1 2 3 4 5 6	NIALL E. LYNCH (State Bar No. 157959) NATHANAEL M. COUSINS (State Bar No. 177944) MAY Y. LEE (State Bar No. 209366) BRIGID S. BIERMANN (State Bar No. 231705) Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6660			
7	Attorneys for the United States			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Case No. CR 06-0059 (PJH)			
12	CIVITED STATES OF AMERICA Case No. CR 00-0037 (1311)			
13	v.)			
14	ELPIDA MEMORY, INC.			
15	Defendant.			
16	PLEA AGREEMENT			
17	The United States of America and ELPIDA MEMORY, INC. (the "Defendant"), a			
18	corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, hereby enter into the following Plea			
19	Agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure:			
20	RIGHTS OF DEFENDANT			
21	1. The Defendant understands its rights:			
22	(a) to be represented by an attorney;			
23	(b) to be charged by indictment;			
24	(c) as a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, to decline			
25	to accept service of the Summons in this case, and to contest the jurisdiction of the			
26	United States to prosecute this case against it in the United States District Court for the			
27	Northern District of California;			
28	(d) to plead not guilty to any criminal charge brought against it;			

28

- (e) to have a trial by jury, at which it would be presumed not guilty of the charge and the United States would have to prove every essential element of the charged offense beyond a reasonable doubt for it to be found guilty;
- (f) to confront and cross-examine witnesses against it and to subpoena witnesses in its defense at trial;
 - (g) to appeal its conviction; and
 - (h) to appeal the imposition of sentence against it.

AGREEMENT TO PLEAD GUILTY AND WAIVE CERTAIN RIGHTS

2. The Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the rights set out in Paragraph 1(b)-(g) above, including all jurisdictional defenses to the prosecution of this case, and agrees voluntarily to consent to the jurisdiction of the United States to prosecute this case against it in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The Defendant also knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to file any appeal, any collateral attack, or any other writ or motion, including but not limited to an appeal under 18 U.S.C. § 3742, that challenges the sentence imposed by the Court if that sentence is consistent with or below the recommended sentence in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement, regardless of how the sentence is determined by the Court. This Plea Agreement does not affect the rights or obligations of the United States as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3742(b) and (c). Further, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b), the Defendant will waive indictment and plead guilty at arraignment to a two-count Information to be filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The Information will charge the Defendant with one count of participating in a conspiracy in the United States and elsewhere to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing the prices of Dynamic Random Access Memory ("DRAM") to be sold to certain original equipment manufacturers of personal computers and servers ("OEMs") from on or about April 1, 1999, to on or about June 15, 2002, in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. Additionally, the Information will charge the Defendant with a second count of participating in a conspiracy to submit collusive, noncompetitive, and rigged bids to Sun Microsystems on a 1

11

8

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Gigabyte Next-Generation Dual In-Line Memory Module ("1 GB NG DIMM") lot during a Sun Microsystems auction on March 26, 2002.

The Defendant, pursuant to the terms of this Plea Agreement, will plead guilty to the criminal charges described in Paragraph 2 above and will make a factual admission of guilt to the Court in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, as set forth in Paragraph 4 below.

FACTUAL BASIS FOR OFFENSE CHARGED

- 4. Had this case gone to trial, the United States would have presented evidence sufficient to prove the following facts:
 - For purposes of this Plea Agreement, the "Relevant Period" is that period (a) from on or about April 1, 1999 to on or about June 15, 2002. From April 1, 1999 until on or about March 1, 2001, HITACHI, LTD. ("Hitachi") and NEC CORPORATION ("NEC"), corporations organized and existing under the laws of Japan, sold DRAM products into various markets, including the U.S. market. On December 20, 1999, Hitachi and NEC (collectively, the "Corporate Founders") formed Defendant, Elpida Memory Inc. ("Elpida"), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan. The Defendant has its headquarters and principal place of business in Tokyo, Japan. Beginning on or about March 1, 2001, the Defendant sold DRAM products into various markets, including the U.S. market.
 - (b) DRAM is the most commonly used semiconductor memory product. DRAM provides high-speed storage and retrieval of electronic information in personal computers, servers, and other devices. During the Relevant Period, the Defendant, or its Corporate Founders, were producers of DRAM and were engaged in the sale of DRAM in the United States and elsewhere and employed more than 200 employees. For purposes of this Plea Agreement, "DRAM" means dynamic random access memory semiconductor devices and modules, including synchronous dynamic random access memory ("SDRAM"), double data rate dynamic random access memory ("DDR"), and Rambus dynamic random access memory ("RDRAM") semiconductor devices and modules. During the Relevant Period, the DRAM sales made by Defendant, and its

