(a)(1) and 2.

reference as though fully set forth herein.

15

14

16

17

18

30.

31.

the defendant,

19 20

21

22

23

24

GARETH DAVID LONG

knowingly engaged in the below-listed monetary transactions, affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, that

COUNTS 30-39 MONEY LAUNDERING

18 U.S.C. § 1957(a)

Paragraphs 1-29 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by

On or about the following dates, in the District of Nevada, and elsewhere,

is, transfers of funds in the amounts listed below, on the dates set forth below, with such funds having been derived from a specified unlawful activity, that is, the wire fraud scheme charged in Counts 1 through 15.

4			
5	COUNT	APPROXIMATE DATE	MONETARY TRANSACTION
ا ر	30	April 19, 2013	\$175,000 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
6			Bank account ending in *7649 to R.T.'s bank account at
			Compass Bank
7	31	April 19, 2013	\$40,000 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
		i i	Bank account ending in *7649 to R.T.'s bank account at
8			Compass Bank
9	32	May 9, 2013	\$250,000 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
			Bank account ending in *7698 to K.F.'s bank account at
10			Bank of Desoto
	33	May 10, 2013	\$12,919.49 wire transfer from V Internet's
11		,	CommerceWest Bank account ending in *7649 to
			L.S.A.'s bank account at Wells Fargo
12	34	May 10, 2013	\$12,510 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
]			Bank account ending in *7649 to L.S.A.'s bank account
13			at Wells Fargo
14	35	May 16, 2013	\$200,000 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
14		WATER	Bank account ending *7649 to W.W.'s bank account at
15			Bank of America
	36	May 17, 2013	\$17,825 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
16			Bank account ending in *7649 to L.S.A.'s bank account
ļ			at Wells Fargo
17	37	May 17, 2013	\$46,000 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
			Bank account ending in *7649 to H.D.'s bank account at
18			PlainsCapital Bank
10	38	June 28, 2013	\$11,648.20 wire transfer from V Internet's
19			CommerceWest Bank account ending in *7649 to
20		7 1 2 2 2 2	L.S.A.'s bank account at Wells Fargo
40	39	July 1, 2013	\$15,901 wire transfer from V Internet's CommerceWest
21			Bank account ending in *7649 to L.S.A.'s bank account
			at Wells Fargo

All in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1957(a) and 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE

(Wire Fraud)

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts One through Fifteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. Upon conviction of any of the felony offenses charged in Counts One through Fifteen of this Indictment,

GARETH DAVID LONG

defendant herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, an in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000 in United States Currency, including:

- a. \$210.32 in United States Currency;
- b. \$938.01 in United States Currency;
- c. \$60 in United States Currency;
- d. \$1,081.33 in United States Currency;
- e. \$10,225.46 in United States Currency;
- f. \$788,593.43 in United States Currency;
- g. \$2,112,673.65 in United States Currency;
- h. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1350 STONEY CREEK DRIVE, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS ALL THAT REAL PROPERTY SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF DALLAS, STATE OF TEXAS: BEING A 10.00 ACRE TRACT OF LAND SITUATED IN THE U. MATTHUSEN 106.50 ACRE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 1046, THE WILLIAM NEWBY 198 ACRE SURVEY,

ABSTRACT NO. 1085, THE WILLIAM C. BILLINGSLEY 60 ACRE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 136, AND THE WILLIAM MASTERS 160 ACRE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 899, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS, BEING ALL THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED AS TRACT ONE TO KELLI FREEMAN, AS RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT NO. 200503550084, DEED RECORDS OF DALLAS, COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS BEGINNING AT A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD AT THE COMMON NORTH CORNER OF SAID TRACT ONE AND THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED TO DAVID WILLIS AND BARBARA WILLIS, AS RECORDED IN VOLUME 96021, PAGE 2189, SAID DEED RECORDS, SAID IRON ROD BEING ON THE SOUTH LINE OF STONEY CREEK ESTATES, AN ADDITION TO DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME 83241, PAGE 3281, MAP RECORDS, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS; THENCE SOUTH 05 DEGREES 16 MINUTES 35 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 104.25 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACT ONE AND SAID WILLIS TRACT TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH 22 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 58 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 376.97 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD BEARS SOUTH 68 DEGREES 43 MINUTES 21 SECONDS WEST; A DISTANCE OF 0.37 OF ONE FOOT; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 45 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 1,073.27 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD AT THE COMMON, EAST CORNER OF AFORESAID TRACT ONE AND THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN AFORESAID FREEMAN DEED AS TRACT TWO; THENCE NORTH 52 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 37 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 935.05 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACTS ONE AND TWO TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD AT THE COMMON WEST CORNER OF SAID TRACTS, SAID IRON ROD BEING ON THE SOUTHEAST LINE OF THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED AS TRACT I TO DAVID HOELSCHER AND WIFE, SUZANNE HOELSCHER, AS RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT NO. 201000321376, AFORESAID DEED RECORDS; THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 45 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 472.27 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACTS ONE AND 1 TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD BEARS NORTH 69 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 21 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 0.52 OF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ONE FOOT: THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 29 MINUTES 56 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 359.26 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 45 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 161.09 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH 22 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 58 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 379.14 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD; THENCE NORTH 05 DEGREES 16 MINUTES 35 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 95.75 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD AT THE COMMON NORTH CORNER OF AFORESAID TRACTS ONE AND 1, SAID IRON ROD BEING ON THE AFORESAID SOUTH LINE OF STONEY CREEK ESTATES; THENCE NORTH 72 DEGREES 24 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 54.27 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACT ONE AND SAID STONEY CREEK ESTATES TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AND CONTAINING 435,593 SOUARE FEET OR 10.00 ACRES OF LAND TOGETHER WITH ANY AND ALL IMPROVEMENTS AND

