



U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

New York Office

26 Federal Plaza
Room 3630
New York, New York 10278-0004

212/824-1290
FAX 917/286-0893

February 3, 2020

Raymond M. Hassett, Esq.
Hassett & George, P.C.
628 Hebron Avenue, Suite 212
Glastonbury, CT 06033

Re: United States v. Thomas F. Langan
Case No. 3:20CR 14 (KAD)

United States District Court
District of Connecticut
FILED AT BRIDGEPORT
2/3/20 20
Robin D. Tabor, Clerk
By [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

Dear Attorney Hassett:

This letter confirms the plea agreement between your client, Thomas Langan (the “defendant”), and the United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division and the United States Attorney’s Office for the District of Connecticut (the “Government”) concerning the referenced criminal matter.

THE PLEA AND OFFENSES

In consideration for the benefits offered under this agreement, the defendant agrees to waive his right to be indicted and agrees to plead guilty to a two-count Information, which charges him with: (i) one count of violating 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Conspiracy to Restrain Trade) and (ii) one count of violating 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud).

15 U.S.C. § 1 (Conspiracy to Restrain Trade): With respect to Count One, the defendant understands that, to be guilty of this offense, the following essential elements must be satisfied:

1. There was a combination or conspiracy to restrain trade during the time alleged in the Information;
2. The defendant knowingly joined the conspiracy; and
3. The activity was within the flow of or substantially affected interstate or foreign commerce.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 2

18 U.S.C. § 1349 (Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud): With respect to Count Two, the defendant understands that, to be guilty of this offense, the following essential elements must be satisfied:

1. On or about the dates charged in the Information, a conspiracy existed to commit wire fraud; and
2. The defendant knowingly and intentionally became a member of that conspiracy.

THE PENALTIES

Imprisonment

Conspiracy to restrain trade under 15 U.S.C. § 1 carries a maximum penalty of 10 years of imprisonment.

Conspiracy to commit wire fraud under 18 U.S.C. § 1349 carries a maximum penalty of 20 years of imprisonment.

Supervised Release

In addition, with respect to both Count One and Count Two, the Court may impose a term of supervised release of not more than 3 years to begin after any term of imprisonment. 18 U.S.C. § 3583. The defendant understands that, should he violate any condition of supervised release, he may be required to serve a further term of imprisonment of up to 2 years pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583 with no credit for time already spent on supervised release.

Fine

Count One carries a maximum fine of \$1 million. The defendant is also subject to the alternative fine provision of 18 U.S.C. § 3571. Under this section, the maximum fine that may be imposed on the defendant is the greatest of the following amounts: (1) twice the gross gain to the defendant resulting from the offense; (2) twice the gross loss resulting from the offense, which is approximately \$6.4 million; or (3) \$1 million.

Count Two carries a maximum fine of \$250,000. The defendant is also subject to the alternative fine provision of 18 U.S.C. § 3571. Under this section, the maximum fine that may be imposed on the defendant is the greatest of the following amounts: (1) twice the gross gain to the defendant resulting from the offense; (2) twice the gross loss resulting from the offense, which is approximately \$6.4 million; or (3) \$250,000.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 3

Special Assessment

In addition, the defendant is obligated by 18 U.S.C. § 3013 to pay a special assessment of \$100 on each count of conviction, for a total of \$200. The defendant agrees to pay the special assessment to the Clerk of the Court on the day the guilty plea is accepted.

Restitution

In addition to the other penalties provided by law, the Court must also order that the defendant make restitution under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A, and the Government reserves its right to seek restitution on behalf of victims consistent with the provisions of § 3663A. The scope and effect of the order of restitution are set forth in the attached Rider Concerning Restitution. Restitution is payable immediately unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

Regardless of restitution that may be ordered by the Court noted above, the defendant agrees to make restitution of at least \$250,000 jointly and severally with his co-conspirators. The defendant agrees that 30 days prior to the date of sentencing, he will have \$10,000 in liquid assets previously held in an escrow account controlled by his attorney, turned over to the Clerk of Court in anticipation of a criminal monetary imposition. The Government and the defendant agree to recommend to the Court that the funds in the escrow account be used only to satisfy any restitution first, and then any remainder to satisfy any fine that the defendant is sentenced to pay. The defendant acknowledges, however, that the Court may sentence him to pay fines and restitution totaling more than the amount in the escrow account. The Government and the defendant also agree that if the funds in the escrow account exceed the amount of fines and restitution the defendant is sentenced to pay, he will seek the Court's permission to return the remainder to him. The defendant agrees to make such restitution as ordered by the Court.