Corporate Founders, directly affected by the conspiracy, to OEMs in the United States totaled \$425 million in the aggregate. The sales during the Relevant Period directly affected by the conspiracy were made as follows: NEC and Hitachi respectively sold \$209 million and \$113 million of DRAM products from April 1, 1999 through February 28, 2001; and Elpida sold \$103 million of DRAM products from March 1, 2001 through June 15, 2002.

- One of the Information, during at least certain periods of time during the Relevant Period, the Defendant, or its Corporate Founders, through certain of their respective officers and employees, participated in a conspiracy in the United States and elsewhere among certain DRAM producers, the primary purpose of which was to fix the price of DRAM sold to certain OEMs. The conspiracy directly affected the following OEMs in the United States: Dell Inc., Hewlett-Packard Company, Compaq Computer Corporation, International Business Machines Corporation, Apple Computer Inc., and Gateway, Inc. In furtherance of the conspiracy, the Defendant, or its Corporate Founders, through certain of their respective officers and employees, engaged in discussions and attended meetings with representatives of certain other DRAM producers and sellers. During these discussions and meetings, agreements were reached to fix the price of DRAM to be sold to certain OEMs.
- (d) As to Count One, at certain times during the Relevant Period, DRAM prices decreased significantly. Nevertheless, the Defendant, or its Corporate Founders, and their coconspirators reached agreements to limit the rate of price declines, which were achieved with varying levels of effectiveness. At certain other times, the Defendant, or its Corporate Founders, and their coconspirators reached agreements on price increases and were able to institute price increases on DRAM sales to certain OEMs.
- (e) For purposes of forming and carrying out the conspiracy charged in Count Two of the Information, Defendant's employees had discussions and reached agreements

with employees of its coconspirator on how it would allocate and divide a bid offered by Sun Microsystems in an auction on or about March 26, 2002. The Defendant and its coconspirators submitted bid proposals to Sun Microsystems for a bid on a 1 GB NG DIMM lot to achieve that result, including submitting complementary bids to ensure the success of their agreement.

- (f) During the Relevant Period, DRAM sold by one or more of the conspirator firms, and equipment and supplies necessary to the sale of DRAM, as well as payments for DRAM, traveled in interstate and foreign commerce. The business activities of the Defendant, or its Corporate Founders, and their coconspirators in connection with the sale of DRAM affected by this conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.
- (g) Acts in furtherance of these conspiracies were carried out within the Northern District of California. DRAM affected by these conspiracies were sold by one or more of the conspirators to OEMs in this District.

POSSIBLE MAXIMUM SENTENCE

- 5. The Defendant understands that the statutory maximum penalty which may be imposed against it upon conviction for each count of a violation of Section One of the Sherman Antitrust Act is a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of:
 - (a) \$10 million (15 U.S.C. § 1);
 - (b) twice the gross pecuniary gain the conspirators derived from the crime (18 U.S.C. § 3571(c) and (d)); or
 - (c) twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by the conspirators (18 U.S.C. § 3571(c) and (d)).
 - 6. In addition, the Defendant understands that:
 - (a) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3561(c)(1), the Court may impose a term of probation of at least one year, but not more than five years;
 - (b) pursuant to § 8B1.1 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G.," "Sentencing Guidelines," or "Guidelines"), 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(2) or

