MARQUIS L SMITH GILBERT PAVLOVSKY 1 Antitrust Division 2 Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue - Room 16432 3 Box 36046 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 556-6300 4 5 STAN PITKIN United States Attorney 6 ALBERT E. STEPHAN First Assistant U.S. Attorney 7 1012 United States Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104 8 Telephone: (206) 583-4735 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 11 12 AT SEATTLE 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 14 Plaintiff, 15 Civil No. <u>189-71-C 2</u> 16 ARDEN-MAYFAIR, INC.; CARNATION COMPANY; COMPLAINT 17 CONSOLIDATED DAIRY PRODUCTS September 29, 1971 Filed: COMPANY; and 18 FOREMOST-McKESSON, INC., 19 Defendants. 20 The United States of America, plaintiff, by its attorneys, 21 brings this action against the above named defendants and alleges 22 as follows: 23 I 24 JURISDICTION AND VENUE This complaint is filed under Section 4 of the Act of 25 26 Congress of July 2, 1890, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 4), commonly 27 known as the Sherman Act, in order to prevent and restrain 28 continuing violation by the defendants, as hereinafter alleged, of Section 1 of the Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). 29

30

31

32

GPO: 1942

2. Each of the defendants transacts business and is found within the Western District of Washington.

II

THE DEFENDANTS

3. Each of the corporations named below is hereby made a defendant herein. Each of said defendants is a corporation organized and existing under and by authority of the state of incorporation listed opposite its name below and each has its principal place of business at the location indicated.

Defendant Company	State of Incorporation	Principal Place of Business
Arden-Mayfair, Inc.	Delaware	Los Angeles (County), California
Carnation Company	Delaware	Los Angeles, California
Consolidated Dairy Products Company	Washington	Seattle, Washington
Foremost-McKesson,	Maryland	San Francisco, California

III

CO-CONSPIRATORS

4. Various persons, not named as defendants in this complaint, participated as co-conspirators in the offense alleged in this complaint and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

IV

DEFINITIONS

- 5. As used herein:
- (a) "raw milk" means unprocessed cows' milk sold or delivered by producers to distributors for processing into dairy products;
- (b) "dairy products" means end products which have been processed from raw milk, including but not

limited to pasteurized and homogenized milk, two percent milk, skim milk, buttermilk, whipping and table cream, half and half, sour cream, cottage cheese, ice cream and yogurt;

- (c) "ingredients" means flavoring, skim milk solids, corn sugar, sweeteners, milk stabilizers, vitamins and other products (other than raw milk) used in the processing of dairy products;
- (d) "packaging materials" means cartons, bottles, wrappers and other materials used to contain, hold or package dairy products; and
- (e) "wholesale prices" means those prices at which dairy products are sold by processor-distributors to markets, grocery stores, restaurants and others who purchase dairy products for resale.

V

TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 6. Defendant corporations process and package substantial quantities of dairy products in the Western District of Washington. Sales of such dairy products by defendant corporations in the States of Washington and Alaska exceed seventy million dollars annually.
- 7. During the period of time covered by this complaint, defendant and co-conspirator distributors sold substantial quantities of dairy products which had been processed and packaged in the Western District of Washington to wholesale and other customers in the State of Alaska. Thus there was a continuous and substantial flow of dairy products from defendant and co-conspirator distributors in the Western District of Washington to their wholesale customers located in the State of Alaska.

- 8. During the period of time covered by this complaint, substantial quantities of dairy products processed and packaged by defendant corporations in the Western District of Washington were sold to distributors and brokers in said state who shipped such dairy products to Alaska for resale and consumption. Thus there was a continuous and substantial flow of dairy products in interstate commerce from plants of defendant corporations in the Western District of Washington to distributors and brokers in said state and thence to purchasers in the State of Alaska.
- During the period of time covered by this complaint, defendant corporations purchased substantial quantities of packaging materials and ingredients from suppliers located outside the State of Washington and utilized them in the Western District of Washington in the processing and packaging of dairy products. Substantial quantities of these packaging materials and ingredients were utilized in the processing and packaging of dairy products by defendant corporations which they and the aforementioned distributor-brokers shipped to Alaska for sale and consumption. Thus there was a continuous and substantial flow of packaging materials and ingredients in interstate commerce from suppliers located outside the State of Washington to the processing plants of defendant corporations located in the Western District of Washington, where they are used in the processing and packaging of dairy products, and thence to wholesale customers and consumers in the States of Washington and Alaska.

