

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	)	
	)	Civil Action No.: 99-1180-JTM
v.	)	
	)	
AMR CORPORATION,	)	
AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., and	)	
AMR EAGLE HOLDING	)	
CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
<i>Defendants.</i>	)	
	)	

**PLAINTIFF’S CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

1. On June 15, 2000, the undersigned counsel for Plaintiff telephoned Edward Soto, counsel for American, and informed him that ASTA had refused to consent to Plaintiff’s disclosure of certain materials produced by ASTA in connection with CID No. 12482.

2. Plaintiff’s counsel described the materials at issue, which consist of a privilege log prepared in connection with ASTA’s response to CID No. 12482, responses to the two interrogatories posed by CID No. 12482, and internal drafts and analyses relating to a 1994 survey of commission overrides and incentives conducted by ASTA and produced in response to one of the two document requests contained in CID No. 12482.

3. Plaintiff’s counsel explained that, in the absence of ASTA’s consent, we had determined that Plaintiff could not disclose the CID materials at issue. Plaintiff’s counsel asked whether Defendants, based on the general description of the CID materials and knowing that