3663(a)(3), the Court may order it to pay restitution to the victims of the offense; and

(c) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B), the Court is required to order the Defendant to pay a \$400 special assessment upon conviction for each count of the charged crime.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES

7. The Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, but that the Court must consider the Guidelines in effect on the day of sentencing, along with the other factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), in determining and imposing sentence. The Defendant understands that the Guidelines determinations will be made by the Court by a preponderance of the evidence standard. The Defendant understands that although the Court is not ultimately bound to impose a sentence within the applicable Guidelines range, its sentence must be reasonable based upon consideration of all relevant sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.8, the United States agrees that self-incriminating information that the Defendant and its cooperating officers and employees provide to the United States pursuant to this Plea Agreement will not be used to increase the volume of affected commerce attributable to the Defendant or in determining the Defendant's applicable Guidelines range, except to the extent provided in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.8(b).

SENTENCING AGREEMENT

8. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C), the United States and the Defendant agree that the appropriate disposition of this case is, and agree to recommend jointly that the Court impose a sentence requiring the Defendant to pay to the United States a criminal fine of \$84 million, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d) (the "Recommended Sentence"). The parties agree that there exists no aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the U.S. Sentencing Commission in formulating the Guidelines justifying a departure pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K2.0. The parties agree not to seek or support any sentence outside of the Guidelines range nor any Guidelines adjustment for any reason that is not set forth in this Plea Agreement. The parties further agree that the Recommended Sentence set forth in this Plea Agreement is reasonable.

- (a) The Defendant understands that the Court will order it to pay a \$800 special assessment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B), in addition to any fine imposed.
- Agreement, together with the record that will be created by the United States and the Defendant at the plea and sentencing hearings, and the further disclosure described in Paragraph 10, will provide sufficient information concerning the Defendant, the crime charged in this case, and the Defendant's role in the crime to enable the meaningful exercise of sentencing authority by the Court under 18 U.S.C. § 3553. The United States and Defendant agree to request jointly that the Court accept the Defendant's guilty plea and impose sentence on an expedited schedule as early as the date of arraignment, based upon the record provided by the Defendant and the United States, under the provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(c)(1)(A)(ii), U.S.S.G. § 6A1.1, and Rule 32-1(b) of the U.S.D.C. N.D. California Criminal Local Rules. The Court's denial of the request to impose sentence on an expedited schedule will not void this Plea Agreement.
- (c) The United States contends that had this case gone to trial, the United States would have presented evidence to prove that the gain derived from or the loss resulting from the charged offense is sufficient to justify the Recommended Sentence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d). For purposes of this plea and sentencing, the Defendant waives its right to contest this calculation.
- 9. The United States and the Defendant agree that the applicable Sentencing Guidelines fine range exceeds the fine contained in the Recommended Sentence set out in Paragraph 8 above. Subject to the full and continuing cooperation of the Defendant, as described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, and prior to sentencing in this case, the United States agrees that it will make a motion, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 8C4.1, for a downward departure from the Guidelines fine range and will request that the Court impose the Recommended Sentence set out in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement because of the Defendant's substantial assistance in the government's investigation and prosecution of violations of federal criminal law in the

12

10

15

28

DRAM industry.

