1 ANTHONY E. DESMOND GARY R. SPRATLING 2 JOHN F. YOUNG Antitrust Division 3 U. S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue - Room 16432 4 Box 36046 San Francisco, California 94102 5 Telephone: 415-556-6300 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF IDAHO 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Civil No. 1-75-176 Plaintiff, 12COMPLAINT v. 13 Violation of Title 15 U.S.C. MONROC, INC.; Section 1 14 IDAHO CONCRETE PIPE COMPANY, INC.; and Filed: 15 FLYNN SAND & GRAVEL, INC., October. 16, 1975 16 Defendants. 17 18 The United States of America, plaintiff, by its attorneys, 19 acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United 20 States, brings this civil action against the above-named defendants, 21 and complains and alleges as follows: 22Ι 23 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 24 1. This complaint is filed and proceedings are instituted 25under Section 4 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, as amended 26 (15 U.S.C. § 4), commonly known as the Sherman Act, in order to 27prevent and restrain the violation by the defendants, as herein-28 after alleged, of Section 1 of said Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). 29 2. Each of the defendants transacts business or is found 30 within the District of Idaho. 31 32

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

DEFENDANTS

II

3. The corporations named below are made defendants herein. Each of said corporations is organized and exists under the laws of the state and has its principal place of business in the city indicated below. Within the period of time covered by this complaint, each of these defendants engaged in the business of producing and selling ready-mix concrete in Oregon and Idaho:

9	Name of Corporation	State of Incorporation	Principal Place of Business
0		moorporación	
1	Monroc, Inc.	Utah	Salt Lake City, Utah
2	Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc., dba Oregon Concrete		
3	Products Company	Idaho	Nampa, Idaho
1	Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc.	Oregon	Ontario, Oregon

III

CO-CONSPIRATORS

4. Various individuals not named as defendants in this complaint participated as co-conspirators in the violation alleged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

IV

DEFINITIONS

5. As used herein, "ready-mix concrete" means a building material consisting of a mixture of cement, mineral aggregate (gravel and sand), water and other ingredients mixed in varying proportions and sold to customers in a plastic and unhardened state.

6. As used herein, the "Nyssa-Ontario market" refers to the cities of Nyssa and Ontario, Oregon, and surrounding areas in the States of Oregon and Idaho served by the defendant corporations from their plants located in said cities.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

1

2

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

3 7. The defendant corportions are producers and sellers of 4 ready-mix concrete, operating ready-mix concrete manufacturing 5 plants in Nyssa or Ontario, Oregon. Said corporations sell 6 manufactured ready-mix concrete to customers in the Nyssa-Ontario 7 market. Such customers include federal, state and local 8 government entities, building contractors and subcontractors, 9 farmers, and other customers located in both the States of 10 Oregon and Idaho. Said customers use ready-mix concrete in the 11 construction, repair, alteration and improvement of interstate 12and local highways, and of governmental, commercial, institutional 13 and residential structures. The defendant corporations' sales of 14 ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market exceeded \$1.6 million 15 in 1973.

16 8. During the time covered by this complaint, the defendant 17 corporations sold substantial quantities of ready-mix concrete to 18 customers located outside the State of Oregon. During that period, 19 there was a substantial and continuous flow of ready-mix concrete 20 in interstate commerce from the defendant corporations' manufacturing 21 plants located in the State of Oregon to customers located in the 22 State of Idaho.

VΙ

VIOLATION ALLEGED

9. Beginning at least as early as 1973, the exact date being unknown to the plaintiff, and continuing until at least September 1974, the defendants and co-conspirators engaged in a continuing combination and conspiracy in unreasonable restraint of the aforesaid interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 1). Said combination and conspiracy may continue or reoccur unless the relief hereinafter prayed for is granted.

. 7

1 10. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy consisted of a 2 continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among 3 the defendants and co-conspirators:

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

- (a) to fix, maintain, and stabilize the prices charged by the defendants for the sale of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market; and
 - (b) to fix, maintain, and stabilize the charges imposed by the defendants for the delivery of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market.

10 II. In formulating and effectuating the aforesaid combination 11 and conspiracy, the defendants and co-conspirators did those things 12 which they combined and conspired to do, including, among other 13 things, the following:

- (a) met to discuss prospective prices and other terms and conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete
 to customers of defendants in the Nyssa-Ontario market;
 - (b) engaged in telephone conversations, the subject of which included discussions and exchange of prospective prices and terms and conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market;
 - (c) established agreed-upon prices for ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market;
 - (d) met and engaged in telephone conversations to discuss the imposition of uniform charges for the delivery of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market; and
- (e) established ageed-upon charges for the deliveryof ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market.

•		-			$\left(\right)$	
	1			VII		
	2					
	3	12. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy had the following				
	4	effects, among	others:			
:	5	(a)	the price of	ready-mix concr	cete in the Nyssa-Ontario	
-	6		market was f	ixed, maintained	l, and stabilized at	
	7		artificial an	nd non-competiti	ve levels;	
	8	(b)	charges for	the delivery of	ready-mix concrete in	
	9		the Nyssa-On	tario market wer	ce fixed, maintained,	
	10		and stabilize	ed at artificial	and non-competitive	
	11		levels;			
ļ	12	(c)	competition :	in the sale or d	lelivery of ready-mix	
	13		concrete in	the Nyssa-Ontari	o market between the	
	14		defendants wa	as restricted, s	suppressed, and restrained	
	15	-	and			
	16	(d)	Nyssa-Ontario	o market purchas	sers of ready-mix	
	17		concrete were	e deprived of fr	ee and open competition	
	18		in the sale of	of ready-mix con	crete by defendants.	
	19			PRAYER		
	20	WHEREFORE	, plaintiff p	rays:		
-	21	l. That	the Court adj	udge and decree	that the defendants and	
1,	22	co-conspirator	combination and con-			
	23	spiracy in unreasonable restraint of the aforesaid interstate				
:	24	trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.				
:	25	26 employees, and successors and all other persons acting or claiming 27 to act on their behalf be enjoined and restrained from, in any 28 manner, directly or indirectly, continuing, maintaining, or				
	26					
	27					
	28					
	29	renewing the combination and conspiracy hereinbefore alleged, or				
, , 	30	from engaging in any other combination, conspiracy, contract,				
. 1	31	agreement, understanding, or concert of action having a similar				
	32			• •		
•				5		

•

•

•

. .

Γ ų

•

``

. •

purpose or effect, and from adopting or following any practice, plan, program, or device having a similar purpose or effect. 3. That plaintiff have such other, further and different relief as the Court may deem just and proper in the premises. 4. That the plaintiff recover the costs of this suit. GARY R. SPRATLING THOMAS E. KAUPER Assistant Attorney General Baddie & Rashid BADDIA J. RASHID JOHN F. YOUNG Attorneys, Department of Justice ANTHONY E. DESMOND Attorneys, Department of Justice WILBUR T. NELSON United States Attorney