Interest, penalties and fines

Unless otherwise ordered, should the Court impose a fine or restitution of more than \$2,500 as part of the sentence, interest will be charged on the unpaid balance of the fine or restitution not paid within 15 days after the judgment date. 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f). Other penalties and fines may be assessed on the unpaid balance of a fine or restitution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3572(h), (i) and § 3612(g).

Forfeiture

United States v. Contents of Account Ending 5419 Held in the Name of Langan Insulation LLC at KeyBank, 3:18MC30 (SALM)

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A) and (C), and based on his commission of the conspiracy to commit wire fraud charged in Count Two of the Information, the defendant agrees to forfeit, in the civil forfeiture case to be filed, all interests in the contents of the account ending in 5419 held in the name of Langan Insulation LLC at KeyBank (hereinafter, the "Forfeitable Assets"), and to execute a Stipulated Forfeiture Agreement to effectuate the forfeiture.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 4

The defendant agrees to waive all interests in the Forfeitable Assets, in any administrative or judicial forfeiture proceeding, whether criminal or civil, state or federal. The defendant agrees to consent to the entry of an order of forfeiture for the above-described assets that are to be forfeited, and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. The defendant acknowledges that he understands that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise him of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time his guilty plea is accepted.

The defendant agrees to hold the United States, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice, the Antitrust Division, the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Connecticut, the Department of Defense – Office of Inspector General, and their agents and employees harmless from any claims whatsoever in connection with the seizure, detention, and forfeiture of the above-listed assets covered by this agreement.

The defendant further agrees to waive all constitutional and statutory challenges in any manner (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this plea agreement on any grounds, including that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. The defendant also understands and agrees that by virtue of his pleas of guilty he waives any rights or cause of action to claim that he is a “substantially prevailing party” for the purpose of recovery of attorney fees and other litigation costs in any related forfeiture proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(b)(1).

THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES

Applicability

The defendant understands that the Court is required to consider any applicable Sentencing Guidelines as well as other factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) to tailor an appropriate sentence in this case and is not bound by this plea agreement. The defendant agrees that the Sentencing Guideline determinations will be made by the Court, by a preponderance of the evidence, based upon input from the defendant, the Government, and the United States Probation Office. The defendant further understands that he has no right to withdraw his guilty plea if his sentence or the Guideline application is other than he anticipated, including if the sentence is outside any of the ranges set forth in this agreement.

Acceptance of Responsibility

At this time, the Government agrees to recommend that the Court reduce by two levels the defendant's adjusted offense level under § 3E1.1(a) of the Sentencing Guidelines, based on the defendant's prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for the offense. Moreover, should the defendant qualify for a decrease under § 3E1.1(a) and his offense level determined prior to the operation of subsection (a) is level 16 or greater, the Government will file a motion with the Court pursuant to § 3E1.1(b) which recommends that the Court reduce

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 5

the defendant's Adjusted Offense Level by one additional level based on his prompt notification of his intention to enter a plea of guilty. The defendant understands that the Court is not obligated to accept the Government's recommendations on the reductions.

The above-listed recommendations are conditioned upon the defendant's affirmative demonstration of acceptance of responsibility, by (1) truthfully admitting the conduct comprising the offense(s) of conviction and truthfully admitting or not falsely denying any additional relevant conduct for which the defendant is accountable under § 1B1.3 of the Sentencing Guidelines, and (2) disclosing to the United States Attorney's Office and the United States Probation Office a complete and truthful financial statement detailing the defendant's financial condition. The defendant expressly authorizes the United States Attorney's Office to obtain a credit report concerning the defendant.

In addition, the Government expressly reserves the right to seek denial of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if the defendant engages in any acts, unknown to the Government at the time of the signing of this agreement, which (1) indicate that the defendant has not terminated or withdrawn from criminal conduct or associations (§ 3E1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines); (2) could provide a basis for an adjustment for obstructing or impeding the administration of justice (§ 3C1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines); or (3) constitute a violation of any condition of release. Moreover, the Government reserves the right to seek denial of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if the defendant seeks to withdraw his guilty plea or takes a position at sentencing, or otherwise, which, in the Government's assessment, is inconsistent with affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility. The defendant understands that he may not withdraw his plea of guilty if, for the reasons explained above, the Government does not make one or both of the recommendations or seeks denial of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility.

