UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

)))))

))))

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
AT&T CORP., and MEDIAONE GROUP, INC.,
Defendants.

Civil No.: 1: 00CV01176 (RCL)

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT

Plaintiff, United States of America, by the undersigned attorney, hereby certifies that, in compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16 (b) - (h), the following procedures have been followed in preparation for the entry of a final judgment herein:

1. Plaintiff and defendants stipulated to the entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and

this Stipulation was filed with the Court on May 25, 2000;

2. The proposed Final Judgment was filed with the Court on May 25, 2000;

3. The Competitive Impact Statement was filed with the Court on May 25, 2000;

4. The Stipulation, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the Federal Register on June 21, 2000, Volume 55, No. 120, at pages 38584-38593;^{1/}

¹ A copy of this <u>Federal Register</u> notice and publication is attached as Exhibit A.

5. Copies of the Stipulation, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement were furnished to all persons requesting them;

6. A summary of terms of the proposed Final Judgment was published in the <u>Washington</u> <u>Post</u>, a newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia, beginning on June 15, 2000 and continuing on consecutive days through June 21, $2000;^{2/2}$

7. Defendant AT&T Corp. (on August 8, 2000) and defendant MediaOne Group (on August 11, 2000), each filed with the Court a description of written or oral communications by or on behalf of the defendants, or any other person, with any officer or employee of the United States concerning the proposed Final Judgment, as required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(g);

8. The sixty-day comment period prescribed by 15 U.S.C. §16(b) and (d) for the receipt and consideration of written comments, during which the proposed Final Judgment could not be entered, commenced on June 21, 2000 and ended on August 21, 2000;

9. As of the date of this certificate of compliance, the United States has received no comments on the proposed Final Judgment. Accordingly, it was not necessary for the United States to file any Response of the United States to Comments with the Court, or to publish any comments or Response in the <u>Federal Register</u>, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(d).

10. The parties have now satisfied all the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16 (b) - (h), as a condition for entering the proposed Final Judgment, and it is now appropriate for the Court to make the public interest determination required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(e) and to enter the Final Judgment.

Dated: September 20, 2000

² A copy of the newspaper notice and certificate of publication are attached as Exhibit B.

Respectfully submitted,

____/s/____

_____/s/____ Claude F. Scott, Jr. (D.C. Bar # 414906) Trial Attorney Telecommunications Task Force Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice 1401 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 353-0378

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Certificate of Compliance were served by

first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, this 20th day of September, 2000 upon counsel for each of

the parties as listed below:

Attorneys for AT&T Corp.:

Mark Rosenblum AT&T Corporation 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920 David Carpenter Sidley & Austin Bank One Plaza Chicago, IL 60603

Ilene Knable Gotts Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz 51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019

Attorneys for MediaOne Group, Inc.:

Sean Lindsay MediaOne Group, Inc. 188 Inverness Drive, West Suite 600 Englewood, CO 80112 Philip L. Verveer Theodore Whitehouse Willkie, Farr & Gallagher Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

/s/ Claude F. Scott, Jr.