VI

OFFENSE ALLEGED

10. Beginning sometime prior to 1965, the exact date being to the plaintiff unknown, and continuing thereafter

27

28

29

30

up to
the de
tion a
inters
the Ac
15 U.S
is con
prayed

1
of a c
among

up to and including the date of the filing of this complaint, the defendants and co-conspirators have engaged in a combination and conspiracy in unreasonable restraint of the aforesaid interstate trade and commerce, in violation of Section 1 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, as amended (26 Stat. 209, 15 U.S.C. § 1), commonly known as the Sherman Act. Said offense is continuing and will continue unless the relief hereinafter prayed for in the complaint is granted.

- 11. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding and concert of action among the defendants and co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which have been:
 - (a) to raise, fix and maintain the wholesale prices of dairy products in the States of Washington and Alaska; and
 - (b) to allocate and divide the sale of dairy products to various school districts in the Western District of the State of Washington.
- 12. During the period of time covered by this complaint, and for the purpose of formulating and effectuating the aforesaid combination and conspiracy, the defendants and co-conspirators did those things which they combined and conspired to do.

VII

EFFECTS

- 13. The combination and conspiracy alleged in this complaint has had the following effects, among others:
 - (a) wholesale prices of dairy products in the States of Washington and Alaska have been raised, fixed and maintained at artificial, noncompetitive levels;

- (b) various school districts in the Western

 District of the State of Washington have been deprived

 of receiving bids on an open competitive basis; and
- (c) competition between and among the defendant corporations has been restrained and eliminated.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays:

- 1. That the Court adjudge and decree that the defendants and co-conspirators have combined and conspired to unreasonably restrain the aforesaid interstate trade and commerce, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 2. That each of the defendants, their successors, assignees and transferees, and the officers, directors, agents and employees thereof, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf thereof, be perpetually enjoined and restrained from, in any manner, directly or indirectly, continuing, maintaining or renewing the aforesaid offense and from engaging in any other combination, conspiracy, contract, agreement, understanding or concert of action having a similar purpose or effect and from adopting or following any practice, plan, program or device having a similar purpose or effect.
- 3. That each of the defendants, their successors, assignees and transferees, and the officers, directors, agents and employees thereof, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf thereof, be enjoined and restrained from, in any manner:
 - (a) communicating, directly or indirectly, to any processor, handler or distributor of dairy products, information concerning prices or other

terms or conditions of sale of any dairy product, prior to the release thereof to the public or trade generally; and

- (b) communicating, directly or indirectly, with any processor, handler or distributor of dairy products concerning the subcontracting, division or allocation of any contract to provide dairy products, prior to the award of such contract.
- 4. That each defendant be ordered to individually and independently review and determine its prices and other terms and conditions for the sale of dairy products, put into effect those prices, terms, and conditions so determined, and file with this Court affidavits certifying that these requirements have been fulfilled.
- 5. That the Court order each defendant to maintain records showing meetings with or communications to or from any other processor, handler or distributor of dairy products.
- 6. That the Court order each defendant to annex to every sealed bid or quotation on dairy products, made to a public institution or authority, a written certification by an officer of the defendant, or by the employee of the defendant having authority to determine the bid or quotation involved, that such bid or quotation was not the result of or in part due to any agreement, understanding or communication between the defendant and any competitor.
- 7. That the plaintiff have such other and further relief as the nature of the case may require and the Court may deem just and proper.

That the plaintiff recover the costs of this action. JOHN N. MITCHELL GILBERT PAVLOVSKY Attorney General Attorney, Department of Justice RICHARD W. McLAREN Assistant Attorney General MARQUIS L. SMITH ANTHONY E. DESMOND Attorneys, Department of Justice ALBERT E. STEPHAN First Assistant U.S. Attorney STAN PITKIN United States Attorney