Stipulation

Pursuant to § 6B1.4 of the Sentencing Guidelines, the defendant and the Government have entered into the attached stipulation, which is a part of this plea agreement. The defendant understands that this stipulation does not set forth all of the relevant conduct and characteristics that may be considered by the Court for purposes of sentencing. The defendant understands that this stipulation is not binding on the Court. The defendant also understands that the Government and the United States Probation Office are obligated to advise the Court of any additional relevant facts that subsequently come to their attention.

Guideline Stipulation

The parties agree as follows:

The Guidelines Manual in effect on the date of sentencing is used to determine the applicable Guidelines range.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 6

The parties agree that Counts One and Two are grouped under U.S.S.G. § 3D1.2(a). The defendant's base offense level under U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(1) is 7.

The parties disagree as to the amount of loss under U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1). The defendant believes that the reasonably foreseeable loss resulting from the scheme is between \$250,000 and \$550,000, which results in a 12-level increase under U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(G). The Government believes that the reasonably foreseeable loss resulting from the scheme is at least \$3,200,000, which results in a 16-level increase under U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(I) because the reasonably foreseeable loss is over \$1,500,000 but less than \$3,500,000.

The parties disagree as to the applicability of U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(10). The Government's position is that the defendant should receive a 2-level enhancement under U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(10) because the offense otherwise involved sophisticated means and the defendant intentionally engaged in or caused the conduct constituting sophisticated means. The defendant reserves his right to argue against such an enhancement.

Three levels are subtracted under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1 for acceptance of responsibility, as noted above.

Based on an initial assessment, the parties agree that the defendant falls within Criminal History Category I. The parties reserve the right to recalculate the defendant's Criminal History Category and corresponding sentencing ranges if this initial assessment proves inaccurate.

Thus, in the defendant's view, assuming a Criminal History Category I, the defendant has a total offense level of 16, which would result in a range of 21 to 27 months of imprisonment (sentencing table) and a fine range of \$10,000 to \$95,000. U.S.S.G. § 5E1.2(c)(3). The defendant is also subject to a supervised release term of 1 years to 3 years. U.S.S.G. § 5D1.2.

In the Government's view, assuming a Criminal History Category I, the defendant has a total offense level of 22, which would result in a range of 41 to 51 months of imprisonment (sentencing table) and a fine range of \$15,000 to \$150,000. U.S.S.G. § 5E1.2(c)(3). The defendant is also subject to a supervised release term of 1 years to 3 years. U.S.S.G. § 5D1.2.

The Government and the defendant reserve their rights to seek a departure or a non-Guidelines sentence, and both sides reserve their right to object to a departure or a non-Guidelines sentence.

The defendant understands that the Court is not bound by this agreement on the Guideline ranges specified above. The defendant further understands that he will not be permitted to withdraw the guilty plea if the Court imposes a sentence outside any of the ranges set forth in this agreement.

In the event the United States Probation Office or the Court contemplates any sentencing calculations different from those stipulated by the parties, the parties reserve the right to respond to any inquiries and make appropriate legal arguments regarding the proposed alternate

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 7

calculations. Moreover, the parties reserve the right to defend any sentencing determination, even if it differs from that stipulated by the parties, in any post-sentencing proceeding.

Information to the Court

The Government reserves its right to address the Court with respect to an appropriate sentence to be imposed in this case. Moreover, the Government will discuss the facts of this case, including information regarding the defendant's background and character, 18 U.S.C. § 3661, with the United States Probation Office and will provide the Probation Officer with access to material in its file, with the exception of grand jury material.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

The defendant acknowledges and agrees that he is knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waiving the following rights:

Waiver of Right to Indictment

The defendant understands that he has the right to have the facts of this case presented to a federal grand jury, consisting of between sixteen and twenty-three citizens, twelve of whom would have to find probable cause to believe that he committed the offense set forth in the information before an indictment could be returned. The defendant acknowledges that he is waiving his right to be indicted.

Waiver of Trial Rights and Consequences of Guilty Plea

The defendant understands that he has the right to be represented by an attorney at every stage of the proceeding and, if necessary, one will be appointed to represent him.

