Document 14

Filed 02/17/2009

Page 1 of 16

Case 3:09-cr-00044-SI

12 13

14

15 16

> 17 18

19

2021

23

22

2425

26

27

28

- (e) to have a trial by jury, at which he would be presumed not guilty of the charge and the United States would have to prove every essential element of the charged offense beyond a reasonable doubt for him to be found guilty;
- (f) to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him and to subpoena witnesses in his defense at trial;
 - (g) not to be compelled to incriminate himself;
 - (h) to appeal his conviction, if he is found guilty; and
 - (I) to appeal the imposition of sentence against him.

AGREEMENT TO PLEAD GUILTY AND WAIVE CERTAIN RIGHTS

The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the rights set out in Paragraph 2. 1(b)-(h) above, including all jurisdictional defenses to the prosecution of this case, and agrees voluntarily to consent to the jurisdiction of the United States to prosecute this case against him in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The defendant also knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to file any appeal, any collateral attack, or any other writ or motion, including but not limited to an appeal under 18 U.S.C. § 3742 or a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 or 2255, that challenges the sentence imposed by the Court if that sentence is consistent with or below the recommended sentence in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement, regardless of how the sentence is determined by the Court. This agreement does not affect the rights or obligations of the United States as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3742(b) and (c)). Nothing in this paragraph, however, shall act as a bar to the defendant perfecting any legal remedies he may otherwise have on appeal or collateral attack respecting claims of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b), the defendant will waive indictment and plead guilty at arraignment to a one-count Information to be filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The Information will charge that beginning on or about September 21, 2001, and continuing until on or about June 1, 2006, LG Philips LCD Co., Ltd. ("LG Philips") and coconspirators participated in a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing the prices of thin-film transistor liquid crystal display panels

("TFT-LCD") sold in the United States and elsewhere, in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. The Information will further charge that the defendant, as an executive of LG Philips, joined and participated in the charged conspiracy beginning on or about September 21, 2001 and continuing until on or about June 1, 2006.

3. The defendant, pursuant to the terms of this Plea Agreement, will plead guilty to the criminal charge described in Paragraph 2 above and will make a factual admission of guilt to the Court in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, as set forth in Paragraph 4, below. The United States agrees that at the arraignment, it will stipulate to the release of the defendant on his personal recognizance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142, pending the sentencing hearing in this case.

FACTUAL BASIS FOR OFFENSE CHARGED

- 4. Had this case gone to trial, the United States would have presented evidence sufficient to prove the following facts:
 - (a) For purposes of this Plea Agreement, the "relevant period" is that period from on or about September 21, 2001, to on or about June 1, 2006. During most of the relevant period, the defendant was Vice President of Monitor Sales of LG Philips, an entity organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea, and with its principal place of business in Seoul, Korea. During the relevant period, LG Philips was a producer of TFT-LCD and was engaged in the sale of TFT-LCD in the United States and elsewhere. TFT-LCD are glass panels composed of an array of tiny pixels that are electronically manipulated in order to display images. TFT-LCD are manufactured in a broad range of sizes and specifications for use in televisions, notebook computers, desktop monitors, mobile devices, and other applications.
 - (b) During the relevant period, the defendant participated in a conspiracy with other persons and entities engaged in the manufacture and sale of TFT-LCD, the primary purpose of which was to fix the price of TFT-LCD sold in the United States and elsewhere. In furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendant engaged in conversations and attended meetings with representatives of other major TFT-LCD producing firms. During

PLEA AGREEMENT -- CHANG SUK CHUNG -- 4

such meetings and conversations, agreements were reached to fix the price of TFT-LCD to be sold in the United States and elsewhere. The defendant was also aware of the existence of the conspiracy among the employees and officers of LG Philips, and that these employees and officers were engaged in conversations and attended meetings, including group meetings commonly referred to by the participants as "crystal meetings," with representatives of other major TFT-LCD producing firms. The defendant was aware that during such meetings and conversations, agreements were reached to fix the price of TFT-LCD to be sold in the United States and elsewhere. The defendant was a manager or supervisor in the conspiracy, which involved at least five participants.

