

BRYAN SCHRODER
United States Attorney

ADAM ALEXANDER
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse
222 West 7th Ave., #9, Rm. 253
Anchorage, AK 99513-7567
Phone: 907-271-5071
Email: adam.alexander@usdoj.gov

KENNETH A. BLANCO
Acting Assistant Attorney General

CATHERINE ALDEN PELKER
Trial Attorney
Computer Crime & Intellectual Property Section
1301 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 514-1026
Facsimile: (202) 514-6113
Email: Catherine.Pelker@usdoj.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No.
)
Plaintiff,) <u>COUNT 1:</u>
) CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT A
vs.) VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)
) Vio. Of 18 U.S.C. § 371
DALTON NORMAN)
)
)
Defendant.)

INFORMATION

The United States Attorney charges that:

INTRODUCTION

1. The “Internet” is a global network connecting millions of computers and computer networks to each other, allowing them to communicate and transfer information. Using, among other things, a system of wires, cables, routers and circuits, the Internet allows the communication and transfer of information in interstate and foreign commerce. Computers that are connected to the Internet may come in different forms, from personal computers, laptops and smartphones, to large-scale servers that host websites and online services, to more minimal devices such as Internet-connected cameras, digital video recorders (“DVR”) and routers.

2. “Malware” is malicious software designed to damage or disable a computer, or provide control of the computer to a third party.

3. A “botnet” is a collection of computers infected with malware that are controlled as a group, typically without the owners’ knowledge. The individual computers within a botnet, known as “bots,” respond to commands from one or more master computers. These master computers are commonly known as “command and control” (“C2”) computers.

4. “DDOS attacks” occur when multiple computers acting in unison flood the Internet connection of a targeted computer or computers. The overwhelming amount of traffic generated by such an attack quickly overwhelms the capacity of the target computer, resulting in the target computer being unable to send, receive or respond to commands. DDOS attacks are often directed at servers that host websites, with the intent of rendering those websites unavailable to the public.

5. A “proxy” is an intermediary computer server that relays traffic from one computer to another. Proxies are used to obfuscate the Internet Protocol address of the originating computer, which makes online attribution more difficult.

6. Mirai is the name of a malware variant utilized to hijack computing devices to create botnets to facilitate further criminal activity. Unlike previous malware designed to create botnets, Mirai targets the “Internet of Things” (“IoT”) – non-traditional computing devices that have been connected to the Internet, including wireless cameras, routers and digital video recorders.

COUNT 1 (Conspiracy)

7. The allegations set forth in paragraphs one through six of this Information are re-alleged as if fully stated herein.

8. Between on or about September 2016, and continuing thereafter to on or about October 4, 2016, in the District of Alaska and elsewhere, defendant DALTON NORMAN, and other persons, did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree with one another to knowingly cause the transmission of a program, information, code, and command, and, as a result of such conduct, intentionally cause damage without authorization to a protected computer, and to cause loss during a one-year period aggregating at least \$5,000 in value and to cause damage affecting 10 or more protected computers during a 1-year period in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A) and (c)(4)(A).

//

//

THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY

9. The object of the unlawful conspiracy was to infect computing devices with the Mirai malware developed by the conspirators for the purpose of enlisting those devices into a botnet that could be used to conduct powerful DDOS attacks and facilitate other criminal activity.

MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

10. It was part of the conspiracy that the defendant DALTON NORMAN and his co-conspirators would attempt to discover both known and previously undisclosed vulnerabilities that would allow them to surreptitiously attain administrative or high-level access to victim devices for the purpose of forcing the devices to participate in the Mirai botnet. Utilizing undisclosed vulnerabilities meant that NORMAN and co-conspirators would not have to compete with other criminal actors seeking to develop illicit botnets for access to these devices. Devices with such vulnerabilities were compromised by NORMAN and his co-conspirators without authorization of the owner of the affected devices.

11. It was further a part of the conspiracy that the defendant DALTON NORMAN and his co-conspirators would scan the internet for vulnerable IoT devices and, without authorization, attempt to gain administrative access to those devices through the use of credentials that they were not authorized to employ.

12. It was further part of the conspiracy that the defendant DALTON NORMAN and his co-conspirators would, without authorization, infect with Mirai the IoT devices they were able to access, which afforded the defendant DALTON NORMAN and his co-

conspirators complete control over the devices, and hijack them to create and expand the Mirai botnet.

13. It was further part of the conspiracy that the defendant DALTON NORMAN and his co-conspirators would use their Mirai botnet to conduct powerful DDOS attacks, which caused damage to the servers targeted in the attacks.

14. It was further part of the conspiracy that the defendant DALTON NORMAN and his co-conspirators would rent access to their Mirai botnet, which enabled other criminals to use the botnet to conduct powerful DDOS attacks. These attacks caused damage to the targeted servers, and were large enough to cause incidental damage to many servers located in close logical proximity to the targeted server. In fact, one feature of Mirai was the ability to conduct attacks against entire ranges of IPs, meaning that a victim's entire network would be affected. This feature, in conjunction with the very large size of the Mirai botnet, rendered useless many methods that are used to mitigate DDOS attacks, meaning that the attacks were capable of causing more network disruption than would be experienced in attacks by other DDOS services.

OVERT ACTS

15. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects of the conspiracy, the following overt acts, among others, were committed in the District of Alaska and elsewhere:

- (a) In September 2017, DALTON NORMAN worked with his co-conspirators in order to expand the size of the Mirai botnet. By infecting additional devices, the Mirai co-conspirators were able to increase the power and effectiveness of

their attacks against websites and web hosting companies located in the United States and abroad. Over 300,000 devices ultimately became part of the Mirai botnet and were used by NORMAN and others to unlawfully participate in DDOS attacks and other criminal activity. Some of these devices were located in the District of Alaska.

- (b) In September 2017, defendant DALTON NORMAN identified vulnerabilities for thousands of IoT devices. Many of these vulnerabilities were private zero-day vulnerabilities, meaning vulnerabilities that had not yet been disclosed. NORMAN sought out and identified these vulnerabilities in order to enlist the devices into the Mirai botnet.
- (c) In September 2017, DALTON NORMAN developed exploits in order to take advantage of the identified vulnerabilities. DALTON NORMAN, working with his co-conspirators, then executed these exploits in order to gain control of victim devices.

All of which is in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED December 5, 2017, in Anchorage, Alaska.

BRYAN D. SCHRODER
United States Attorney

s/ Adam Alexander
ADAM ALEXANDER
Assistant U.S. Attorney
United States of America