The defendant understands that he has the right to plead not guilty or to persist in that plea if it has already been made, the right to a public trial, the right to be tried by a jury with the assistance of counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him, the right not to be compelled to incriminate himself, the right to testify and present evidence, and the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify in his defense. The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he waives those rights and that, if the plea of guilty is accepted by the Court, there will not be a further trial of any kind.

The defendant understands that, if he pleads guilty, the Court may ask him questions about each offense to which he pleads guilty, and if he answers those questions falsely under oath, on the record, and in the presence of counsel, his answers may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury or making false statements.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 8

Waiver of Statute of Limitations

The defendant agrees that, should the conviction following defendant's guilty plea be vacated for any reason, then any prosecution that is not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this plea agreement (including any indictment or counts the Government has agreed to dismiss at sentencing pursuant to this plea agreement) may be commenced or reinstated against the defendant, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this plea agreement and the commencement or reinstatement of such prosecution. The defendant agrees to waive all defenses based on the statute of limitations with respect to any prosecution that is not time-barred on the date the plea agreement is signed.

Waiver of Right to Challenge Conviction

The defendant acknowledges that under certain circumstances he is entitled to challenge his conviction. By pleading guilty, the defendant waives his right to appeal or collaterally attack his conviction in any proceeding, including but not limited to a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and/or § 2241. In addition to any other claims he might raise, the defendant waives his right to challenge his conviction based on (1) any non-jurisdictional defects in the proceedings before entry of this plea, (2) a claim that the statute(s) to which the defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and (3) a claim that the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the statute. This waiver does not preclude the defendant from raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in an appropriate forum.

Waiver of Right to Appeal or Collaterally Attack Sentence

The defendant acknowledges that under certain circumstances, he is entitled to challenge his sentence. In consideration for the benefits offered under this agreement, the defendant agrees not to appeal or collaterally attack the sentence in any proceeding, including but not limited to a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and/or § 2241 if that sentence does not exceed 51 months' imprisonment, a 3-year term of supervised release, a \$200 special assessment, a \$150,000 fine, and a \$3,200,000 order of restitution even if the Court imposes such a sentence based on an analysis different from that specified above. The Government and the defendant agree that this waiver applies regardless of whether the term of imprisonment is imposed to run consecutively to or concurrently with, in whole or in part, the undischarged portion of any other sentence that has been imposed on the defendant at the time of sentencing in this case. Furthermore, the parties agree that any challenge to the defendant's sentence that is not foreclosed by this provision will be limited to that portion of the sentencing calculation that is inconsistent with (or not addressed by) this waiver. This waiver does not preclude the defendant from raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in an appropriate forum.

Waiver of Challenge to Plea Based on Immigration Consequences

The defendant understands that pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to his immigration status if he is not a citizen of the United States. Under federal law, non-citizens

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 9

are subject to removal for a broad range of crimes, including the offense(s) to which the defendant is pleading guilty. Likewise, if the defendant is a naturalized citizen of the United States, pleading guilty may result in denaturalization and removal. Removal, denaturalization, and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, however, and the defendant understands that no one, including his attorney or the district court, can predict to a certainty the effect of his conviction on his immigration status. The defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that his plea may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

The defendant understands that he is bound by his guilty plea regardless of the immigration consequences of the plea. Accordingly, the defendant waives any and all challenges to his guilty plea and to his sentence based on those consequences, and agrees not to seek to withdraw his guilty plea, or to file a direct appeal or any kind of collateral attack challenging his guilty plea, conviction or sentence, based on the immigration consequences of his guilty plea, conviction or sentence. This waiver does not preclude the defendant from raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in the appropriate forum.

SUSPENSION OR DEBARMENT CONSEQUENCES OF PLEA

The defendant understands that he may be subject to suspension or debarment action by state or federal agencies other than the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, based upon the conviction resulting from this plea agreement, and that this plea agreement in no way controls what action, if any, other agencies may take. However, the Antitrust Division agrees that, if requested, it will advise the appropriate officials of any governmental agency considering such action of the fact, manner, and extent of the defendant's acceptance of responsibility and any assistance of the defendant as a matter for that agency to consider before determining what action, if any, to take. The defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any suspension or debarment consequences of his plea.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF GUILT AND VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