- (c) During the relevant period, TFT-LCD sold by one or more of the conspirator firms, and equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of TFT-LCD, as well as payments for TFT-LCD, traveled in interstate and foreign commerce. The business activities of LG Philips and co-conspirators in connection with the production and sale of TFT-LCD that were the subjects of the conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.
- (d) Acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were carried out within the Northern District of California. TFT-LCD that were the subjects of the conspiracy were sold by one or more of the conspirators to customers in this District.

POSSIBLE MAXIMUM SENTENCE

- 5. The defendant understands that the statutory maximum penalty which may be imposed against him upon conviction for a violation of Section One of the Sherman Antitrust Act is:
 - (a) a term of imprisonment for ten (10) years (15 U.S.C. § 1);
 - (b) a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of (1) \$1 million, (2) twice the gross pecuniary gain the conspirators derived from the crime, or (3) twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by the conspirators (15 U.S.C. § 1; 18 U.S.C. § 3571(b) and (d)); and

- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- (c) a term of supervised release of three (3) years following any term of imprisonment. If the defendant violates any condition of supervised release, the defendant could be imprisoned for up to two (2) years (18 U.S.C. § 3559(a)(3); 18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2) and (e)(3); and United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G.," "Sentencing Guidelines," or "Guidelines") § 5D1.2(a)(2)).
- 6. In addition, the defendant understands that:
- (a) pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5E1.1 or 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(3) or 3583(d), the Court may order him to pay restitution to the victims of the offense; and
- (b) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(A), the Court is required to order the defendant to pay a \$100.00 special assessment upon conviction for the charged crime.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES

7. The defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, but that the Court must consider the Guidelines in effect on the day of sentencing. along with the other factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), in determining and imposing sentence. The defendant understands that the Guidelines determinations will be made by the Court by a preponderance-of-the-evidence standard. The defendant understands that although the Court is not ultimately bound to impose a sentence within the applicable Guidelines range, its sentence must be reasonable, based upon consideration of all relevant sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

SENTENCING AGREEMENT

8. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C), the United States and the defendant agree that the appropriate disposition of this case is, and agree to recommend jointly that the Court impose, a sentence requiring the defendant to pay to the United States a criminal fine of \$25,000 payable in full before the ninetieth (90th) day after the date of judgment, with interest accruing under 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f)(1)-(2); a period of imprisonment of seven months; no order of restitution; and no period of supervised release ("the recommended sentence"). The defendant agrees that he will not request that he be allowed to serve any part of his sentence in home detention, intermittent confinement, or community confinement. The United States will not

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

multiple of actual damages.

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

27

28

sentence other than the recommended sentence set forth in Paragraph 8. The parties further agree that the recommended sentence set forth in this Plea Agreement is reasonable. The defendant understands that the Court will order him to pay a \$100 special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(A) in addition to any fine imposed.

9. The United States and the defendant agree that, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5E1.1(b), defendant should not be ordered to pay restitution in light of the civil cases filed against LG Display Co., Ltd., defendant's current employer and formerly LG Philips, including *In re TFT*-

LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation, No. -07-1827 SI, consolidated in the United States

District Court, Northern District of California, which potentially provide for a recovery of a

object to the defendant's request that the Court make a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons

Security Camp (and specifically to the Lompoc Prison Camp in Lompoc, California) to serve his

sentence and that the defendant be released following the imposition of sentence to allow him to

self-surrender to the assigned prison facility on a specified date. The parties agree that there

exists no aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken

into consideration by the U.S. Sentencing Commission in formulating the Sentencing Guidelines

justifying a departure pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K2.0. The parties agree not to seek or support any

that the Bureau of Prisons designate that the defendant be assigned to a Federal Minimum

10. The United States and the defendant agree that the applicable Guidelines fine and imprisonment ranges exceed the fine and term of imprisonment contained in the recommended sentence set out in Paragraph 8 above. Subject to the full and continuing cooperation of the defendant, as described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, and prior to sentencing in this case, the United States agrees that it will make a motion, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, for a downward departure from the Guidelines fine and imprisonment range(s) in this case and will request that the Court impose the fine and term of imprisonment contained in the recommended sentence set out in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement because of the defendant's substantial assistance in the government's investigation and prosecutions of violations of federal criminal law in the TFT-LCD industry.