- 10. Subject to the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the Defendant described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, and before sentencing in the case, the United States will fully advise the Court and the Probation Office as to: (i) the fact, manner, and extent of the Defendant's cooperation and its commitment to prospective cooperation with the United States' investigation and prosecutions; (ii) all material facts relating to the Defendant's involvement in the charged offense; and (iii) all other relevant conduct.
- 11. The United States and the Defendant understand that the Court retains complete discretion to accept or reject the Recommended Sentence provided for in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement.
 - (a) If the Court does not accept the Recommended Sentence, the United States and the Defendant agree that this Plea Agreement, except for Paragraph 11(b) below, shall be rendered void.
 - (b) If the Court does not accept the Recommended Sentence, the Defendant will be free to withdraw its guilty plea (Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(5) and (d)). If the Defendant withdraws its guilty plea, this Plea Agreement, the guilty plea, and any statement made in the course of any proceedings under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 regarding the guilty plea or this Plea Agreement, or made in the course of plea discussions with an attorney for the government, shall not be admissible against the Defendant in any criminal or civil proceeding, except as otherwise provided in Fed. R. Evid. 410. In addition, the Defendant agrees that if it withdraws its guilty plea pursuant to this subparagraph of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations period for any offense referred to in this Plea Agreement will be tolled for the period between the date of the signing of this Plea Agreement and the date the Defendant withdrew its guilty plea or for a period of sixty (60) days after the date of the signing of this Plea Agreement, whichever period is greater.
- 12. In light of the civil class action cases filed against Defendant, including *In re* DRAM Antitrust Litigation, No. M-02-1486PJH, MDL No. 1486, in the United States District ELPIDA PLEA AGREEMENT 8

is not assuming the civil liability, if any, of its Corporate Founders. **DEFENDANT'S COOPERATION**

Court, Northern District of California, and DRAM Cases, No. CJC-03-004265, in the Superior

Court, San Francisco, California, which potentially provide for a recovery of a multiple of actual

damages, the United States agrees that it will not seek a restitution order for the offense charged

in the Information. The Defendant asserts that, the Defendant, by signing this Plea Agreement,

6

- 7 8 10 11 12 13
- 15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

13. The Defendant and its subsidiaries that are engaged in the sale or production of DRAM (collectively, "Related Entities") will cooperate fully and truthfully with the United States in: (i) the prosecution of this case; (ii) the current federal investigation of violations of federal antitrust and related criminal laws involving the production or sale of DRAM in the United States and elsewhere; and (iii) any litigation or other proceedings arising or resulting from any such investigation to which the United States is a party (collectively i-iii, "Federal Proceeding"). The ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the Defendant shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) producing to the United States all non-privileged documents, information, and other materials (with translations into English), wherever located, in the possession, custody, or control of the Defendant or any of its Related Entities, requested by the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding; and
- (b) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation, as defined in Paragraph 14 of this Plea Agreement, of the current and former directors, officers, and employees of the Defendant or any of its Related Entities as may be requested by the United States – but excluding Choei Matsushima, Osamu Fujiwara, Akihiko Furusawa, Hiroshi Higuchi, and Dimitrios James ("Jim") Sogas – including making these persons available in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations, at the Defendant's expense, for interviews and the provision of testimony in grand jury, trial, and other judicial proceedings in connection with any Federal Proceeding.
- 14. The ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of each person described in Paragraph

13(b) above will be subject to the procedures and protections of this Paragraph, and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) producing in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations all non-privileged documents (with translations into English), including claimed personal documents, and other materials, wherever located, requested by attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding;
- (b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations, not at the expense of the United States, upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;
- (c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information, subject to the penalties of making false statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503);
- (d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any non-privileged material or information not requested in (a) (c) of this Paragraph that he or she may have that is related to any Federal Proceeding;
- (e) when called upon to do so by the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, testifying in grand jury, trial, and other judicial proceedings in the United States fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401- 402), and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503); and
- (f) agreeing that, if the agreement not to prosecute him or her in this Plea Agreement is rendered void under Paragraph 16(c), the statute of limitations period for any Relevant Offense as defined in Paragraph 16(a) will be tolled as to him or her for the period between the date of the signing of this Plea Agreement and six (6) months after the date that the United States gave notice of its intent to void its obligations to that person under the Plea Agreement.

