Samueld L. Andra 1 United States Attorney 2 District of Oregon Box 71, 505 U.S. Courthouse Portland, Oregon 97207 3 (503) 226-3361, Ext. 1531) (Telephone: 4 MARQUIS L. SMITH J. FREDERICK MALAKOFF 5 Antitrust Division 6 'Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue - Room 16432 7 Box 36046 San Francisco, California 94102 (Telephone: (415) 556-6300) 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, 13

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ORECON

70-310 CIV

COMPLAINT

GREATER PORTLAND CONVENTION ASSOCIATION, INC.; HILTON HOTELS CORPORATION; ITT SHERATON CORPORATION OF AMERICA; WESTERN INTERNATIONAL HOTELS COMPANY; and COSMOPOLITAN INVESTMENT, INC.

FILED: May 12, 1970

Defendants.

The United States of America, plaintiff, by its attorneys, brings this action against the above named defendants and alleges as follows:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This complaint is filed under Section 4 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 4), commonly known as the Sherman Act, in order to prevent and restrain continuing violation by the defendants, as hereinafter alleged, of Section 1 of the Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).
- Each of the defendants transacts business and is found within the District of Oregon.

32

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

ĩ

## DEFINITIONS

# 3. As used herein:

- (a) The term "hotel" means any company, firm or other business entity that provides lodging and meals for the public.
- (b) The term "hotel supplies" means any goods, services or other items including, but not limited to, meats, fruits, vegetables, fish, and paper products, purchased by hotels.
- (c) The term "hotel supplier" means any company, firm, purveyor or other business entity selling or providing hotel supplies to hotels.
- (d) The term "hotel defendants" means the corporations operating hotels located in Portland, Oregon, named as defendants herein. Whenever reference is made herein to the hotel defendants, such reference shall be deemed to include the subsidiaries and predecessors of such defendants.

#### III

#### THE DEFENDANTS

4. Each of the corporations named below is hereby made a defendant herein. The place and date of incorporation and principal place of business of each corporation are as follows:

| Name                          | State and<br>Date of<br>Incorporation | Principal<br>Place of<br>Business |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Hilton Hotels                 | Delaware                              | Beverly Hills,                    |
| Corporation                   | 1946                                  | California                        |
| Western International         | Delaware                              | Seattle,                          |
| Hotels Company                | 1966                                  | Washington                        |
| Cosmopolitan Investment, Inc. | Oregon<br>1959                        | Portland,<br>Oregon               |

5.

- ITT Sheraton Corporation of America
  Western International Hotels
- Company

Defendant

Hilton Hotels Corporation

Cosmopolitan Investment, Inc.

known as Sheraton Corporation of America) is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware on February 28, 1968, and has its principal offices in Boston, Massachusetts.

'ITT Sheraton Corporation of America is the successor corporation of Sheraton Corporation of America, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey. Sheraton Corporation of America (New Jersey) was dissolved on February 28, 1968. Portland Sheraton Corporation, the owner of the Sheraton Motor Inn in Portland, Oregon, was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sheraton Corporation of America (New Jersey) prior to February 28, 1968. Portland Sheraton Corporation has been a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITT Sheraton Corporation of America since that date.

ITT Sheraton Corporation of America is hereby made a

defendant herein. ITT Sheraton Corporation of America (formerly

6. During all or part of the period covered by this complaint each of the hotel defendants operated a hotel located in Portland, Oregon, as indicated below:

# Hotel Operated

Hilton Hotel

Sheraton Motor Inn

Hotel Benson

Cosmopolitan Portland Motor Hotel

7. Greater Portland Convention Association, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as GPCA) is hereby made a defendant herein. GPCA is a nonprofit corporation organized in 1959 under the laws of the State of Oregon, and has its principal offices in Portland, Oregon. Various hotels, restaurants, hotel suppliers and similar businesses located in and around Portland, Oregon, including the hotel defendants, are members of GPCA.