11.

described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, and before sentencing in the case, the United States will fully advise the Court and the Probation Office of the fact, manner, and extent of the defendant's cooperation and his commitment to prospective cooperation with the United States' investigation and prosecutions, all material facts relating to the defendant's involvement in the charged offense, and all other relevant conduct.

Subject to the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the defendant

- 12. The United States and the defendant understand that the Court retains complete discretion to accept or reject the recommended sentence provided for in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement.
 - (a) If the Court does not accept the recommended sentence, the United States and the defendant agree that this Plea Agreement, except for Paragraph 12(b) below, shall be rendered void. Neither party may withdraw from this Plea Agreement, however, based on the type or location of the prison facility to which the defendant is assigned to serve his sentence.
 - (b) If the Court does not accept the recommended sentence, the defendant will be free to withdraw his guilty plea (Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(5) and (d)). If the defendant withdraws his plea of guilty, this Plea Agreement, the guilty plea, and any statement made in the course of any proceedings under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 regarding the guilty plea or this Plea Agreement or made in the course of plea discussions with an attorney for the government shall not be admissible against the defendant in any criminal or civil proceeding, except as otherwise provided in Fed. R. Evid. 410. In addition, the defendant agrees that, if he withdraws his guilty plea pursuant to this subparagraph of the Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations period for any Relevant Offense, as defined in Paragraph 14 below, will be tolled for the period between the date of the signing of the Plea Agreement and the date the defendant withdrew his guilty plea or for a period of sixty (60) days after the date of the signing of the Plea Agreement, whichever period is greater. For a period of three (3) consecutive days following such a withdrawal of the guilty plea under this subparagraph, the United States shall take no action, based upon

6 7

8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

either a Relevant Offense or any actual or alleged violation of the Plea Agreement, to revoke the defendant's release on his personal recognizance, to subject the defendant to service of process, arrest, or detention, or to prevent the defendant from departing the United States.

<u>DEFENDANT'S COOPERATION</u>

- 13. The defendant will cooperate fully and truthfully with the United States in the prosecution of this case, the conduct of the current federal investigation of violations of federal antitrust and related criminal laws involving the manufacture or sale of TFT-LCD in the United States and elsewhere, any other federal investigation resulting therefrom, and any litigation or other proceedings arising or resulting from any such investigation to which the United States is a party ("Federal Proceeding"). The ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the defendant shall include, but not be limited to:
 - producing in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon (a) locations all non-privileged documents, including claimed personal documents, and other materials, wherever located, in the possession, custody, or control of the defendant, requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;
 - (b) making himself available for interviews in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations, not at the expense of the United States, upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;
 - (c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information, subject to the penalties of making false statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503, et seq.);
 - (d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any non-privileged material or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he may have that is related to any Federal Proceeding; and
 - (e) when called upon to do so by the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, testifying in grand jury, trial, and other judicial proceedings in the

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

United States fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401 - 402), and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503, et seq.).

GOVERNMENT'S AGREEMENT

- 14. Subject to the full, truthful, and continuing cooperation of the defendant, as described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, and upon the Court's acceptance of the guilty plea called for by this Plea Agreement and the imposition of the recommended sentence, the United States will not bring further criminal charges against the defendant for any act or offense committed before the date of this Plea Agreement that was undertaken in furtherance of an antitrust conspiracy involving the manufacture or sale of TFT-LCD or undertaken in connection with any investigation of such a conspiracy ("Relevant Offense"). The nonprosecution terms of this paragraph do not apply to civil matters of any kind, to any violation of the federal tax or securities laws, or to any crime of violence.
- 15. The United States agrees that when the defendant travels to the United States for interviews, grand jury appearances, or court appearances pursuant to this Plea Agreement, or for meetings with counsel in preparation therefor, the United States will take no action, based upon any Relevant Offense, to subject the defendant to arrest, detention, or service of process, or to prevent the defendant from departing the United States. This paragraph does not apply to the defendant's commission of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503, et seq.), or contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401 - 402) in connection with any testimony or information provided or requested in any Federal Proceeding.
 - 16. (a) Subject to the full and continuing cooperation of the defendant, as described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, and upon the Court's acceptance of the defendant's guilty plea and imposition of sentence in this case, the United States agrees not to seek to remove the defendant from the United States under Sections 238 and 240 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1228 and 1229a, based upon the

