IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff,* v. AMR CORPORATION, AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., and AMR EAGLE HOLDING CORPORATION, *Defendants.*	)	Civil Action No.: 99-1180-JTM

APPENDIX OF UNREPORTED DECISIONS
IN SUPPORT OF THE UNITED STATES' MOTION *IN LIMINE*
TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RELATED TO A MEETING COMPETITION DEFENSE

Plaintiff submits true and correct copies of the following unreported decisions and other authority cited in its Memorandum in Support of a Motion *In Limine* to Exclude Evidence Related to a Meeting Competition Defense:

1. 3 VON KALINOWSKI, ET AL., ANTITRUST LAWS AND TRADE REGULATION ¶ 40.02[1] (2d ed. 1999);
2. 3 PHILLIP E. AREEDA & HERBERT HOVENKAMP, ANTITRUST LAW ¶ 748 (rev. ed. 1996);
3. 14 HERBERT HOVENKAMP, ANTITRUST LAW ¶ 2352a (1999);
4. SECTION OF ANTITRUST LAW, AMERICAN BAR ASS'N, PREDATORY PRICING LAW: A CIRCUIT-BY-CIRCUIT SURVEY 118 (1995).

Dated: April 2, 2001

Respectfully submitted

COUNSEL FOR
PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES

/"s"/

By Mark J. Niefer
Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
601 D Street, N.W. Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20530
Tel: (202) 307-6318
Fax: (202) 307-2784