7 8

# CO-CONSPIRATORS

8. Various corporations and individuals in the hotel and hotel supply business not named as defendants in this complaint participated as co-conspirators with the defendants in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

V

# TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 9. Hotels in and around Portland, Oregon annually purchase over \$6,500,000 worth of hotel supplies. The hotel defendants themselves purchase approximately \$5,000,000 worth of hotel supplies annually, of which approximately \$4,000,000 worth is bought by hotel defendants from hotel suppliers located in and around Portland, Oregon. All or part of these supplies are utilized by hotels in providing lodging and food to the public.
- 10. A substantial amount of the hotel supplies purchased by the hotel defendants from said hotel suppliers originates in foreign countries and in states other than the State of Oregon and is shipped into the State of Oregon.
- 11. In response to or in anticipation of orders from customers in and around Portland, Oregon, including the hotel defendants, said hotel suppliers continuously purchase or otherwise receive a substantial volume of hotel supplies from sources located outside the State of Oregon and deliver those hotel supplies to said customers.
- 12. Said hotel suppliers act as a conduit through which hotel supplies flow in a continuous stream in interstate and foreign commerce from sources located outside the State of Oregon to the hotel defendants and other customers located in and around Portland, Oregon.

#### VI

# OFFENSE CHARGED

- 13. Beginning at least as early as 1967 and continuing thereafter up to and including the date of the filing of this complaint, the defendants and co-conspirators have engaged in a combination and conspiracy in unreasonable restraint of the aforesaid interstate and foreign trade and commerce in hotel supplies in violation of Section 1 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 1), commonly known as the Sherman Act. Said offense is continuing and will continue unless the relief hereinafter prayed for in this complaint is granted.
- 14. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding and concert of action among the defendants and co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which have been and are that:
  - (a) hotel suppliers in and around Portland,
    Oregon are each annually assessed an amount of money
    fixed by defendants and co-conspirators to be paid as
    a contribution to GPCA;
  - (b) the hotel defendants give or promise to give preferential treatment in purchasing hotel supplies to hotel suppliers who pay, or substantially pay, the GPCA assessments imposed upon them; and
  - (c) the hotel defendants curtail or threaten to curtail, in whole or in part, their respective purchases of hotel supplies from hotel suppliers who fail to pay, or substantially fail to pay, the GPCA assessments imposed upon them.
- 15. For the purpose of effectuating the aforesaid combination and conspiracy, the defendants and co-conspirators did those things which, as hereinbefore alleged, they have combined and conspired

to do.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

#### VII

## EFFECTS OF THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

- The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has had the following effects, among others:
  - (a) hotel suppliers, in order to sell hotel supplies to hotel defendants, have been forced to pay sums of money to GPCA for the benefit of defendants and co-conspirators;
  - hotel suppliers have been deprived of the opportunity to compete freely in the sale of hotel supplies to the hotel defendants; and
  - the flow of hotel supplies in interstate and foreign commerce has been unreasonably burdened.

## PRAYER

### WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays:

- That the Court adjudge and decree that the defendants and co-conspirators have combined and conspired to unreasonably restrain the aforesaid interstate and foreign trade and commerce in the sale of hotel supplies, as hereinabove alleged, in " violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 2. That each of the defendants, their successors, assignees, and transferees, and the officers, directors, agents and employees thereof, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf thereof, be perpetually enjoined and restrained from, in any manner, directly or indirectly, continuing, maintaining, or renewing the aforesaid offense and from engaging in any other combination, conspiracy, contract, agreement, understanding, or concert of action having a similar purpose or effect and from adopting or following any practice, plan, program, or device having a similar

purpose or effect. 3. That the plaintiff have such other and further relief as the nature of the case may require and the Court may deem just and proper. 4. That the plaintiff recover the costs of this action. Dated: JOHN N. Attorney General J. FREDERICK MALAKOFF RICHARD W. MCLAREN Assistant Attorney General DON B. OVERALL Attorneys, Department of Justice MARQUIS L. SMITH ANTHONY E. DESMOND Attorneys, Department of Justice SIDNEY 1. LEZAK United States Attorney