APPURTENANCES THEREON, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 65013606010010400.

i. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1204 SOUTH CLARK ROAD, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104;

MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS ALL THAT REAL PROPERTY SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF DALLAS, STATE OF TEXAS: BEING A 13.27 ACRE TRACT OF LAND SITUATED IN THE WILLIAM C. BILLINGSLEY 160 ACRE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 136, AND THE WILLIAM MASTERS 160 ACRE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 899, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS, BEING ALL THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED AS TRACT TWO TO KELLI FREEMAN, AS RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT NO. 200503550084, DEED RECORDS OF DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD AT THE COMMON EAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT TWO AND THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED AS TRACT ONE IN SAID FREEMAN DEED, SAID IRON ROD BEING ON THE WEST LINE OF THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED TO DAVID WILLIS AND BARBARA WILLIS, AS RECORDED IN VOLUME 96021, PAGE 2189, SAID DEED RECORDS; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 45 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 400.00 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACT TWO AND SAID WILLIS TRACT TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD; THENCE SOUTH 45 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 45 SECONDS WEST, PASSING A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

AT A DISTANCE OF 629.94 FEET AND CONTINUING A TOTAL DISTANCE OF 731.92 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO THE COMMON SOUTH CORNER OF SAID TRACT TWO AND THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED TO TERRY M. CAMPA, SR., AS RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT NO. 200503584402, AFORESAID DEED RECORDS: THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES 19 MINUTES 24 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 50.48 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACT TWO AND SAID CAMPA TRACT; THENCE NORTH 41 DEGREES 07 MINUTES 33 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 49.34 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE; THENCE NORTH 04 DEGREES 40 MINUTES 26 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 77.45 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE; THENCE SOUTH 76 DEGREES 30 MINUTES 09 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 96.76 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE; THENCE NORTH 25 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 58 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 87.97 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE; THENCE NORTH 48 DEGREES 12 MINUTES 32 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 217.53 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE; THENCE NORTH 07 DEGREES 31 MINUTES 18 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 71.74 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE; THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 17 MINUTES 42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 47.91 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD BEARS NORTH 58 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 0.54 OF ONE FOOT; THENCE NORTH 33 DEGREES 16 MINUTES 05 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 313.56 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FENCE CORNER BEARS SOUTH 28 DEGREES 20 MINUTES EAST - 0.8 OF ONE FOOT; THENCE NORTH 72 DEGREES 09 MINUTES 03 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 142.93 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND RAILROAD SPIKE BEARS NORTH 57 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 03

SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 0.52 OF ONE FOOT; THENCE NORTH 59 DEGREES 08 MINUTES 16 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 131.42 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD BEARS NORTH 84 DEGREES 58 MINUTES 20 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 0.44 OF ONE FOOT; THENCE NORTH 34 DEGREES 44 MINUTES 43 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 66.00 FEET ALONG SAID COMMON LINE TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD BEARS NORTH 71 DEGREES 10 MINUTES 32 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 0.51 OF ONE FOOT, SAID POINT BEING THE COMMON CORNER OF AFORESAID TRACT TWO, AFORESAID CAMPA TRACT, AND THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED TO TERRY M. CAMPA, SR. AND WIFE, LAURA L. CAMPA, AS RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT NO. 200503602018.