defendant's guilty plea and conviction in this case, should the defendant apply for or obtain admission to the United States as a nonimmigrant (hereinafter referred to as the "agreement not to seek to remove the defendant"). The agreement not to seek to remove the defendant is the equivalent of an agreement not to exclude the defendant from admission to the United States as a nonimmigrant or to deport the defendant from the United States. (Immigration and Nationality Act, § 240(e)(2), 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(e)(2)).

- (b) The Antitrust Division of the United States Department of
 Justice has consulted with United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE")
 on behalf of the United States Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"). ICE, on
 behalf of DHS and in consultation with the United States Department of State, has agreed
 to the inclusion in this Plea Agreement of this agreement not to seek to remove the
 defendant. The Secretary of DHS has delegated to ICE the authority to enter this
 agreement on behalf of DHS.
- (c) So that the defendant will be able to obtain any nonimmigrant visa that he may need to travel to the United States, DHS and the Visa Office, United States Department of State, have concurred in the granting of a nonimmigrant waiver of the defendant's inadmissibility. This waiver will remain in effect so long as this agreement not to seek to remove the defendant remains in effect. While the waiver remains in effect, the Department of State will not deny the defendant's application for a nonimmigrant visa on the basis of the defendant's guilty plea and conviction in this case, and DHS will not deny his application for admission as a nonimmigrant on the basis of his guilty plea and conviction in this case.
- (d) This agreement not to seek to remove the defendant will remain in effect so long as the defendant:
 - (I) acts and has acted consistently with his cooperation obligations under this Plea Agreement;
 - (ii) is not convicted of any felony under the laws of the United

 States or any state, other than the conviction resulting from the defendant's guilty

plea under this Plea Agreement or any conviction under the laws of any state resulting from conduct constituting an offense subject to this Plea Agreement; and

- (iii) does not engage in any other conduct that would warrant his removal from the United States under the Immigration and Nationality Act.

 The defendant understands that should the Antitrust Division become aware that the defendant has violated any of these conditions, the Antitrust Division will notify DHS.

 DHS will then determine, in consultation with the Antitrust Division, whether to rescind this agreement not to seek to remove the defendant.
- (e) The defendant agrees to notify the Assistant Attorney General of the Antitrust Division should the defendant be convicted of any other felony under the laws of the United States or of any state.
- (f) Should the United States rescind this agreement not to seek to remove the defendant because of the defendant's violation of a condition of this Plea Agreement, the defendant irrevocably waives his right to contest his removal from the United States under the Immigration and Nationality Act on the basis of his guilty plea and conviction in this case, but retains his right to notice of removal proceedings.
- 17. The defendant understands that he may be subject to administrative action by federal or state agencies other than the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, based upon the conviction resulting from this Plea Agreement, and that this Plea Agreement in no way controls whatever action, if any, other agencies may take. However, the United States agrees that, if requested, it will advise the appropriate officials of any governmental agency considering such administrative action of the fact, manner, and extent of the cooperation of the defendant as a matter for that agency to consider before determining what administrative action, if any, to take.

REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL

18. The defendant has reviewed all legal and factual aspects of this case with his attorney and is fully satisfied with his attorney's legal representation. The defendant has thoroughly reviewed this Plea Agreement with his attorney and has received satisfactory

explanations from his attorney concerning each paragraph of this Plea Agreement and alternatives available to the defendant other than entering into this Plea Agreement. After conferring with his attorney and considering all available alternatives, the defendant has made a knowing and voluntary decision to enter into this Plea Agreement.