GOVERNMENT'S AGREEMENT

- 15. Upon acceptance of the guilty plea called for by this Plea Agreement and the imposition of the Recommended Sentence, and subject to the cooperation requirements of Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, the United States agrees that it will not bring further criminal charges against the Defendant or any Related Entities for any act or offense committed before the date of this Plea Agreement that was undertaken in furtherance of an antitrust conspiracy involving the production or sale of DRAM in the United States and elsewhere. The nonprosecution terms of this Paragraph do not apply to civil matters of any kind, to any violation of the federal tax or securities laws, or to any crime of violence.
 - 16. The United States agrees to the following:
 - (a) Upon the Court's acceptance of the guilty plea called for by this Plea Agreement and the imposition of the Recommended Sentence and subject to the exceptions noted in Paragraph 16(c), the United States will not bring criminal charges against any current or former director, officer, or employee of the Defendant or its Related Entities for any act or offense committed before the date of this Plea Agreement and while that person was acting as a director, officer, or employee of the Defendant or its Related Entities that was undertaken in furtherance of or related to an antitrust conspiracy involving the production or sale of DRAM in the United States and elsewhere, or undertaken in connection with any investigation of such a conspiracy ("Relevant Offense"), except that the protections granted in this Paragraph shall not apply to Choei Matsushima, Osamu Fujiwara, Akihiko Furusawa, Hiroshi Higuchi, and Dimitrios James ("Jim") Sogas;
 - (b) Should the United States determine that any current or former director, officer, or employee of the Defendant or its Related Entities may have information relevant to any Federal Proceeding, the United States may request that person's cooperation under the terms of this Plea Agreement by written request delivered to counsel for the individual (with a copy to the undersigned counsel for the Defendant) or, if the individual is not known by the United States to be represented, to the undersigned

counsel for the Defendant;

- (c) If any person requested to provide cooperation under Paragraph 16(b) fails to comply with his or her obligations under Paragraph 14, then the terms of this Plea Agreement as they pertain to that person, and the agreement not to prosecute that person granted in this Plea Agreement, shall be rendered void;
- (d) Except as provided in Paragraph 16(e), information provided by a person described in Paragraph 16(b) to the United States under the terms of this Plea Agreement pertaining to any Relevant Offense, or any information directly or indirectly derived from that information, may not be used against that person in a criminal case, except in a prosecution for perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making a false statement or declaration (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001, 1623), or obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503);
- (e) If any person who provides information to the United States under this Plea Agreement fails to comply fully with his or her obligations under Paragraph 14 of this Plea Agreement, the agreement in Paragraph 16(d) not to use that information or any information directly or indirectly derived from it against that person in a criminal case shall be rendered void;
- (f) The non-prosecution terms of this Paragraph do not apply to civil matters of any kind, to any violation of the federal tax or securities laws, or to any crime of violence; and
- (g) Documents provided under Paragraphs 13(a) and 14(a) shall be deemed responsive to outstanding grand jury subpoenas issued to the Defendant and/or any of its Related Entities.
- 17. The United States agrees that when any person travels to the United States for interviews, grand jury appearances, or court appearances pursuant to this Plea Agreement, or for meetings with counsel in preparation therefor, the United States will take no action, based upon any Relevant Offense, to subject such person to arrest, detention, or service of process, or to prevent such person from entering or departing the United States. This Paragraph does not apply to an individual's commission of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements (18 U.S.C.

§ 1001), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), or contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402) in connection with any testimony or information provided or requested in any Federal Proceeding.

18. The Defendant understands that it may be subject to administrative action by federal or state agencies other than the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, based upon the conviction resulting from this Plea Agreement, and that this Plea Agreement in no way controls whatever action, if any, other agencies may take. However, the United States agrees that, if requested, it will advise the appropriate officials of any governmental agency considering such administrative action of the fact, manner, and extent of the cooperation of the Defendant and its Related Entities, including the fact that the United States, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 8C4.1, moved for a downward departure from the Guidelines fine range, as matters for that agency to consider before determining what administrative action, if any, to take.

REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL

19. The Defendant has been represented by counsel and is fully satisfied that its attorneys have provided competent legal representation. The Defendant has thoroughly reviewed this Plea Agreement and acknowledges that counsel has advised it of the nature of the charge, any possible defenses to the charge, and the nature and range of possible sentences.