23

24

AFORESAID DEED RECORDS; THENCE NORTH 54 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 46 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 458.48 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACT TWO AND SAID CAMPA TRACT (200503602018) TO A POINT FROM WHICH A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD BEARS NORTH 52 DEGREES 18 MINUTES 08 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 0.31 OF ONE FOOT, SAID POINT BEING THE COMMON CORNER OF SAID TRACT TWO, SAID CAMPA TRACT (200503602018), AND THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN DEED AS TRACT 1 TO DAVID HOELSCHER AND WIFE, SUZANNE HOELSCHER, AS RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT NO. 201000321376, SAID DEED RECORDS; THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 45 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 499.46 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACT TWO AND SAID TRACT 1 TO A FOUND 1/2-INCH IRON ROD AT THE COMMON WEST CORNER OF SAID TRACT TWO AND AFORESAID TRACT ONE; THENCE SOUTH 52 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 37 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 935.05 FEET ALONG THE COMMON LINE OF SAID TRACTS ONE AND TWO TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AND CONTAINING 578,128 SQUARE FEET OR 13.27 ACRES OF WITH **IMPROVEMENTS** AND ANY AND ALL TOGETHER **APPURTENANCES** ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER THEREON, 65013606010010000

(all of which constitutes property).

- 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendant
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendant for the property listed above and the in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO

(Aggravated Identity Theft)

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts Sixteen through Twenty-Nine of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. Upon conviction of any of the felony offenses charged in Counts Sixteen through Twenty-Nine of this Indictment,

GARETH DAVID LONG

defendant herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1028A(a)(1), (c)(5), and 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, an in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000 in United States Currency, including:

- a. \$210.32 in United States Currency;
- b. \$938.01 in United States Currency;
- c. \$60 in United States Currency;
- d. \$1,081.33 in United States Currency;
- e. \$10,225.46 in United States Currency;
- f. \$788,593.43 in United States Currency;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

- g. \$2,112,673.65 in United States Currency;
- h. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1350 STONEY CREEK DRIVE, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE; and
- REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1204 SOUTH CLARK ROAD, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE (all of which constitutes property).
- 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendant
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendant for the property listed above and the in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1028A(a)(1), (c)(5), and 1343; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

2

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 . 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THREE

(Money Laundering)

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts Thirty through Thirty-Nine of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. Upon conviction of any of the felony offenses charged in Counts Thirty through Thirty-Nine of this Indictment,

GARETH DAVID LONG

defendant herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, involved in transactions or attempted transactions in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957(a), or any property traceable to such property, an in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000 in United States Currency, including:

- a. \$210.32 in United States Currency;
- b. \$938.01 in United States Currency;
- c. \$60 in United States Currency;
- d. \$1,081.33 in United States Currency;
- e. \$10,225.46 in United States Currency;
- f. \$788,593.43 in United States Currency;
- g. \$2,112,673.65 in United States Currency;
- h. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1350 STONEY CREEK DRIVE, CEDAR HILL; DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE; and

i. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1204 SOUTH CLARK ROAD, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE

(all of which constitutes property).

- 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendant
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendant for the property listed above and the in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957(a); and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION FOUR

(Money Laundering)

1. The allegations contained in Counts Thirty through Thirty-Nine of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

2

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2. Upon conviction of any of the felony offenses charged in Counts Thirty through Thirty-Nine of this Indictment,

GARETH DAVID LONG

defendant herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957(a), a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, an in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000 in United States Currency, including:

- a. \$210.32 in United States Currency;
- b. \$938.01 in United States Currency;
- c. \$60 in United States Currency;
- d. \$1,081.33 in United States Currency;
- e. \$10,225.46 in United States Currency;
- f. \$788,593.43 in United States Currency;
- g. \$2,112,673.65 in United States Currency;
- h. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1350 STONEY CREEK DRIVE, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE; and
- i. REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1204 SOUTH CLARK ROAD, CEDAR HILL, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 75104, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE

(all of which constitutes property).

- 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendant
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

Case 2:16-cr-00071-APG-PAL *SEALED* Document 1 Filed 03/09/16 Page 24 of 24 has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; c. has been substantially diminished in value; or d. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without e. difficulty; it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendant for the property listed above and the in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least \$11,300,000. All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957(a); and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p). **DATED:** this 9th day of March 2016. A TRUE BILL: FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney JOSH BURKE Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

CRANE M. POMERANTZ 20 Assistant United States Attorney

21

22

23

24