VOLUNTARY PLEA

19. The defendant's decision to enter into this Plea Agreement and to tender a plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and is not the result of force, threats, assurances, promises, or representations other than the representations contained in this Plea Agreement. The United States has made no promises or representations to the defendant as to whether the Court will accept or reject the recommendations contained within this Plea Agreement.

VIOLATION OF PLEA AGREEMENT

20. The defendant agrees that, should the United States determine in good faith, during the period that any Federal Proceeding is pending, that the defendant has failed to provide full and truthful cooperation, as described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, or has otherwise violated any provision of this Plea Agreement, the United States will notify the defendant or his counsel in writing by personal or overnight delivery or facsimile transmission and may also notify his counsel by telephone of its intention to void any of its obligations under this Plea Agreement (except its obligations under this paragraph), and the defendant shall be subject to prosecution for any federal crime of which the United States has knowledge including. but not limited to, the substantive offenses relating to the investigation resulting in this Plea Agreement. The defendant may seek Court review of any determination made by the United States under this Paragraph to void any of its obligations under the Plea Agreement. The defendant agrees that, in the event that the United States is released from its obligations under this Plea Agreement and brings criminal charges against the defendant for any Relevant Offense, the statute of limitations period for such offense will be tolled for the period between the date of the signing of this Plea Agreement and six (6) months after the date the United States gave notice of its intent to void its obligations under this Plea Agreement.

PLEA AGREEMENT -- CHANG SUK CHUNG -- 12

5

6

1

2

3

4

7 8

10

9

11

12 13

1415

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The defendant understands and agrees that in any further prosecution 21. of him resulting from the release of the United States from its obligations under this Plea Agreement based on the defendant's violation of the Plea Agreement, any documents, statements, information, testimony, or evidence provided by him to attorneys or agents of the United States, federal grand juries, or courts, and any leads derived therefrom, may be used against him in any such further prosecution. In addition, the defendant unconditionally waives his right to challenge the use of such evidence in any such further prosecution, notwithstanding the protections of Fed. R. Evid. 410.
- The defendant agrees to and adopts as his own the factual statement contained in 22. Paragraph 4 above. In the event that the defendant breaches the Plea Agreement, the defendant agrees that the Plea Agreement, including the factual statement contained in Paragraph 4 above, provides a sufficient basis for any possible future extradition request that may be made for his return to the United States to face charges either in the Information referenced in Paragraph 2 of this Plea Agreement or in any related indictment. The defendant further agrees not to oppose or contest any request for extradition by the United States to face charges either in the Information referenced in Paragraph 2 of this Plea Agreement or in any related indictment.

ENTIRETY OF AGREEMENT

- 23. This Plea Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the United States and the defendant concerning the disposition of the criminal charge in this case. This Plea Agreement cannot be modified except in writing, signed by the United States and the defendant.
- 24. The undersigned attorneys for the United States have been authorized by the Attorney General of the United States to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the United States.

111 25

111 26

27 111

/// 28

A facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for the 1 25. 2 purpose of executing this Plea Agreement. Multiple signature pages are authorized for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement. DATED: 12 Respectfully submitted, 5 6 Chang Suk Chung Defendant Niall E. Lynch, CA No. 157959 Michael L. Scott, CA No. 165452 7 Heather S. Tewksbury, CA No. 222202 Alexandra J. Shepard, CA No. 205143 8 BY: Counsel for Defendant Gerald A. Connell Attorney-at-Law 248 Weed Avenue David J. Ward, CA No. 239504 Trial Attorneys
U.S. Department of Justice 9 Antitrust Division 10 450 Golden Gate Avenue Stamford, CT 06902-4413 Tel: (203) 323-6741 Box 36046, Room 10-0101 11 San Francisco, CA 94102 Fax: (203) 286-1774 Tel: (415) 436-6660 12 Fax: (415) 436-6687 Lee H. Simowitz 13 Jonathan R. Barr Baker & Hostetler LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1100 14 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 861-1608 Fax: (202) 861-1783 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PLEA AGREEMENT -- CHANG SUK CHUNG -- 14