

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.,

and

CBS CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 1:17-cv-02268

Judge James E. Boasberg

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS
OF THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT**

Plaintiff, the United States of America (“United States”), by the undersigned attorney, hereby certifies that in compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)–(h) (“APPA”), the following procedures have been followed in preparation for the entry of the Final Judgment in this matter:

1. The Complaint, Competitive Impact Statement, proposed Final Judgment, and Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, by which the parties have agreed to the Court’s entry of the proposed Final Judgment following compliance with the APPA, were filed with the Court on November 1, 2017.

2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(b), the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the *Federal Register* on November 13, 2017 (*see* 82 Fed. Reg. 52319).

3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), copies of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were furnished to all persons requesting them and made available on the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division's website, as were the Complaint and Hold Separate Stipulation and Order.

4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c), a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment was published in *The Washington Post*, a newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia, for seven days, beginning on November 3, 2017, and ending on November 9, 2017.

5. As noted in the Competitive Impact Statement, no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment, so none was furnished to any person pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) or listed pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c).

6. As required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(g), on November 7, 2017, Defendants each filed with the Court a description of any written or oral communications made by or on behalf of each Defendant, or any other person, with any officer or employee of the United States concerning the proposed Final Judgment.

7. The sixty-day comment period prescribed by 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) and (d) for the receipt and consideration of written comments, during which the proposed Final Judgment could not be entered, ended on January 12, 2018. The United States did not receive any comments relevant to the proposed Final Judgment.¹

¹ The United States received correspondence from one private citizen concerning a former Entercom radio station in the Los Angeles DMA, a market which is not included in the Complaint or the proposed Final Judgment. That station was sold to a third party prior to the filing of the Complaint in this matter. In addition, the correspondence

8. The parties have satisfied all of the requirements of the APPA that were conditions for entering the proposed Final Judgment. The Court may now enter the Final Judgment if the Court determines, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(e), that entry of the Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: January 30, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Bennett J. Matelson
United States Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
Media, Entertainment, and
Professional Services Section
450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 4000
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 616-5871
Facsimile: (202) 514-7308
Email: bennett.matelson@usdoj.gov

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

did not comment on the proposed Final Judgment itself. Accordingly, the United States did not file a response to the correspondence or publish it in the *Federal Register*.