The defendant acknowledges that he is entering into this agreement and is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because he is guilty. The defendant further acknowledges that he is entering into this agreement without reliance upon any discussions between the Government and him (other than those described in the plea agreement letter), without promise of benefit of any kind (other than the concessions contained in the plea agreement letter), and without threats, force, intimidation, or coercion of any kind. The defendant further acknowledges his understanding of the nature of the offense to which he is pleading guilty, including the penalties provided by law. The defendant also acknowledges his complete satisfaction with the representation and advice received from his undersigned attorney. The defendant and his undersigned counsel are unaware of any conflict of interest concerning counsel's representation of the defendant in the case.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 10

SCOPE OF THE AGREEMENT

The defendant acknowledges that this agreement is limited to the undersigned parties and cannot bind any other federal authority, or any state or local authority. The defendant acknowledges that no representations have been made to him with respect to any civil or administrative consequences that may result from this plea of guilty because such matters are solely within the province and discretion of the specific administrative or governmental entity involved. Finally, the defendant acknowledges that this agreement has been reached without regard to any civil tax matters that may be pending or which may arise involving him.

COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCES

The defendant understands that he will be adjudicated guilty of each offense to which he has pleaded guilty and will be deprived of certain rights, such as the right to hold public office, to serve on a jury, to possess firearms and ammunition, and in some states, the right to vote. Further, the defendant understands that if he is not a citizen of the United States, a plea of guilty may result in removal from the United States, denial of citizenship, and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The defendant understands that pursuant to section 203(b) of the Justice For All Act, the Federal Bureau of Prisons or the United States Probation Office will collect a DNA sample from the defendant for analysis and indexing. Finally, the defendant understands that the Government reserves the right to notify any state or federal agency by which he is licensed, or with which he does business, as well as any current or future employer of the fact of his conviction.

SATISFACTION OF FEDERAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY; BREACH

The defendant's guilty plea, if accepted by the Court, will satisfy the federal criminal liability of the defendant in the District of Connecticut as a result of his participation in schemes to rig bids and engage in fraud relating to insulation contracts in Connecticut, which forms the basis of the Information in this case.

The defendant understands that if, before sentencing, he violates any term or condition of this agreement, engages in any criminal activity, or fails to appear for sentencing, the Government may void all or part of this agreement. If the agreement is voided in whole or in part, the defendant will not be permitted to withdraw his guilty plea.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 11

NO OTHER PROMISES

The defendant acknowledges that no other promises, agreements, or conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this plea agreement, and none will be entered into unless set forth in writing, signed by all the parties.

This letter shall be presented to the Court, in open court, and filed in this case.

Very truly yours,



HELEN CHRISTODOULOU
MILOSZ GUDZOWSKI
TRIAL ATTORNEYS
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ANTITRUST DIVISION



DAVID T. HUANG
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 12

The defendant certifies that he has read this plea agreement letter and its attachment(s) or has had it read or translated to him, that he has had ample time to discuss this agreement and its attachment(s) with counsel and that he fully understands and accepts its terms.


THOMAS F. LANGAN

The Defendant

2-3-20
Date

I have thoroughly read, reviewed and explained this plea agreement and its attachment(s) to my client who advises me that he understands and accepts its terms.


RAYMOND M. HASSETT, ESQ.
Attorney for the Defendant

1/2020
Date

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 13

STIPULATION OF OFFENSE CONDUCT AND RELEVANT CONDUCT

The defendant, Thomas F. Langan (“LANGAN”), and the Government stipulate to the following offense conduct and relevant conduct that give rise to the defendant’s agreement to plead guilty to Counts One and Two of the Information:

1. Beginning at least as early as October 2011 and continuing until as late as March 2018, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, LANGAN knowingly entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by allocating customers, rigging bids, and fixing prices for insulation contracts in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce.
2. During this period, LANGAN was an individual who was a 49% co-owner of a company, (“CC-1”), and was responsible for bidding on insulation contracts at CC-1.
3. During this period, LANGAN knowingly agreed with Gary DeVoe (“DeVoe”), Michael S. Flynn (“Flynn”), Paul M. Camara, Jr. (“Camara”), and CC-1 through CC-4, whose identities are known to LANGAN and the Court, to share their respective bids and bid prices for the purpose of allocating insulation contracts among them at higher bid prices than if they had competed against each other. LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, Camara, and CC-1 through CC-4 shared bids and bid prices through various means including during meetings, through phone calls, and using email, faxes, text messages and the Confide messaging application. LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, Camara, and CC-1 through CC-4 submitted non-competitive bids. As a result of their agreement to collude, LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, Camara, and CC-1 through CC-4 provided insulation services pursuant to contracts that were awarded at collusive and noncompetitive prices. LANGAN’s participation was limited to projects in Connecticut.
4. The business activities that are the subject of Count One were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce.
5. During this period, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, LANGAN knowingly and willfully participated in a conspiracy to execute a scheme and artifice to defraud mechanical contractors, general contractors and/or project owners on insulation projects for various entities, including universities, hospitals, and other public and private entities who had solicited competitive bids that included insulation services.
6. The confidentiality and independence of the bids were essential to maintaining integrity and fairness during the bidding process, and in ensuring that customers receive the best value. In some cases, other bidders were required to certify that they had not engaged in anticompetitive conduct (i.e., by signing certificates of non-collusion or independent pricing).
7. LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, Camara, CC-1 through CC-4, and others sent, and caused to be sent, bids to mechanical and general contractors via emails and faxes between Connecticut and states outside of Connecticut that were a result of the agreement between and among them to provide collusive, non-competitive bid prices on insulation contracts.

February 3, 2020

Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.

Page 14

8. LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, Camara, CC-1 through CC-4, and others submitted collusive, non-competitive bids to mechanical contractors, via e-mail and fax, knowing that those contractors would be providing materially false certificates of non-collusion or independent pricing when those contractors in turn submitted their bids subject to requirements for competitive bidding.
9. LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, and Camara perpetrated and concealed the bid-rigging and fraud conduct through the use of cellular phones for which the registration masked the identity of the users and through the use of Confide, a disappearing text messaging app.
10. LANGAN, DeVoe, Flynn, Camara, and CC-1 through CC-4 inflated their bid prices by approximately 10% as a result of their agreement to rig bids and engage in fraud.
11. The relevant and reasonably foreseeable loss attributable to LANGAN is at least \$250,000. The defendant understands that the Government will argue and present evidence that, in fact, the reasonable foreseeable loss attributable to LANGAN is significantly higher.

This written stipulation is part of the plea agreement. The defendant and the Government reserve their right to present additional offense conduct and relevant conduct to the Court in connection with sentencing.



THOMAS F. LANGAN
The Defendant



HELEN CHRISTODOULOU
MILOSZ GUDZOWSKI
TRIAL ATTORNEYS
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ANTITRUST DIVISION



DAVID T. HUANG
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT



RAYMOND M. HASSETT, ESQ.
Attorney for the Defendant

1/3/2020

Date

February 3, 2020
Letter to Raymond Hassett, Esq.
Page 15

RIDER CONCERNING RESTITUTION

The Court shall order that the defendant make restitution under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A as follows:

1. If the offense resulted in damage to or loss or destruction of property of a victim of the offense:
 - A. Return the property to the owner of the property or someone designated by the owner; or
 - B. If return of the property is impossible, impracticable, or inadequate, pay an amount equal to:
The greater of -
 - (I) the value of the property on the date of the damage, loss, or destruction; or
 - (II) the value of the property on the date of sentencing, less the value as of the date the property is returned.
2. In the case of an offense resulting in bodily injury to a victim –
 - A. Pay an amount equal to the costs of necessary medical and related professional services and devices related to physical, psychiatric, and psychological care; including non-medical care and treatment rendered in accordance with a method of healing recognized by the law of the place of treatment;
 - B. Pay an amount equal to the cost of necessary physical and occupational therapy and rehabilitation; and
 - C. Reimburse the victim for income lost by such victim as a result of such offense;
3. In the case of an offense resulting in bodily injury that results in the death of the victim, pay an amount equal to the cost of necessary funeral and related services; and
4. In any case, reimburse the victim for lost income and necessary child care, transportation, and other expenses incurred during participation in the investigation or prosecution of the offense or attendance at proceedings related to the offense.

The order of restitution has the effect of a civil judgment against the defendant. In addition to the Court-ordered restitution, the Court may order that the conditions of its order of restitution be made a condition of probation or supervised release. Failure to make restitution as ordered may result in a revocation of probation, 18 U.S.C. § 3565, or a modification of the conditions of supervised release, 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e). Failure to pay restitution may also result in the defendant being held in contempt, or the defendant's re-sentencing to any sentence which might originally have been imposed by the Court. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3613A, 3614. The Court may also order that the defendant give notice to any victim(s) of his offense under 18 U.S.C. § 3555.

