PHILLIP A. TALBERT 1 **Acting United States Attorney** FILED NIRAV K. DESAI Assistant United States Attorney JOSH F. SIGAL NOV 17 2018 Special Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 5 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CASE NO. 2: 16 - CR - 0 2 1 7 MCF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1594(b) – Conspiracy to 13 Commit Forced Labor; 18 U.S.C. § 1589(a) - Forced Labor (Two Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1589(b) – v. Benefitting from Forced Labor; 18 U.S.C. § 1351(a) -14 Fraud in Foreign Labor Contracting; 18 U.S.C. SATISH KARTAN and SHARMISTHA BARAI. § 1594(d)— Criminal Forfeiture 15 Defendants. . 16 17 INDICTMENT 18 19 COUNT ONE: [18 U.S.C. § 1594(b) – Conspiracy to Commit Forced Labor] 20 The Grand Jury charges: 21 SATISH KARTAN and SHARMISTHA BARAI. 22 defendants herein, as follows: 23 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATION 24 1. At all relevant times, the defendants were married to one another. 25 THE CONSPIRACY 26 2. Between on or about February 21, 2014, and continuing through on or about October 3, 27 2016, in the County of San Joaquin, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, defendants 28

KARTAN and BARAI did knowingly conspire and agree to provide and obtain the labor and services of persons by means, and the combination of means, of force; threats of force; physical restraint; threats of physical restraint; serious harm; threats of serious harm; the abuse and threatened abuse of law and legal process; and by means of a scheme, plan, and pattern intended to cause persons to believe that, if such persons did not perform such labor and services, they would suffer serious harm and physical restraint, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1589(a).

MANNER AND MEANS

In furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendants employed the following manner and means, among others:

- 3. The defendants used Internet websites and other advertising media including, but not limited to, pragathi.com and the India-based newspaper "Eenadu," to place advertisements seeking workers to perform domestic labor in Albuquerque, New Mexico; Stockton, California; and elsewhere in the United States.
- 4. The defendants communicated with individuals in the United States and overseas including, but not limited to, individuals known to the Grand Jury as "Satish" and "Rao," for the purpose of obtaining workers from overseas to work, ostensibly for monetary compensation, performing domestic labor in the United States.
- 5. In advertisements seeking workers from outside the United States for domestic labor in the United States, the defendants made materially false representations and omissions regarding the amount of compensation and the conditions of employment including, but not limited to, the hours of employment, the duties of employment, and the conditions under which these duties would be performed.
- 6. Once workers arrived at the defendants' residences in the United States, the defendants imposed a work schedule consisting of approximately 18 hours a day with limited rest and nourishment.
- 7. Once workers arrived at the defendants' residences in the United States, the defendants knowingly obtained their labor and services without the payment of wages, by means of force; threats of force; physical restraint; threats of physical restraint; serious harm; threats of serious harm; the abuse and threatened abuse of law and legal process; and by means of a scheme, plan, and pattern intended to

5

suffer serious harm and physical restraint.

INDICTMENT 3

OVERT ACTS

cause workers to believe that, if the workers did not perform such labor and services, the workers would

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, defendants committed the following overt acts, among others, within the Eastern District of California and elsewhere:

- 8. On or about May 25, 2014, the defendants caused to be posted on the Internet website pragathi.com an advertisement seeking a live-in nanny to help with childcare, cooking, and household work. The advertisement, which listed email addresses and phone numbers for both KARTAN and BARAI, stated that the defendants would pay for an airplane flight and would provide a separate room and good remuneration.
- 9. Between in or about May 2014, and in or about September 2016, the defendants caused to be posted on the Internet website pragathi.com approximately 47 advertisements seeking the services of domestic workers.
- 10. Between in or about February 2014, and in or about October 2016, the defendants caused to be posted on Internet websites owned, operated, and controlled by Sulekha US, LLC, approximately seven advertisements seeking the services of domestic workers.
- 11. Between on or about January 8, 2016, and on or about January 22, 2016, the defendants obtained the domestic services of PERSON ONE at their residence in Stockton, California, including cooking, cleaning, and childcare.
 - 12. The defendants failed to pay remuneration to PERSON ONE for her domestic work.
- 13. Between on or about July 19, 2016, and on or about August 31, 2016, the defendants obtained the domestic services of PERSON TWO at their residence in Stockton, California, including cooking, cleaning, and childcare.
 - 14. The defendants failed to pay remuneration to PERSON TWO for her domestic work.
- 15. While PERSON TWO was residing and working in the defendants' residence, KARTAN physically struck PERSON TWO on multiple occasions.
- 16. While PERSON TWO was residing and working in the defendants' residence, BARAI physically struck PERSON TWO on multiple occasions.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| COUNT TWO. [18 U.S.C. § 1389(a) - Poiced L