VOLUNTARY PLEA

20. The Defendant's decision to enter into this Plea Agreement and to tender a plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and is not the result of force, threats, assurances, promises, or representations other than the representations contained in this Plea Agreement. The United States has made no promises or representations to the Defendant as to whether the Court will accept or reject the recommendations contained within this Plea Agreement.

VIOLATION OF PLEA AGREEMENT

21. The Defendant agrees that, should the United States determine in good faith, during the period that any Federal Proceeding is pending, that the Defendant or any of its Related Entities has failed to provide full and truthful cooperation, as described in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, or has otherwise violated any provision of this Plea Agreement, the

1 Uni
2 or f
3 its o
4 Def
5 the
6 the
7 dete
8 und
9 the
10 char
11 of t
12 peri

United States will notify counsel for the Defendant in writing by personal or overnight delivery or facsimile transmission and may also notify counsel by telephone of its intention to void any of its obligations under this Plea Agreement (except its obligations under this Paragraph), and the Defendant and its Related Entities shall be subject to prosecution for any federal crime of which the United States has knowledge including, but not limited to, the substantive offenses relating to the investigation resulting in this Plea Agreement. The Defendant may seek Court review of any determination made by the United States under this Paragraph to void any of its obligations under this Plea Agreement. The Defendant and its Related Entities agree that, in the event that the United States is released from its obligations under this Plea Agreement and brings criminal charges against the Defendant or its Related Entities for any offense referred to in Paragraph 15 of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations period for such offense will be tolled for the period between the date of the signing of this Plea Agreement and six (6) months after the date the United States gave notice of its intent to void its obligations under this Plea Agreement.

22. The Defendant understands and agrees that in any further prosecution of it or its Related Entities resulting from the release of the United States from its obligations under this Plea Agreement, because of the Defendant's or its Related Entities' violation of this Plea Agreement, any documents, statements, information, testimony, or evidence provided by it, its Related Entities, or its current directors, officers, or employees of it or its Related Entities, to attorneys or agents of the United States, federal grand juries, or courts, and any leads derived therefrom, may be used against it or its Related Entities in any such further prosecution. In addition, the Defendant unconditionally waives its right to challenge the use of such evidence in any such further prosecution, notwithstanding the protections of Fed. R. Evid. 410.

ENTIRETY OF AGREEMENT

23. This Plea Agreement and the attached Joint Sentencing Memorandum between the United States and Defendant constitute the entire agreement between the United States and the Defendant concerning the disposition of the criminal charge in this case. This Plea Agreement cannot be modified except in writing, signed by the United States and the Defendant.

1	24.	The undersigned is autho	rized to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the		
2	Defendant ELPIDA MEMORY, INC. as evidenced by the Resolution of the Board of Director				
3	of the Defendant attached to, and incorporated by reference in, this Plea Agreement.				
4	25. The undersigned attorneys for the United States have been authorized				
5	by the Attorney General of the United States to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the				
6	United States.				
7	26.	A facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for the purpose of			
8	executing this Plea Agreement. Multiple signature pages are authorized for the purpose of				
9	executing this Plea Agreement.				
10					
11	DATED: January 27, 2006				
12	DATED. January 27, 2000				
13	AGREED				
14	BY:	/s/	BY: /s/		
Elpida Memory, Inc. 2-1, Yaesu 2-chome Niall E. Lynch, CA No. 1579 Nathanael M. Cousins, CA N					
16	Chuo-ku, Tok Japan		May Y. Lee, CA No. 209366 Brigid S. Biermann, CA No. 231705		
17	заран		Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice		
18	BY:	/s/	Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue		
19	James G. Kre	cissman, CA No. 206740 cher & Bartlett LLP	Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102		
20	3330 Hillviev Palo Alto, CA	w Avenue	Tel: (415) 436-6660 Fax: (415) 436-6687		
21	Tel: (650) 251-5000 Fax: (650) 251-5002				
22	1 um (000) 20	1 5002			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					