24

The Grand Jury further charges: THAT

25

SATISH KARTAN and SAHRMISTHA BARAI,

2627

28

defendants herein, between on or about July 19, 2016, and continuing through on or about August 31, 2016, in the County of San Joaquin, State and Eastern District of California, did knowingly provide and

obtain the labor and services of PERSON TWO by means, and the combination of means, of force; 1 2 threats of force; physical restraint; threats of physical restraint; serious harm; threats of serious harm; the 3 abuse and threatened abuse of law and legal process; and by means of a scheme, plan, and pattern intended to cause PERSON TWO to believe that, if PERSON TWO did not perform such labor and 4 5 services, PERSON TWO would suffer serious harm and physical restraint, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1589(a). 6 7 COUNT THREE: [18 U.S.C. § 1589(b) – Benefitting from Forced Labor] 8 The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T 9 SHARMISTHA BARAI, defendant herein, between on or about July 19, 2016, and continuing through on or about August 31, 10 11 2016, in the County of San Joaquin, State and Eastern District of California, did knowingly benefit, 12 financially and by receiving things of value, from participation in a venture that engaged in the 13 providing and obtaining of labor and services by PERSON TWO, by means of force; threats of force; physical restraint; threats of physical restraint; serious harm; threats of serious harm; the abuse and 14 threatened abuse of law and legal process; and by means of a scheme, plan, and pattern intended to 15 16 cause PERSON TWO to believe that, if PERSON TWO did not perform such labor and services, 17 PERSON TWO would suffer serious harm and physical restraint, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that the venture had engaged in the providing and obtaining of labor and services of PERSON 18 19 TWO by any of the means described above, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1589(b). 20 COUNT FOUR: [18 U.S.C. § 1589(a) -Forced Labor] 21 22 The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T 23 SATISH KARTAN and SHARMISTHA BARAI, 24 25 defendants herein, between on or about September 4, 2016, and continuing through on or about September 7, 2016, in the County of San Joaquin, State and Eastern District of California, and 26 27 elsewhere, did attempt to and did knowingly provide and obtain the labor and services of PERSON 28 THREE by means physical restraint; threats of physical restrain; serious harm; threats of serious harm;

INDICIMENT 5

the abuse and threatened abuse of law and legal process; and by means of a scheme, plan, and pattern intended to cause PERSON THREE to believe that, if PERSON THREE did not perform such labor and services, PERSON THREE would suffer serious harm or physical restraint, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1589(a).

<u>COUNT FIVE</u>: [18 U.S.C. § 1351(a) – Fraud in Foreign Labor Contracting]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

SATISH KARTAN,

defendant herein, between in or around June 2016, and continuing through on or about August 31, 2016, in the County of San Joaquin, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, did knowingly and with intent to defraud recruit, solicit, and hire PERSON TWO from outside the United States and caused another person to recruit, solicit, and hire PERSON TWO from outside the United States, and attempted to do so, for purposes of employment in the United States by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises regarding that employment, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1351(a).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: [18 U.S.C. § 1594(d)— Criminal Forfeiture]

- 1. Upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Four of this Indictment, defendants SATISH KARTAN and SHARMISTHA BARAI shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1594(d) and (e), all property, real or personal, that was involved in, used, or intended to be used to commit or facilitate the commission of such violations; and any property, real or personal, constituting or derived from, any proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as a result of such violations, and any property traceable to such property.
- 2. If any property subject to forfeiture as a result of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Four of this Indictment, for which defendants are convicted:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), incorporating 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant, up to the value of property subject to forfeiture.

A TRUE BILL.

/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of California

Criminal Division

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

SATISH KARTAN SHARMISTHA BARAI

<u>INDICTMENT</u>

VIOLATION(S):

18 U.S.C. § 1594(b) – Conspiracy to Commit Forced Labor; 18 U.S.C. §1589(a) – Forced Labor (Two Counts); 18 U.S.C. §1589 (b) – Benefitting from Forced Labor; 18 U.S.C. § 1351(a) – Fraud in Foreign Labor Contracting; 18 U.S.C. § 1594 (b) – Criminal Forfeiture

GPO 863 525

A true bill,	
/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA	
Foreman.	
Filed in open court this	
NO PROCESS NECESSARY - QSO	2 Kartan Baraj

United States v. Kartan, et al. Penalties for Indictment

<u>Defendants:</u> SATISH KARTAN SHARMISTHA BARAI

COUNT 1: ALL DEFENDANTS

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1594(b) – Conspiracy to Commit Forced Labor

PENALTIES: Maximum of 20 years in prison;

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Supervised release of up to 3 years Mandatory restitution [18 U.S.C. § 1593]

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$5,000 (mandatory on each count for non-indigent person) [18 U.S.C. § 3014(a)(1)]

COUNT 2: ALL DEFENDANTS

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1589(a) – Forced Labor

PENALTIES: Maximum of 20 years in prison;

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Supervised release of up to 3 years

Mandatory restitution [18 U.S.C. § 1593]

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$5,000 (mandatory on each count for non-indigent person) [18 U.S.C. § 3014(a)(1)]

COUNT 3: SHARMISTHA BARAI

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1589(b) – Benefitting from Forced Labor

PENALTIES: Maximum of 20 years in prison;

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Supervised release of up to 3 years Mandatory restitution [18 U.S.C. § 1593]

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$5,000 (mandatory on each count for non-indigent person) [18 U.S.C. § 3014(a)(1)]

COUNT 4: ALL DEFENDANTS

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1589(a) – Forced Labor

PENALTIES: Maximum of 20 years in prison;

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Supervised release of up to 3 years Mandatory restitution [18 U.S.C. § 1593]

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$5,000 (mandatory on each count for non-indigent person) [18 U.S.C. § 3014(a)(1)]

COUNT 5: SATISH KARTAN

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1351(a) – Fraud in Foreign Labor Contracting

PENALTIES: Maximum of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Supervised release of up to 1 year

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: ALL DEFENDANTS

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1594(d), (e) – Criminal Forfeiture

PENALTIES: As stated in the charging document